## Division by Zero in Common Meadows Symposion and Festkolloquium in honor of Martin Wirsing

Jan A. Bergstra

section Theory of Computer Science Informatics Institute University of Amsterdam

https://staff.fnwi.uva.nl/j.a.bergstra/

March 5, 2015



Jan A. Bergstra, University of Amsterdam

## Fiske Common meadow, Lexington, Massachusetts



Jan A. Bergstra, University of Amsterdam

Division by Zero in Common Meadows - March 5, 2015 2/5

Formally real meadows ( $\mathbb{R}$  is a formally real field; Artin-Schreier) Md-axioms:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (x+y) + z = x + (y+z) & x \cdot y = y \cdot x \\ x+y = y + x & 1 \cdot x = x \\ x+0 = x & x \cdot (y+z) = (x \cdot y) + (x \cdot z) \\ x+(-x) = 0 & (x^{-1})^{-1} = x \\ (x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z) & x \cdot (x \cdot x^{-1}) = x \end{array}$$

 $\mathbb{R}_0$  is a "formally real meadow".

$$EFR = \left\{ \frac{1 + x_0^2 + x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2}{1 + x_0^2 + x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2} = 1 \ \middle| \ n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

(thus: 1 plus a sum of squares is not 0)

Theorem (Completeness of  $\mathbb{R}_0$ ):

$$Md + EFR \vdash s = t \iff \mathbb{R}_0 \models s = t$$



Common meadows  $(0^{-1} = a)$ (x + y) + z = x + (y + z) $0 \cdot (x \cdot x) = 0 \cdot x$  $(x^{-1})^{-1} = x + (0 \cdot x^{-1})$ x + y = y + x $x \cdot x^{-1} = 1 + (0 \cdot x^{-1})$ x + 0 = x $(x \cdot y)^{-1} = (x^{-1}) \cdot (y^{-1})$  $x + (-x) = 0 \cdot x$  $1^{-1} = 1$ -(-x) = x $0^{-1} = a$  $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$  $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$ x + a = a $1 \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$  $x \cdot \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}$  $x \cdot (y + z) = (x \cdot y) + (x \cdot z)$ 

Basis theorem for common cancellation meadows of char. 0:

 $Md_{a} + (Char0) \vdash s = t$ 



$$Md_{\mathbf{a}} + \{x \neq 0 \& x \neq \mathbf{a} \to x \cdot x^{-1} = 1\} \vdash s = t$$

Fracpairs over  $\mathbb{Z}$ : expressions  $\frac{p}{q}$  with  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$  (so q = 0 is allowed) modulo the equivalence generated by

$$\frac{x \cdot z}{y \cdot (z \cdot z)} = \frac{x}{y \cdot z}$$

This equivalence appears to be a congruence with respect to the common meadow signature under natural definitions:

$$0 = \frac{0}{1}, \qquad 1 = \frac{1}{1}, \qquad \mathbf{a} = \frac{1}{0}, \qquad \left(\frac{p}{q}\right) + \left(\frac{r}{s}\right) = \frac{p \cdot s + r \cdot q}{q \cdot s}$$
$$\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{r}{s}\right) = \frac{p \cdot r}{q \cdot s}, \qquad -\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = \frac{-p}{q}, \qquad \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{-1} = \frac{q \cdot q}{p \cdot q}$$

Theorem: The initial common meadow is isomorphic to the initial algebra of fracpairs over the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Theorem: The initial algebra of fracpairs over  $\mathbb{Z}$  constitutes a homomorphic pre-image of the common meadow  $\mathbb{Q}_a$ .