

Ring-theoretic properties of certain Hecke algebras

By RICHARD TAYLOR AND ANDREW WILES

Introduction

The purpose of this article is to provide a key ingredient of [W2] by establishing that certain minimal Hecke algebras considered there are complete intersections. As is recorded in [W2], a method going back to Mazur [M] allows one to show that these algebras are Gorenstein, but for the complete intersection property a new approach is required. The methods of this paper are related to those of Chapter 3 of [W2]. The methods of Section 3 of this paper are based on a previous approach of one of us (A.W.).

We would like to thank Henri Darmon, Fred Diamond and Gerd Faltings for carefully reading the first version of this article. Gerd Faltings has also suggested a simplification of our argument as well as of the argument of Chapter 3 of [W2] and we would like to thank him for allowing us to reproduce these in the appendix to this paper. R. T. would like to thank A. W. for his invitation to collaborate and for sharing his many insights into the questions considered. R. T. would also like to thank Princeton University, Université de Paris 7 and Harvard University for their hospitality during this collaboration. A. W. was supported by an NSF grant.

1. Notation

Let p denote an odd prime, let \mathcal{O} denote the ring of integers of a finite extension K/\mathbf{Q}_p , let λ denote its maximal ideal and let $k = \mathcal{O}/\lambda$.

If L is a perfect field G_L will denote its absolute Galois group and if the characteristic of L is not p then $\varepsilon : G_L \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ will denote the p -adic cyclotomic character. If L is a number field and \wp a prime of its ring of integers then G_\wp will denote a decomposition group at \wp and I_\wp the corresponding inertia group. We shall denote by Frob_\wp the arithmetic Frobenius element of G_\wp/I_\wp .

If G is a group and M a G -module we let M^G and M_G denote respectively the invariants and coinvariants of G on M . If ρ is a representation of G into the automorphisms of some abelian group we shall let V_ρ denote the underlying

G -module. If H is a normal subgroup of G then we shall let ρ^H and ρ_H denote the representation of G/H on, respectively, V_ρ^H and $V_{\rho,H}$.

We shall also fix a continuous representation

$$\bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$$

with the following properties.

- $\bar{\rho}$ is modular in the sense that it is a mod p representation associated to some modular newform of some weight and level.
- The restriction of $\bar{\rho}$ to the group $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{(-1)^{(p-1)/2}p}))$ is absolutely irreducible.
- If c denotes complex conjugation then $\det \bar{\rho}(c) = -1$.
- The restriction of $\bar{\rho}$ to the decomposition group at p either has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 & * \\ 0 & \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with ψ_1 and ψ_2 distinct characters and with ψ_2 unramified; or is induced from a character χ of the unramified quadratic extension of \mathbf{Q}_p whose restriction to the inertia group is the fundamental character of level 2, $I_p \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{p^2}^\times$.

- If $l \neq p$ then

$$\text{— either } \bar{\rho}|_{I_l} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \chi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\text{— or } \bar{\rho}|_{I_l} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

— or $\bar{\rho}|_{G_l}$ is absolutely irreducible and in the case $\bar{\rho}|_{I_l}$ is absolutely reducible, $l \not\equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.

(This implies that $\bar{\rho}|_{G_l}$ is either unramified or of type A, B or C as defined in Chapter 1 of [W2]. On the other hand if $\bar{\rho}|_{G_l}$ is of type A, B or C then some twist satisfies the condition above.)

In the case that $\bar{\rho}|_{G_p} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 & * \\ 0 & \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$ we fix the pair of characters ψ_1, ψ_2 . Note that in some cases this may involve making a choice.

We let Q denote a finite set of primes q with the properties

- $\bar{\rho}$ is unramified at q ,

- $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$,
- $\bar{\rho}(\text{Frob}_q)$ has distinct eigenvalues, denoted α_q and β_q .

Much of our notation involves a subscript Q to denote dependence on Q ; whenever $Q = \emptyset$ we may simply drop it from the notation.

For $q \in Q$ we shall let Δ_q denote the Sylow p -subgroup of $(\mathbf{Z}/q\mathbf{Z})^\times$ and let δ_q denote a generator. We write Δ_Q for the product of the Δ_q with $q \in Q$, and let \mathfrak{a}_Q denote the kernel of the map $\mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ which sends every element of Δ_Q to 1. Let χ_q denote the character

$$G_Q \twoheadrightarrow \text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_q)/\mathbf{Q}) \cong (\mathbf{Z}/q\mathbf{Z})^\times \twoheadrightarrow \Delta_q,$$

and let $\chi_Q = \prod_{q \in Q} \chi_q$.

We denote by N_Q the product of the following quantities:

- the conductor of $\bar{\rho}$;
- the primes in Q ;
- p , if $\bar{\rho}$ is not flat (i.e. $\bar{\rho}$ does not arise from the action of G_p on the $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ -points of some finite flat group scheme over \mathbf{Z}_p) or if $\det \bar{\rho}|_{I_p} \neq \varepsilon$. (We remark that if $\bar{\rho}|_{G_p}$ is flat but $\det \bar{\rho}|_{I_p} \neq \varepsilon$ then $\bar{\rho}|_{G_p}$ arises from an étale group scheme over \mathbf{Z}_p . We also note that in [W2] the term flat is not used when the group scheme is ordinary.)

We let Γ_Q denote the inverse image under $\Gamma_0(N_Q) \rightarrow (\mathbf{Z}/N_Q\mathbf{Z})^\times$ of the product of the following subgroups:

- the Sylow p -subgroup of $(\mathbf{Z}/M\mathbf{Z})^\times$, where M denotes the conductor of $\bar{\rho}$;
- for each $q \in Q$ the unique maximal subgroup of $(\mathbf{Z}/q\mathbf{Z})^\times$ of order prime to p .

Let $\mathbf{T}(\Gamma_Q)$ denote the \mathbf{Z} -subalgebra of the complex endomorphisms of the space of weight 2 cusp forms on Γ_Q generated by the Hecke operators T_l and $\langle l \rangle$ for $l \nmid pN_Q$, by U_q for $q \in Q$ and by U_p if $p \mid N_Q$. Let \mathfrak{m} denote the ideal of $\mathbf{T}(\Gamma_Q) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{O}$ generated by λ , by $\text{tr} \bar{\rho}(\text{Frob}_l) - T_l$ and $\det \bar{\rho}(\text{Frob}_l) - l \langle l \rangle$ for $l \nmid pN_Q$, by $U_q - \alpha_q$ for $q \in Q$ and by $U_p - \psi_2(\text{Frob}_p)$ if $p \mid N_Q$. Note that if $Q \neq \emptyset$ this definition only makes sense if \mathcal{O} is sufficiently large that k contains the eigenvalues of $\bar{\rho}(\text{Frob}_q)$ for all $q \in Q$. It is a deep result following from the work of many mathematicians that \mathfrak{m} is a proper ideal (see [D]), and thus maximal. We let \mathbf{T}_Q denote the localisation of $\mathbf{T}(\Gamma_Q) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{O}$ at \mathfrak{m} . Note that \mathbf{T}_Q is reduced because the operators T_l for $l \nmid N_Q$ act semi-simply on the space of cusp forms for Γ_Q and the U_q for $q \in Q$ act semi-simply on all common eigenspaces for the T_l for which the corresponding p -adic representation τ is

either ramified at q or for which $\tau(\text{Frob}_q)$ has distinct eigenvalues. There is a natural map $\mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q] \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_Q$, which sends $x \in \Delta_Q$ to $\langle y \rangle$ where $y \in \mathbf{Z}$, $y \equiv x \pmod{q}$ for all $q \in Q$ and $y \equiv 1 \pmod{N_\emptyset}$.

It follows from the discussion after Theorem 2.1 of [W2] or from the work of Carayol [C2] that there is a continuous representation

$$\rho_Q^{\text{mod}} : G_Q \longrightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbf{T}_Q);$$

such that if $l \nmid N_Q p$ then ρ_Q^{mod} is unramified at l and we have $\text{tr} \rho_Q^{\text{mod}}(\text{Frob}_l) = T_l$ and $\det \rho_Q^{\text{mod}}(\text{Frob}_l) = l \langle l \rangle$. In particular the reduction of ρ_Q^{mod} modulo the maximal ideal of \mathbf{T}_Q is $\bar{\rho}$. From [C1] we can deduce the following:

- If $q \in Q$ then $\rho_Q^{\text{mod}}|_{G_q} = \phi_1 \oplus \phi_2$ where ϕ_1 is unramified and $\phi_1(\text{Frob}_q) = U_q$, and where $\phi_2|_{I_q} = \chi_q|_{I_q}$.
- If $l \neq p$ and $\bar{\rho}|_{I_l}$ is nontrivial but unipotent then $\rho_Q^{\text{mod}}|_{I_l}$ is unipotent.
- If $l \notin Q \cup \{p\}$ and either $\bar{\rho}|_{I_l} = \chi \oplus 1$ or $\bar{\rho}|_{G_l}$ is absolutely irreducible then $\rho_Q^{\text{mod}}(I_l) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{\rho}(I_l)$.
- $\det \rho_Q^{\text{mod}} = \chi_Q \varepsilon \phi$ where ϕ is a character of order prime to p .

Moreover if $\bar{\rho}|_{G_p}$ is flat and if $\det \bar{\rho}|_{I_p} = \varepsilon$ then $p \nmid N_Q$ so that $\rho_Q^{\text{mod}}|_{G_p}$ is flat (i.e. the reduction modulo every ideal of finite index is flat). If $\bar{\rho}|_{G_p}$ is not flat

or if $\det \bar{\rho}|_{I_p} \neq \varepsilon$ then $p \mid N_Q$, $\bar{\rho}|_{G_p} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 & * \\ 0 & \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and U_p is a unit in \mathbf{T}_Q . It follows from Theorem 2 of [W1] (or more directly in the case $\psi_1|_{I_q} \neq \varepsilon$ from Proposition 12.9 of [G]) that $\rho_Q^{\text{mod}}|_{G_p} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 \varepsilon & * \\ 0 & \chi_2 \end{pmatrix}$, where χ_2 is unramified and $\chi_1(I_p)$ has order prime to p . In the case that χ_1 is unramified we know further that $\chi_1 = \chi_2$ (see Proposition 1.1 of [W2]) and that this character has finite order. It will be convenient to introduce the twist $\rho'_Q = \rho_Q^{\text{mod}} \otimes \chi_Q^{-1/2}$ of ρ_Q^{mod} . In particular we see that $\det \rho'_Q$ is valued in \mathcal{O}^\times .

The main theorem of this paper is as follows. Recall that we may write \mathbf{T} for \mathbf{T}_\emptyset .

THEOREM 1. *The ring \mathbf{T} is a complete intersection.*

We note that if \mathcal{O}' is the ring of integers of a finite extension K'/K then the ring constructed using \mathcal{O}' in place of \mathcal{O} is just $\mathbf{T}_Q \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}'$. Also \mathbf{T} is a complete intersection if and only if $\mathbf{T} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}'$ is (by, for instance, Corollary 2.8 on page 209 of [K2]). Thus we may and we shall assume that \mathcal{O} is sufficiently large that the eigenvalues of every element of $\bar{\rho}$ are rational over k and that there is a homomorphism $\pi : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$. In particular the definition of \mathbf{T}_Q makes sense for all Q . There is an induced map $\pi_Q : \mathbf{T}_Q \rightarrow \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$. The map

$\mathbf{T}_Q \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$ takes the operators T_l and $\langle l \rangle$ to themselves and the operator U_q to the unique root of $U^2 - T_q U + q\langle q \rangle$ in \mathbf{T} above α_q . We let \wp_Q denote the kernel of π_Q and η_Q denote the ideal $\pi_Q(\text{ann}_{\mathbf{T}_Q}(\wp_Q))$. Then it is known that $\infty > \#\wp_Q/\wp_Q^2 \geq \#\mathcal{O}/\eta_Q$ with equality if and only if \mathbf{T}_Q is a complete intersection (see the appendix of [W2] or [L]; we are using the fact that \mathbf{T}_Q is reduced).

2. Generalisation of a result of de Shalit

In this section we shall use the methods of de Shalit (see [de Sh]) to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. *The ring \mathbf{T}_Q is a free $\mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q]$ module of $\mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q]$ -rank equal to the \mathcal{O} -rank of \mathbf{T} .*

By Lemma 3 of [DT] we may choose a prime R with the following properties:

- $R \nmid 6N_Q p$;
- $R \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$;
- $\bar{\rho}(\text{Frob}_R)$ has distinct eigenvalues α_R and β_R ;
- $(1 + R)^2 \det \bar{\rho}(\text{Frob}_R) \neq R(\text{tr} \bar{\rho}(\text{Frob}_R))^2$.

Let Γ_{Q-} be defined in the same way as Γ_Q but with $(\mathbf{Z}/q\mathbf{Z})^\times$ replacing its maximal subgroup of order prime to p in the definition for each $q \in Q$. Let $\Gamma'_Q = \Gamma_Q \cap \Gamma_1(R)$ and let $\Gamma'_{Q-} = \Gamma_{Q-} \cap \Gamma_1(R)$. The purpose of introducing the auxiliary prime R is to make these groups act freely on the upper half complex plane. Let $\mathbf{T}'(\Gamma'_Q)$ denote the \mathbf{Z} -subalgebra of the complex endomorphism ring of the space of weight-two modular (not necessarily cusp) forms generated by the operators T_l and $\langle l \rangle$ for $l \nmid N_Q R$ and by U_l for $l \mid N_Q R$. Let \mathfrak{m}'_Q denote the maximal ideal of $\mathbf{T}'(\Gamma'_Q) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{O}$ generated by the following elements:

- λ ;
- $T_l - \text{tr} \bar{\rho}(\text{Frob}_l)$ and $l\langle l \rangle - \det \bar{\rho}(\text{Frob}_l)$ for $l \nmid N_Q R p$;
- $U_q - \alpha_q$ for $q \in Q$ or $q = R$;
- $U_l - \text{tr} \bar{\rho}_{I_l}(\text{Frob}_l)$ if $l \mid N_\emptyset$ and $l \neq p$;
- $U_p - \psi_2(\text{Frob}_p)$ if $p \mid N_\emptyset$;
- $T_p - \text{tr} \bar{\rho}_{I_p}(\text{Frob}_p)$ if $p \nmid N_\emptyset$.

Let \mathbf{T}'_Q denote the localisation of $\mathbf{T}'(\Gamma'_Q) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{O}$ at \mathfrak{m}'_Q . Let Y'_Q denote the quotient of the upper half complex plane by Γ'_Q and let X'_Q denote its standard compactification. Complex conjugation c acts continuously on these Riemann surfaces. We let $H^1(Y'_Q, \mathcal{O})^{\pm}$ and $H^1(X'_Q, \mathcal{O})^{\pm}$ denote the ± 1 eigenspaces of c on $H^1(Y'_Q, \mathcal{O})$ and $H^1(X'_Q, \mathcal{O})$. All these definitions go over verbatim, but with Q - replacing Q_- .

LEMMA 1. $\mathbf{T}'_Q \cong \mathbf{T}_Q$ and $\mathbf{T}'_{Q_-} \cong \mathbf{T}$.

The proof is a standard argument which we will only sketch. First observe that because $\bar{\rho}$ is irreducible \mathbf{T}'_Q and \mathbf{T}'_{Q_-} can be defined using the ring generated by the Hecke operators on the spaces of weight-two cusp forms $S_2(\Gamma'_Q)$ and $S_2(\Gamma'_{Q_-})$ (rather than spaces of all modular forms). The same arguments as in the proof of Proposition 2.15 of [W2] show that we can drop the Hecke operators T_p if $p \nmid N_Q$ and the Hecke operators U_l for $l \neq p$ and $l \mid N_Q$ from the definition without changing the Hecke algebra. Next we show that we need only consider the algebras generated in the endomorphisms of $S_2(\Gamma_Q)^2 \subset S_2(\Gamma'_Q)$ and $S_2(\Gamma)^{2\#Q+1} \subset S_2(\Gamma'_{Q_-})$. This follows from the facts below:

- As $R \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and $\det \bar{\rho}$ is unramified at R , no component of \mathbf{T}'_Q nor of \mathbf{T}'_{Q_-} can correspond to an eigenform with a nontrivial action of $(\mathbf{Z}/R\mathbf{Z})^{\times}$.
- As $\alpha_R/\beta_R \neq R^{\pm 1}$ in k , no component of \mathbf{T}'_Q nor of \mathbf{T}'_{Q_-} can correspond to an eigenform which is special at R (i.e. an eigenform which corresponds to a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A})$ whose component at R is special).
- As for each prime $q \in Q$, $\alpha_q/\beta_q \neq q^{\pm 1}$ in k , no component of \mathbf{T}'_{Q_-} can correspond to an eigenform which is special at q .

The ring generated by the Hecke operators T_l and $\langle l \rangle$ for $l \nmid pN_Q R$, by U_q for $q \in Q \cup \{R\}$ and by U_p if $p \mid N_Q$ on $S_2(\Gamma_Q)^2$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{T}(\Gamma_Q)[u_R]/(u_R^2 - T_R u_R + R\langle R \rangle)$. In fact U_R acts by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} T_R & 1 \\ -R\langle R \rangle & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

on $S_2(\Gamma_Q)^2$. Similarly the ring generated by the Hecke operators T_l and $\langle l \rangle$ for $l \nmid pN_Q R$, by U_q for $q \in Q \cup \{R\}$ and by U_p if $p \mid N_Q$ on $S_2(\Gamma)^{2\#Q+1}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{T}(\Gamma)[u_q : q \in Q \cup \{R\}]/(u_q^2 - T_q u_q + q\langle q \rangle : q \in Q \cup \{R\})$. Tensoring with \mathcal{O} and localising at the appropriate maximal ideal we get the desired isomorphism. We have to use the fact that $u_R^2 - T_R u_R + R\langle R \rangle$ has two roots in \mathbf{T}_Q with distinct reductions modulo the maximal ideal and the similar facts over \mathbf{T} for $u_q^2 - T_q u_q + q\langle q \rangle$ with $q \in Q \cup \{R\}$.

Because $\bar{\rho}$ is irreducible we see that $H^1(Y'_Q, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}'_Q} = H^1(X'_Q, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}'_Q}$ and that $H^1(Y'_{Q-}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}'_{Q-}} = H^1(X'_{Q-}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}'_{Q-}}$. By Corollary 1 of Theorem 2.1 of [W2] we see that $H^1(X'_Q, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}'_Q}^{\pm}$ are free rank-one \mathbf{T}'_Q -modules and that $H^1(X'_{Q-}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}'_{Q-}}^{\pm}$ are free rank-one \mathbf{T}'_{Q-} -modules. Hence it will suffice to prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 1. $H^1(Y'_Q, \mathcal{O})^-$ is a free $\mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q]$ -module, with $\mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q]$ -rank equal to the \mathcal{O} -rank of $H^1(Y'_{Q-}, \mathcal{O})^-$.

Because $H^1(Y'_{Q-}, K) = H^1(Y'_Q, K)^{\Delta_Q}$ we need only show that $H^1(Y'_Q, \mathcal{O})^-$ is a free $\mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q]$ -module. Because $R \geq 5$, Γ'_{Q-} acts freely on the upper half complex plane and so may be identified with the fundamental group of Y'_{Q-} . In particular we see that Γ'_{Q-} is a free group. Similarly Γ'_Q acts freely on the upper half complex plane and we get identifications

$$H^1(Y'_Q, \mathcal{O}) \cong H^1(\Gamma'_Q, \mathcal{O}) \cong H^1(\Gamma'_{Q-}, \mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q]),$$

the latter arising from Shapiro's lemma. Under these identifications complex conjugation goes over to the involution induced by conjugation by $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and trivial action on the coefficients. (This follows because the action of c on Y'_Q is induced by the map $z \mapsto -\bar{z}$ of the upper half complex plane to itself.)

Because Γ'_{Q-} is a free group, the group of cocycles $Z^1(\Gamma'_{Q-}, \mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q])$ is a free $\mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q]$ -module. (If $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_a$ are free generators of Γ'_{Q-} then we have an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} Z^1(\Gamma'_{Q-}, \mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q]) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q]^a \\ \psi &\mapsto (\psi(\gamma_1), \dots, \psi(\gamma_a)). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand ξ acts trivially on Δ_Q and so the coboundaries are contained in $Z^1(\Gamma'_{Q-}, \mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q])^+$. Thus $H^1(Y'_Q, \mathcal{O})^- \cong Z^1(\Gamma'_{Q-}, \mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q])^-$ is a free $\mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q]$ -module, as desired.

Before leaving this section we note the following corollaries of Theorem 2.

COROLLARY 1. If $q \notin Q$ then $\mathbf{T}_{Q \cup \{q\}} / (\delta_q - 1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{T}_Q$. Moreover, $(\delta_q - 1)\mathbf{T}_{Q \cup \{q\}}$ and $(1 + \delta_q + \dots + \delta_q^{\#\Delta_q - 1})\mathbf{T}_{Q \cup \{q\}}$ are annihilators of each other in $\mathbf{T}_{Q \cup \{q\}}$.

COROLLARY 2. If for some Q the ring \mathbf{T}_Q is a complete intersection then so is \mathbf{T} .

The proof is by showing that under the assumption that $\mathbf{T}_{Q \cup \{q\}}$ is a complete intersection, so is \mathbf{T}_Q . The argument in Section 2 of [K1] shows

that if a complete local noetherian ring S is a complete intersection, if $f \in S$ and if $\text{Hom}_S(S/(f), S) = \text{Hom}_S(S/(f), \text{Ann}_S(f))$ is a free $S/(f)$ module then $S/(f)$ is a complete intersection. We apply this result with $S = \mathbf{T}_{Q \cup \{q\}}$ and $f = \delta_q - 1$. The final condition is met because the annihilator of $\delta_q - 1$ in $\mathbf{T}_{Q \cup \{q\}}$ is a free rank-one \mathbf{T}_Q module by the last corollary.

COROLLARY 3. $\eta_Q = \eta \# \Delta_Q$.

We remind the reader that η_Q is defined at the end of Section 1 and that $\eta = \eta_\emptyset$. The proof of the corollary involves showing that $\eta_{Q \cup \{q\}} = \eta_Q \# \Delta_q$ if $q \notin Q$. Write $Q' = Q \cup \{q\}$ and let θ denote the natural surjection $\mathbf{T}_{Q'} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_Q$. It suffices to prove that in $\mathbf{T}_{Q'}$ we have the equation $\text{Ann}_{\wp_{Q'}} = (1 + \delta_q + \cdots + \delta_q^{\# \Delta_q - 1})\theta^{-1}(\text{Ann}_{\mathbf{T}_Q}(\wp_Q))$. However $\theta^{-1}(\text{Ann}_{\mathbf{T}_Q}(\wp_Q))$ is just the set of elements t of $\mathbf{T}_{Q'}$ for which $t\wp_{Q'} \subset (\delta_q - 1)\mathbf{T}_{Q'}$. The inclusion $\text{Ann}_{\wp_{Q'}} \supset (1 + \delta_q + \cdots + \delta_q^{\# \Delta_q - 1})\theta^{-1}(\text{Ann}_{\mathbf{T}_Q}(\wp_Q))$ is now clear. Conversely if $t \in \text{Ann}_{\wp_{Q'}}$ then t annihilates $\ker \theta$ and hence $t = (1 + \delta_q + \cdots + \delta_q^{\# \Delta_q - 1})s$. Then we see that $s\wp_{Q'} \subset (\delta_q - 1)\mathbf{T}_{Q'}$, i.e. that $s \in \theta^{-1}(\text{Ann}_{\mathbf{T}_Q}(\wp_Q))$. The other inclusion now follows.

COROLLARY 4. $\#(\mathfrak{a}_Q \mathbf{T}_Q / \wp_Q \mathfrak{a}_Q \mathbf{T}_Q) \# (\mathcal{O} / \eta) = \#(\mathcal{O} / \eta_Q)$.

To prove this corollary note that $\mathfrak{a}_Q / \mathfrak{a}_Q^2 \cong \bigoplus_{q \in Q} \mathcal{O} / \# \Delta_q$. Thus it follows from Theorem 2 that $\mathfrak{a}_Q \mathbf{T}_Q / \mathfrak{a}_Q^2 \mathbf{T}_Q \cong \mathbf{T}_Q \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q]} \mathfrak{a}_Q / \mathfrak{a}_Q^2 \cong \bigoplus_{q \in Q} \mathbf{T} / \# \Delta_q$ and so we deduce that $\mathfrak{a}_Q \mathbf{T}_Q / \wp_Q \mathfrak{a}_Q \mathbf{T}_Q \cong \bigoplus_{q \in Q} \mathcal{O} / \# \Delta_q$. This corollary now follows from the last one.

3. Some algebra

In this section we shall establish certain criteria for rings to be complete intersections. We rely on the numerical criterion established in the appendix of [W2]. In that appendix there is a Gorenstein hypothesis which can be checked in the cases where we apply the results of this section. However in order to state the results of this section in somewhat greater generality we shall refer to the paper [L], where the Gorenstein hypothesis in the appendix of [W2] is removed, rather than to the appendix of [W2] directly.

Fix a finite flat reduced local \mathcal{O} algebra T with a section $\pi : T \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$. We consider complete local noetherian \mathcal{O} algebras R together with maps $R \rightarrow T$. We denote by J_R the kernel of the map $R \rightarrow T$, by π_R the induced map $R \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$, by \wp_R the kernel of π_R and by η_R the image under π_R of the annihilator in R of \wp_R . We let $\Psi_R = (\wp_R^2 \cap J_R) / \wp_R J_R$.

If $S \rightarrow R \rightarrow T$ then $\wp_S^2 \rightarrow \wp_R^2$, J_S is the pre-image of J_R and so $\Psi_S \rightarrow \Psi_R$.

We have an exact sequence

$$(0) \rightarrow \Psi_R \rightarrow J_R / \wp_R J_R \rightarrow \wp_R / \wp_R^2 \rightarrow \wp_T / \wp_T^2 \rightarrow (0).$$

From this we deduce the following facts.

- $\#\Psi_R < \infty$. (To see this it suffices to show that $(\Psi_R)_{\wp_R} = (0)$. However, as T is reduced, $(J_R)_{\wp_R} = (\wp_R)_{\wp_R}$ and so the map $(J_R/\wp_R J_R)_{\wp_R} \rightarrow (\wp_R/\wp_R^2)_{\wp_R}$ is an isomorphism. The result follows.)
- $\#\Psi_R \#(\wp_R/\wp_R^2) = \#(\wp_T/\wp_T^2) \#(J_R/\wp_R J_R)$.

LEMMA 2. *Suppose the inequalities $\#(\wp_T/\wp_T^2) \leq \#(\mathcal{O}/\eta_T) \#\Psi_R$ and $\#(\mathcal{O}/\eta_T) \#(J_R/\wp_R J_R) \leq \#(\mathcal{O}/\eta_R) < \infty$ hold and suppose R is a finite flat \mathcal{O} -algebra. Then R is a complete intersection.*

To show this first note that we have the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \#(\wp_R/\wp_R^2) &= \#(\wp_T/\wp_T^2) \#(J_R/\wp_R J_R) / \#\Psi_R \\ &\leq \#(\wp_T/\wp_T^2) \#(\mathcal{O}/\eta_R) / (\#\Psi_R \#(\mathcal{O}/\eta_T)) \\ &\leq \#(\mathcal{O}/\eta_R). \end{aligned}$$

Now applying the criterion of [L] we see that R is a complete intersection.

LEMMA 3. *The following inequality exists:*

$$\#(\mathcal{O}/\eta_R) \leq \#(J_R/\wp_R J_R) \#(\mathcal{O}/\eta_T).$$

As $\text{Fitt}_R(J_R) \subset \text{Ann}_R(J_R)$ we see that $\text{Fitt}_{\mathcal{O}}(J_R/\wp_R J_R) \subset \pi_R \text{Ann}_R(J_R)$.

On the other hand it is easy to see that

$$\text{Ann}_R(\wp_R) \supset \{s \in R \mid s\wp_R \subset J_R\} \text{Ann}_R(J_R).$$

Applying π_R we see that

$$\eta_R \supset \eta_T \text{Fitt}_{\mathcal{O}}(J_R/\wp_R J_R),$$

and the lemma follows.

LEMMA 4. *If R is a complete intersection which is finite and flat over \mathcal{O} and $\eta_R \neq (0)$ then $\#(\wp_T/\wp_T^2) \leq \#(\mathcal{O}/\eta_T) \#\Psi_R$. If R is a power series ring the same result is true without the assumptions that it is finite over \mathcal{O} and that $\eta_R \neq (0)$.*

For the first part we see that, as R is a complete intersection, $\#(\wp_R/\wp_R^2) = \#(\mathcal{O}/\eta_R)$ (see [L]). Thus,

$$\#\Psi_R \#(\mathcal{O}/\eta_R) = \#(\wp_T/\wp_T^2) \#(J_R/\wp_R J_R) \geq \#(\wp_T/\wp_T^2) \#(\mathcal{O}/\eta_R) / \#(\mathcal{O}/\eta_T).$$

The first result follows. For the second result note that we can factor $R \rightarrow T$ as $R \rightarrow R' \rightarrow T$ with R' a complete intersection which is finite and flat over \mathcal{O} and for which $\wp_{R'}/\wp_{R'}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \wp_T/\wp_T^2$ (by the proof of Lemma 9 of [L]). Then $\#\mathcal{O}/\eta_{R'} = \#\wp_{R'}/\wp_{R'}^2 < \infty$ and so $\#\wp_T/\wp_T^2 \leq \#(\mathcal{O}/\eta_T) \#\Psi_{R'}$. However $\Psi_R \rightarrow \Psi_{R'}$, so the result follows.

We now return to the notation of the first section and let Ψ_Q denote Ψ_{T_Q} and J_Q denote J_{T_Q} .

PROPOSITION 2. Suppose that for a series of sets Q_n ideals I_n in \mathbf{T}_{Q_n} with the following properties exist:

1. I_n is contained in $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{T}_{Q_n}}^2$ and \mathbf{T}_{Q_n}/I_n has finite cardinality.
2. $I_{n+1}\mathbf{T} \subset I_n\mathbf{T}$ and $\bigcap_n I_n\mathbf{T} = (0)$.
3. There is a surjective map of \mathcal{O} -algebras $\mathbf{T}_{Q_{n+1}}/I_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{Q_n}/I_n$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{T}_{Q_{n+1}}/I_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{T}_{Q_n}/I_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{T}/I_{n+1}\mathbf{T} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{T}/I_n\mathbf{T} \end{array}$$

commutes. (Note that this map is not assumed to take a given Hecke operator to itself.)

4. $\varprojlim \mathbf{T}_{Q_n}/I_n$ is a power series ring.

Then for n sufficiently large, \mathbf{T}_{Q_n} is a complete intersection, and hence \mathbf{T} is a complete intersection (by Corollary 2 of Theorem 2).

Let P denote $\varprojlim \mathbf{T}_{Q_n}/I_n$. We get a natural map $P \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$ and can choose maps $P \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{Q_n}$ compatible with the maps $\mathbf{T}_{Q_n} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{T}_{Q_n} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{T}_{Q_n}/I_n$. Because $I_n \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{T}_{Q_n}}^2$ we see that the map $P \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{Q_n}$ is surjective. We have a sequence

$$\Psi_P \rightarrow \Psi_{Q_n} \longrightarrow ((J_{Q_n} + I_n) \cap (\wp_{Q_n}^2 + I_n)) / (J_{Q_n}\wp_{Q_n} + I_n).$$

(Note that although the maps $P \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{Q_n}$ and $\Psi_P \rightarrow \Psi_{Q_n}$ are not compatible as n varies, the composite map above is.) Moreover $\Psi_P = \varprojlim ((J_{Q_n} + I_n) \cap (\wp_{Q_n}^2 + I_n)) / (J_{Q_n}\wp_{Q_n} + I_n)$ (by the fact that \mathbf{T}_{Q_n}/I_n is finite for all n) and so as Ψ_P is finite, the map $\Psi_P \rightarrow ((J_{Q_n} + I_n) \cap (\wp_{Q_n}^2 + I_n)) / (J_{Q_n}\wp_{Q_n} + I_n)$ is injective for n sufficiently large. Thus for n sufficiently large $\Psi_P \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi_{Q_n}$. We deduce the inequality

$$\#(\wp/\wp^2) \leq \#(\mathcal{O}/\eta)\#\Psi_P = \#(\mathcal{O}/\eta)\#\Psi_{Q_n},$$

where the first inequality follows from Lemma 4. The proposition follows on application of Corollary 4 of Theorem 2 and Lemma 2.

PROPOSITION 3. Suppose that there are an integer r and a series of sets Q_m with the following properties:

1. If $q \in Q_m$ then $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p^m}$.
2. $\bar{\rho}$ is unramified at q and $\bar{\rho}(\text{Frob}_q)$ has distinct eigenvalues.

3. $\#Q_m = r$.

4. \mathbf{T}_{Q_m} can be generated as an \mathcal{O} -algebra by r elements.

Then \mathbf{T} is a complete intersection.

To prove this proposition it is useful to have the following definition. By a level n structure we mean a quadruple $B = (A, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, where

- A is an \mathcal{O} -algebra,
- $\alpha : \mathcal{O}[[T_1, \dots, T_r]] \rightarrow A$,
- $\beta : \mathcal{O}[[S_1, \dots, S_r]]/(p^n, (S_1 + 1)^{p^n} - 1, \dots, (S_r + 1)^{p^n} - 1) \rightarrow A$ makes A a free module over $\mathcal{O}[[S_1, \dots, S_r]]/(p^n, (S_1 + 1)^{p^n} - 1, \dots, (S_r + 1)^{p^n} - 1)$,
- and $\gamma : A/(S_1, \dots, S_r) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{T}/p^n$.

If B is a structure of level n and $n' \leq n$ then it induces a structure of level n' by reducing mod $(p^{n'}, (S_1 + 1)^{p^{n'}} - 1, \dots, (S_r + 1)^{p^{n'}} - 1)$.

Let $A_m = \mathbf{T}_{Q_m}/(p^m, \delta_q^{p^m} - 1 \mid q \in Q_m)$. This extends to a level m structure denoted B_m . For $n \leq m$ we let $B_{m,n}$ denote the level n structure induced by B_m . There are only finitely many isomorphism classes of structures of level n and so we may choose, recursively, integers $m(n)$ with the following two properties.

1. $B_{m(n),n-1} \cong B_{m(n-1),n-1}$.
2. $B_{m(n),n} \cong B_{m,n}$ for infinitely many integers m .

Let I_n denote the kernel of the map from $\mathbf{T}_{Q_{m(n)}}$ to the ring underlying $B_{m(n),n}$. We claim that the pairs $(Q_{m(n)}, I_n)$ for $n \geq 2$ satisfy the requirements of Proposition 2 (using $n \geq 2$ to ensure that $I_n \subset \mathfrak{m}_{Q_n}^2$). We need only check that $\varprojlim B_{m(n),n}$ is a power series ring. On the one hand it is a finite free $\mathcal{O}[[S_1, \dots, S_r]]$ -module, and so has Krull dimension $r + 1$. On the other hand it is a quotient of $\mathcal{O}[[T_1, \dots, T_r]]$ and so must in fact equal $\mathcal{O}[[T_1, \dots, T_r]]$.

4. Galois cohomology

It remains to find a sequence of sets Q_m with the properties of Proposition 3. We must recall some definitions in Galois cohomology. We define $H_f^1(\mathbf{Q}_l, \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$.

1. If $l \neq p$ then $H_f^1(\mathbf{Q}_l, \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) = H^1(\mathbf{F}_l, (\mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})^{I_l}) = \ker(H^1(\mathbf{Q}_l, \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \rightarrow H^1(I_l, \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}))$.

2. If $\bar{\rho}|_{G_p}$ is flat and $\det \bar{\rho}|_{I_p} = \varepsilon$ then we let $H_f^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ denote those elements in $H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \subset \text{Ext}_{k[G_p]}^1(V_{\bar{\rho}}, V_{\bar{\rho}})$ which correspond to extensions which can be realised as the $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ -points on the generic fibre of a finite flat group scheme over \mathbf{Z}_p .
3. If $\bar{\rho}|_{G_p} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 & * \\ 0 & \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\psi_1|_{I_p} \neq \varepsilon$ then we let $H_f^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ denote the kernel of $H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \rightarrow H^1(I_p, (\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})/\text{Hom}_k(V_{\bar{\rho}}/F, F))$, where F denotes the line in $V_{\bar{\rho}}$ where G_p acts by the character ψ_1 .
4. Finally if $\bar{\rho}|_{G_p} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 & * \\ 0 & \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\psi_1|_{I_p} = \varepsilon$ but $\bar{\rho}$ is not flat then we let $H_f^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ denote the kernel of the map $H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, (\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})/\text{Hom}_k(V_{\bar{\rho}}/F, F))$, where F denotes the line in $V_{\bar{\rho}}$ where G_p acts by the character ψ_1 .

We define $H_Q^1(\mathbf{Q}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ as the inverse image under

$$H^1(\mathbf{Q}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \longrightarrow \prod_{l \notin Q} H^1(\mathbf{Q}_l, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$$

of $\prod_{l \notin Q} H_f^1(\mathbf{Q}_l, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$.

We also define $H_f^1(\mathbf{Q}_l, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1))$ to be the annihilator of $H_f^1(\mathbf{Q}_l, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ under the pairing of Tate local duality $H^1(\mathbf{Q}_l, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \times H^1(\mathbf{Q}_l, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1)) \rightarrow k$. We then define $H_{Q^*}^1(\mathbf{Q}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1))$ to be the inverse image under

$$H^1(\mathbf{Q}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1)) \longrightarrow \prod_{l \notin Q} H^1(\mathbf{Q}_l, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1))$$

of $\prod_{l \notin Q} H_f^1(\mathbf{Q}_l, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1))$.

LEMMA 5. $\dim_k H_Q^1(\mathbf{Q}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \leq \dim_k H_{Q^*}^1(\mathbf{Q}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1)) + \#Q$.

To see this we apply Proposition 1.6 of [W2]. For $l \notin Q$ and $l \neq p$ we see that $h_l = 1$ because the index of $H_f^1(\mathbf{Q}_l, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ in $H^1(\mathbf{Q}_l, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ is equal to $\#H^1(I_l, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})^{G_{\mathbf{F}_l}}$ which in turn equals

$$\#((\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(-1))_{I_l})^{G_{\mathbf{F}_l}} = \#((\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1))^{I_l})_{G_{\mathbf{F}_l}} = \#H^0(\mathbf{Q}_l, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1)).$$

For $q \in Q$ we have that $h_q = \#H^0(\mathbf{Q}_q, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1)) = \#k$. It remains to check that $h_p h_\infty \leq 1$. In the case that $\bar{\rho}|_{G_p}$ is not flat or $\det \bar{\rho}|_{I_p} \neq \varepsilon$ this is proved in parts (iii) and (iv) of Proposition 1.9 of [W2]. Thus suppose that $\bar{\rho}|_{G_p}$ is flat and $\det \bar{\rho}|_{I_p} = \varepsilon$. We must show that $\dim_k H_f^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \leq 1 + \dim_k H^0(\mathbf{Q}_p, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ ($= 1$ if $\bar{\rho}|_{G_p}$ is indecomposable and $= 2$ otherwise).

Following [FL] let \mathcal{M} denote the abelian category of k vector spaces M with a distinguished subspace M^1 and a k -linear isomorphism $\phi : M/M^1 \oplus M^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} M$. Then there are equivalences of categories among:

- \mathcal{M}^{op} ;
- finite flat group schemes A/\mathbf{Z}_p with an action of k ;
- $k[G_p]$ -modules which are isomorphic as modules over $\mathbf{F}_p[G_p]$ to the $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ points of some finite flat group scheme over \mathbf{Z}_p .

See Section 9 of [FL] for details. The only point here is that an action of k on the generic fibre of a finite flat group scheme over \mathbf{Z}_p extends uniquely to an action on the whole scheme. Let $M(\bar{\rho})$ denote the object of \mathcal{M} corresponding to $\bar{\rho}$. Then $\dim_k M(\bar{\rho}) = 2$ and $\dim_k M(\bar{\rho})^1 = 1$ (since $\det \bar{\rho}|_{I_p} = \varepsilon$). We get an embedding $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{M}}^1(M(\bar{\rho}), M(\bar{\rho})) \hookrightarrow H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, \text{ad} \bar{\rho})$. We will show that

1. $\dim_k \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{M}}^1(M(\bar{\rho}), M(\bar{\rho})) = 2$ if $\bar{\rho}|_{G_p}$ is indecomposable and $= 3$ otherwise.
2. The composite map $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{M}}^1(M(\bar{\rho}), M(\bar{\rho})) \hookrightarrow H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, \text{ad} \bar{\rho}) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, k)$ is nontrivial, where tr denotes the map induced by the trace.

The lemma will then follow.

For the first point it is explained in Lemma 4.4 of [R] how to calculate $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{M}}^1(M(\bar{\rho}), M(\bar{\rho}))$. Let $\{e_0, e_1\}$ be a basis of $M(\bar{\rho})$ with $e_1 \in M(\bar{\rho})^1$. Let $\phi(e_0, 0) = \alpha e_0 + \beta e_1$ and $\phi(0, e_1) = \gamma e_0 + \delta e_1$. Then $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{M}}^1(M(\bar{\rho}), M(\bar{\rho}))$ can be identified as a k -vector space with $M_2(k)$ modulo the subspace of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ s & t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \gamma \\ \beta & \delta \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \gamma \\ \beta & \delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (r-t)\gamma \\ s\alpha + (t-r)\beta & s\gamma \end{pmatrix},$$

for any $r, s, t \in k$. Thus $\dim_k \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{M}}^1(M(\bar{\rho}), M(\bar{\rho})) = 2$ if $\gamma \neq 0$ and $= 3$ if $\gamma = 0$. However $\gamma = 0$ if and only if $M(\bar{\rho})^1$ is a subobject of $M(\bar{\rho})$ in \mathcal{M} . This is true if and only if $\bar{\rho}$ has a one-dimensional quotient on which inertia acts by ε which itself is true if and only if $\bar{\rho}|_{G_p}$ is decomposable.

For the second point, consider the $k[G_p]$ -module $\bar{\rho} \otimes \tau$ where τ is the unramified representation

$$\text{Frob}_p \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\bar{\rho} \otimes \tau$ is an extension of $\bar{\rho}$ by itself. Moreover its extension class maps to the element of $H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, k) = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Q}_p^\times, k)$ which is trivial on \mathbf{Z}_p^\times and takes $p \mapsto 2$. Finally it is isomorphic to the action of G_p on the $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ points of a finite flat group scheme over \mathbf{Z}_p , because this is true over an unramified extension.

LEMMA 6. \mathbf{T}_Q can be generated as an \mathcal{O} algebra by $\dim_k H_Q^1(\mathbf{Q}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ elements.

Let \mathfrak{m}_Q denote the maximal ideal of \mathbf{T}_Q . It will suffice to show that there is an embedding of k -vector spaces

$$\kappa : \text{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{m}_Q/(\mathfrak{m}_Q^2, \lambda), k) \hookrightarrow H_Q^1(\mathbf{Q}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}).$$

We first define

$$\kappa : \text{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{m}_Q/(\mathfrak{m}_Q^2, \lambda), k) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbf{Q}, \text{ad} \bar{\rho}).$$

If θ is a nonzero element of the left-hand group we may extend it uniquely to a map of local \mathcal{O} -algebras $\hat{\theta} : \mathbf{T}_Q \rightarrow k[\varepsilon]$ where $\varepsilon^2 = 0$. Let $\rho_\theta = \hat{\theta} \circ \rho'_Q$. We get an exact sequence

$$(0) \longrightarrow V_{\bar{\rho}} \longrightarrow V_{\rho_\theta} \longrightarrow V_{\bar{\rho}} \longrightarrow (0),$$

and hence a class $\kappa(\theta)$ in $\text{Ext}_{k[G_Q]}^1(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\rho}) \cong H^1(\mathbf{Q}, \text{ad} \bar{\rho})$. Because $\det \rho_\theta$ is valued in $k \subset k[\varepsilon]$ we see that $\kappa(\theta)$ actually lies $H^1(\mathbf{Q}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$.

We claim that $\text{res}_l \kappa(\theta)$ lies in $H_f^1(\mathbf{Q}_l, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ for $l \notin Q$. This computation is very similar to some in [W2], but is not actually carried out there, so we give an argument here. First suppose that $l \neq p$ and that either $p \nmid \# \bar{\rho}(I_l)$ or $\bar{\rho}|_{G_l}$ is absolutely irreducible. In this case $\rho'_Q(I_l) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{\rho}(I_l)$ and $\det \rho'_Q|_{I_l}$ has order prime to l . Because either $p \nmid \# \bar{\rho}(I_l)$ or $p = 3$ and $\text{ad} \bar{\rho}(I_l) \cong A_4$ we have that $H^1(\bar{\rho}(I_l), \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) = (0)$ and so $\rho_\theta|_{I_l} \cong \bar{\rho}|_{I_l} \otimes_k k[\varepsilon]$. The result follows in this case. Secondly suppose that $\bar{\rho}|_{I_l}$ is unipotent and nontrivial. Then the same is true for $\rho'_Q|_{I_l}$ and then also for ρ_θ . However the Sylow p -subgroup of I_l is pro-cyclic and so $\rho_\theta|_{I_l}$ must also be of the form $\bar{\rho} \otimes_k k[\varepsilon]$ and $\text{res}_l \kappa(\theta) \in H^1(I_l, \text{ad}^0(\bar{\rho}))$ must vanish. In the case $l = p$, $\bar{\rho}$ is flat and $\det \bar{\rho}|_{I_p} = \varepsilon$, the claim is immediate from the definitions. In the case $l = p$ and $\bar{\rho}|_{G_p} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 & * \\ 0 & \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\psi_1|_{I_p} \neq \varepsilon$, use the fact that $\rho'_Q|_{I_p} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\psi}_1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ where $\tilde{\psi}_1$ denotes the Teichmüller lifting of $\psi_1|_{I_p}$. Finally in the case $l = p$, $\bar{\rho}|_{G_p} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 & * \\ 0 & \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\psi_1|_{I_p} = \varepsilon$ but $\bar{\rho}$ not flat, use the fact that

$$\rho'_Q|_{G_p} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \delta \varepsilon & * \\ 0 & \delta \end{pmatrix}$$

where δ is an unramified character of order prime to p .

It remains to show that κ is injective. Suppose it is not. Then we could find a nonzero θ such that $\rho_\theta \sim \bar{\rho} \otimes_k k[\varepsilon]$. Thus $\text{tr} \rho'_Q$ is valued in $\mathcal{O} + \ker \bar{\theta}$ and in particular \mathbf{T}_Q is not generated as an \mathcal{O} -algebra by $\text{tr} \rho'_Q$. We will show this is not the case. If $q \in Q$ and $\delta \in \Delta_q$ then we can find $\sigma \in G_q$ such that

$(U_q\delta)^2 - (\text{tr}\rho'_Q(\sigma))(U_q\delta) + \det\rho'_Q(\sigma) = 0$. (σ will in fact lie above Frob_q .) This polynomial has distinct roots in k and so both its roots in \mathbf{T}_Q lie in the sub- \mathcal{O} -algebra T generated by the image of $\text{tr}\rho'_Q$. Thus for all $\delta \in \Delta_q$, $U_q\delta \in T$. Hence $U_q \in T$, and as U_q is a unit, $\delta \in T$. Moreover for all $l \notin Q$ for which $\bar{\rho}$ is unramified we see that $T_l\chi_Q(\text{Frob}_l)^{-1/2} \in T$ and hence $T_l \in T$. If $p \mid N_Q$ then $U_p\chi_Q(\text{Frob}_p)^{-1/2}$ is a root of the polynomial $X^2 - (\text{tr}\rho'_Q(\sigma))X + \det\rho'_Q(\sigma)$ for any element σ of G_p which lies above Frob_p . For some σ over Frob_p this polynomial has two distinct roots in k and so $U_p \in T$. Thus $T = \mathbf{T}_Q$ as we required.

Finally we turn to the proof of the main theorem. As in [W2] (after equation (3.8)) we may find a set of primes Q_m with the following properties:

1. if $q \in Q_m$ then $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p^m}$;
2. if $q \in Q_m$ then $\bar{\rho}$ is unramified at q and $\bar{\rho}(\text{Frob}_q)$ has distinct eigenvalues;
3. $H^1_{\bar{\rho}^*}(\mathbf{Q}, \text{ad}^0\bar{\rho}(1)) \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{q \in Q_m} H^1(\mathbf{F}_q, \text{ad}^0\bar{\rho}(1))$.

As for each such q , $H^1(\mathbf{F}_q, \text{ad}^0\bar{\rho}) = k$, we see that by shrinking Q_m we may suppose that the latter map is an isomorphism. Then we have that $\#Q_m = \dim_k H^1_{\bar{\rho}^*}(\mathbf{Q}, \text{ad}^0\bar{\rho}(1))$. Also $H^1_{Q_m^*}(\mathbf{Q}, \text{ad}^0\bar{\rho}(1))$ is the kernel of the map in 3 above and so is trivial. Thus by Lemma 5 we see that $\dim_k H^1_{Q_m}(\mathbf{Q}, \text{ad}^0\bar{\rho}) \leq \#Q_m$ and so \mathbf{T}_{Q_m} can be generated by $\#Q_m = \dim_k H^1_{\bar{\rho}^*}(\mathbf{Q}, \text{ad}^0\bar{\rho}(1))$ elements. The main theorem now follows from Proposition 3.

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY, CAMBRIDGE, U.K.

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NJ, U.S.A.

REFERENCES

- [C1] H. CARAYOL, Sur les représentations p -adiques associées aux formes modulaires de Hilbert, *Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Super.* **19** (1986), 409–468.
- [C2] ———, Formes modulaires et représentations Galoisienues à valeurs dans un anneau local complet, in *p -adic Monodromy and the Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture* (eds. B. Mazur and G. Stevens), *Contemp. Math.* **165** (1994).
- [D] F. DIAMOND, The refined conjecture of Serre, to appear in *Proc. 1993 Hong Kong Conf. on Modular Forms and Elliptic Curves*.
- [DT] F. DIAMOND and R. TAYLOR, Lifting modular mod l representations, *Duke Math. J.* **74** (1994), 253–269.
- [FL] J.-M. FONTAINE and G. LAFAILLE, Construction de représentations p -adiques, *Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Super.* **15** (1982), 547–608.
- [G] B. GROSS, A tameness criterion for Galois representations associated to modular forms mod p , *Duke Math. J.* **61** (1990), 445–517.
- [K1] E. KUNZ, Almost complete intersections are not Gorenstein, *J. of Alg.* **28** (1974), 111–115.
- [K2] ———, *Introduction to Commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry*, Birkhäuser, 1985.

- [L] H. LENSTRA, Complete intersections and Gorenstein rings, to appear in Proc. 1993 Hong Kong Conf. on Modular Forms and Elliptic Curves.
- [M] B. MAZUR, Modular curves and the Eisenstein ideal, Publ. Math. IHES **47** (1977), 133–186.
- [de Sh] E. DE SHALIT, On certain Galois representations related to the modular curve $X_1(p)$, to appear in Comp. Math.
- [R] R. RAMAKRISHNA, On a variation of Mazur's deformation functor, Comp. Math. **87** (1993), 269–286.
- [W1] A. WILES, On ordinary λ -adic representations associated to modular forms, Invent. Math. **94** (1988), 529–573.
- [W2] ———, Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's Last Theorem, Ann. of Math. **141** (1995), prior paper, this issue.

(Received October 7, 1994)

Appendix

The purpose of this appendix is to explain certain simplifications to some of the arguments of Chapter 3 of [W2] and to Section 3 of this paper. These simplifications were found by G. Faltings and we would like to thank him for allowing us to include them here. We should make it clear that the arguments of this appendix (just like those of Chapter 3 of [W2] and Section 3 of this paper) apply only to proving Conjecture 2.16 of [W2] for the minimal Hecke ring and minimal deformation problem. In order to prove Theorem 3.3 of [W2] one needs to invoke Theorem 2.17 and the arguments of Chapter 2 of [W2].

We will keep the notation and assumptions of the main body of this paper. Let Q denote a finite set of primes as described in Section 1 of this paper. By a deformation of $\bar{\rho}$ of type Q we mean a complete noetherian local \mathcal{O} -algebra A with residue field k together with an equivalence class of continuous representations $\rho : G_Q \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(A)$ with the following properties:

- $\rho \bmod \mathfrak{m}_A = \bar{\rho}$;
- $\varepsilon^{-1} \det \rho$ is a character of finite order prime to p ;
- if $l \notin Q \cup \{p\}$ and $\bar{\rho}|_{I_l}$ is semisimple then $\rho(I_l) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{\rho}(I_l)$;
- if $l \notin Q \cup \{p\}$ and $\bar{\rho}|_{I_l} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ then $\rho|_{I_l} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$;
- if $\bar{\rho}$ is flat and $\det \bar{\rho}|_{I_p} = \varepsilon$ then ρ is flat;
- if either $\bar{\rho}$ is not flat or if $\det \bar{\rho}|_{I_p} \neq \varepsilon$ then $\rho|_{G_p} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 & * \\ 0 & \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}$ where ϕ_2 is unramified and $\phi_2 \bmod \mathfrak{m}_A = \psi_2$.

As in Chapter 1 of [W2] there is a universal lift $\rho_Q^{\text{univ}} : G_Q \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(R_Q)$ of type Q . Recall that the universal property is for lifts up to conjugation. Moreover, one checks (cf. the second paragraph of the proof of Lemma 6) that there is a natural isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{m}_{R_Q}/(\lambda, \mathfrak{m}_{R_Q}^2), k) \cong H_Q^1(Q, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}).$$

There is also a natural map $R_Q \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_Q$ so that ρ_Q^{univ} pushes forward to a conjugate of ρ'_Q .

Recall that if $Q = \emptyset$ we shall often drop it from the notation. In this appendix we shall reprove the following result which combines Theorem 1 of this paper and Theorem 3.1(ii) of [W2].

THEOREM. $R \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{T}$ and these rings are complete intersections.

We note that if \mathcal{O}' is the ring of integers of a finite extension K'/K then the rings \mathbf{T}'_Q and R'_Q constructed using \mathcal{O}' in place of \mathcal{O} are just $\mathbf{T}_Q \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}'$ and $R_Q \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}'$. Also \mathbf{T}_Q is a complete intersection if and only if $\mathbf{T}_Q \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}'$ is (by, for instance, Corollary 2.8 on page 209 of [K2]). Thus we may and we shall assume that \mathcal{O} is sufficiently large that the eigenvalues of every element of $\bar{\rho}(G_Q)$ are rational over k .

We recall that in the penultimate paragraph of Section 4 of this paper we showed that \mathbf{T}_Q is generated as an \mathcal{O} -algebra by $\text{tr} \rho'_Q(G_Q)$. Thus we see that the map $R_Q \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_Q$ is a surjection.

We need the following result.

LEMMA. If $q \in Q$ then $\rho_Q^{\text{univ}}|_{G_q} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}$ where $\phi_1|_{I_q} = \phi_2|_{I_q}^{-1}$ and both of these characters factor through $\chi_q : I_q \rightarrow \Delta_q$.

It suffices to check the first assertion. As $\bar{\rho}$ is unramified at q , $\rho_Q^{\text{univ}}|_{G_q}$ factors through $\hat{\mathbf{Z}} \ltimes \mathbf{Z}_p(1)$, where $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}$ is topologically generated by some lift f of Frob_q , $\mathbf{Z}_p(1)$ is topologically generated by some element σ and where $f\sigma f^{-1} = \sigma^q$. As $\bar{\rho}(\text{Frob}_q)$ has distinct eigenvalues it is easy to see that after conjugation we may assume that $\rho_Q^{\text{univ}}(f) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$ where $a \not\equiv b \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_{R_Q}}$. We will show that $\rho_Q^{\text{univ}}(\sigma)$ is a diagonal matrix with entries congruent to 1 mod \mathfrak{m}_{R_Q} . We will in fact prove this mod $\mathfrak{m}_{R_Q}^n$ for all n by induction on n . For $n = 1$ there is nothing to prove. So suppose this is true modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{R_Q}^n$ with $n > 0$. Then

$$\rho_Q^{\text{univ}}(\sigma) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_2 \end{pmatrix} (1_2 + N) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_{R_Q}^{n+1}},$$

where each $\mu_i \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_{R_Q}}$ and where $N \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_{R_Q}^n}$. We see that $\pmod{\mathfrak{m}_{R_Q}^{n+1}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} N \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}^{-1} &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1^{q-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_2^{q-1} \end{pmatrix} (1 + N)^q \\ &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1^{q-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_2^{q-1} \end{pmatrix} (1 + qN) \\ &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1^{q-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_2^{q-1} \end{pmatrix} + N, \end{aligned}$$

and as $a \not\equiv b \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_{R_Q}}$ we deduce that N is diagonal $\pmod{\mathfrak{m}_{R_Q}^{n+1}}$ as required.

Choosing ϕ_2 so that $\phi_2(f) \equiv \beta_q \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_{R_Q}}$, we can define a map $\Delta_q \rightarrow R_Q^\times$ to be $\phi_2|_{I_q}^2$. This makes R_Q into an $\mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q]$ -algebra. Using the last lemma and the universal properties of R_Q and of R it is easy to see that $R_Q/\mathfrak{a}_Q \xrightarrow{\sim} R$. It moreover follows from the discussion preceding Theorem 1 of this paper that the map $R_Q \rightarrow T_Q$ is a map of $\mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q]$ -algebras.

The key observation is the following ring-theoretic proposition. The theorem follows on applying it to the rings R_{Q_n} and T_{Q_n} for the sets Q_n constructed in Section 4 of this paper. Note that there is a map $\mathcal{O}[[S_1, \dots, S_r]] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[\Delta_{Q_n}]$ with kernel the ideal $((1 + S_1)^{\# \Delta_{q_1}} - 1, \dots, (1 + S_r)^{\# \Delta_{q_r}} - 1)$, where $Q_n = \{q_1, \dots, q_r\}$. Note also that by the displayed isomorphism five lines before the theorem there exists a surjection of \mathcal{O} -algebras $\mathcal{O}[[X_1, \dots, X_r]] \rightarrow R_{Q_n}$.

PROPOSITION. *Suppose r is a nonnegative integer and that there is a map of \mathcal{O} -algebras $R \rightarrow T$ with T finite and flat over \mathcal{O} . Suppose for each positive integer n there are a map of \mathcal{O} -algebras $R_n \rightarrow T_n$ and a commutative diagram of \mathcal{O} -algebras*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{O}[[S_1, \dots, S_r]] & \rightarrow & R_n & \rightarrow & R \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & T_n & \rightarrow & T, \end{array}$$

where

1. *there is a surjection of \mathcal{O} -algebras $\mathcal{O}[[X_1, \dots, X_r]] \rightarrow R_n$,*
2. *$(S_1, \dots, S_r)R_n \subset \ker(R_n \rightarrow R)$,*
3. *$(S_1, \dots, S_r)T_n = \ker(T_n \rightarrow T)$,*
4. *if \mathfrak{b}_n denotes the kernel of $\mathcal{O}[[S_1, \dots, S_r]] \rightarrow T_n$ then $\mathfrak{b}_n \subset ((1 + S_1)^{p^n} - 1, \dots, (1 + S_r)^{p^n} - 1)$ and T_n is a finite free $\mathcal{O}[[S_1, \dots, S_r]]/\mathfrak{b}_n$ -module.*

Then $R \xrightarrow{\sim} T$ and these rings are complete intersections.

Reducing mod λ we see that it suffices to prove this result with k replacing \mathcal{O} everywhere. In this case we see that the last condition becomes $\mathfrak{b}_n \subset (S_1^{p^n}, \dots, S_r^{p^n})$. Further we may replace R by its reduction modulo $\mathfrak{m}_R \ker(R \rightarrow T)$, and so we may assume that R is finite over k . We may replace T_n by $T_n/(S_1^{p^n}, \dots, S_r^{p^n})$ and so assume that $\mathfrak{b}_n = (S_1^{p^n}, \dots, S_r^{p^n})$. Finally we may replace R_n by its image in $R \oplus T_n$.

Now define an n -structure to be a pair of k -algebras $B \twoheadrightarrow A$ together with a commutative diagram of k -algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & k[[S_1, \dots, S_r]] & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ k[[X_1, \dots, X_r]] & \twoheadrightarrow & B & \twoheadrightarrow & R \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & A & \twoheadrightarrow & T, \end{array}$$

such that

1. $B \hookrightarrow R \oplus A$,
2. $(S_1, \dots, S_r)B \subset \ker(B \twoheadrightarrow R)$,
3. $(S_1, \dots, S_r)A = \ker(A \twoheadrightarrow T)$,
4. A is a finite free $k[[S_1, \dots, S_r]]/(S_1^{p^n}, \dots, S_r^{p^n})$ -module.

Note that $\#B \leq (\#T)^{p^{nr}} \#R$ and thus there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of n -structures. If \mathcal{S} is an n -structure and if $m \leq n$ then we may obtain an m -structure $\mathcal{S}^{(m)}$ by replacing A by $A/(S_1^{p^m}, \dots, S_r^{p^m})$ and B by its image in $R \oplus (A/(S_1^{p^m}, \dots, S_r^{p^m}))$.

As explained above, it follows from the hypotheses of the proposition that an n -structure \mathcal{S}_n exists for each n . Our next claim is that for each n , there exist n -structures \mathcal{S}'_n such that for $m \leq n$ we have $\mathcal{S}'_m \cong (\mathcal{S}'_n)^{(m)}$. To prove this, observe that we can find recursively integers $n(m)$ with the following properties:

- $\mathcal{S}_{n(m)}^{(m)} \cong \mathcal{S}_n^{(m)}$ for infinitely many n and
- for $m > 1$, $\mathcal{S}_{n(m)}^{(m-1)} \cong \mathcal{S}_{n(m-1)}^{(m-1)}$.

Then set $\mathcal{S}'_m = \mathcal{S}_{n(m)}^{(m)}$.

Thus we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & R'_n & \dots & R'_2 & \twoheadrightarrow & R'_1 & \twoheadrightarrow & R \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \dots & T'_n & \dots & T'_2 & \twoheadrightarrow & T'_1 & \twoheadrightarrow & T \end{array}$$

of $k[[X_1, \dots, X_r, S_1, \dots, S_r]]$ -algebras. Moreover, we have that

- $k[[X_1, \dots, X_r]] \rightarrow R'_n \rightarrow T'_n$,
- T'_n is a finite free $k[[S_1, \dots, S_r]]/(S_1^{p^n}, \dots, S_r^{p^n})$ -module,
- $R'_n/(S_1, \dots, S_r) \rightarrow R$ and $T'_n/(S_1, \dots, S_r) \xrightarrow{\sim} T$.

Let R'_∞ denote the quotient of $k[[X_1, \dots, X_r]]$ by the intersection of the ideals $\ker(k[[X_1, \dots, X_r]] \rightarrow R'_n)$ and let T'_∞ denote the quotient of $k[[X_1, \dots, X_r]]$ by the intersection of the ideals $\ker(k[[X_1, \dots, X_r]] \rightarrow T'_n)$. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & k[[S_1, \dots, S_r]] & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 k[[X_1, \dots, X_r]] & \rightarrow & R'_\infty & \twoheadrightarrow & R \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & T'_\infty & \twoheadrightarrow & T,
 \end{array}$$

such that

- $R'_\infty \twoheadrightarrow T'_\infty$,
- T'_∞ is a finite free $k[[S_1, \dots, S_r]]$ -module,
- $R'_\infty/(S_1, \dots, S_r) \rightarrow R$ and $T'_\infty/(S_1, \dots, S_r) \xrightarrow{\sim} T$.

We deduce that T'_∞ has Krull dimension r and hence that the map $k[[X_1, \dots, X_r]] \rightarrow T'_\infty$ has trivial kernel. That is, we have isomorphisms $k[[X_1, \dots, X_r]] \xrightarrow{\sim} R'_\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} T'_\infty$. Thus $R \xrightarrow{\sim} T$. As T has Krull dimension 0 and $T \cong k[[X_1, \dots, X_r]]/(S_1, \dots, S_r)$ we see that T is a complete intersection, and the proposition is proved.

(Appendix received January 26, 1995)

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY, CAMBRIDGE, U.K.

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NJ, U.S.A.