Search, Navigate, and Actuate

Qualitative Navigation

University of Amsterdam

Navigation

Critical ability for mobility

Challenging, because strong coupling with:

- Sensing, Planning, Acting
- Hardware / Software architectures
- Problem solving, computational efficiency

University of Amsterdam

Hierarchical Paradigm



Murphy, Figure 2.5

University of Amsterdam

Hybrid Paradigm



Murphy, Figure 7.3

Functionality needed for Mobility

- Where am I going? *Mission planning*
- What's the best way there? *Path planning*
- Where have I been? *Map making*
- Where am I? *Localization*

deliberative

How am I going to get there?



reactive

Path Planning

- *What's the Best Way There?* depends on the representation of the world
- A robot's world representation and how it is maintained over time is its *spatial memory*
- Two forms
  - *Route (or qualitative / topology)*
  - *Map (or quantitative / metric)*
- Map leads to Route, but not the other way

Route

Less general,
but strong coupling with reactive layer

- Attention points
  What features, landmarks to look for next
- Distinction criteria
  What features are good to recognize places:
  - *Have I ever seen it before*?
  - *What has changed since the last time?*

Landmarks

- A *landmark* is one or more perceptually distinctive features of interest on an object or locale of interest
- *Natural landmark*: configuration of existing features that wasn't put in the environment to aid with the robot's navigation (i.e. MacDonalds on the corner)
- *Artificial landmark*: set of features added to the environment to support navigation (i.e. highway sign)
- Highly useful landmarks are those visible at points where one has to select from multiple directions: *gateways*

Coupling of landmarks

floor plan



relational graph



Nodes:
landmarks, gateways, goals

Edges: navigable path

early relational graphs

- Not coupled with how the robot would get there
- Navigation path: direction and distance
- Shaft encoder uncertainty accumulates



University of Amsterdam

Two solutions

- Localization relative to the landmarks

- Navigation path specified as sensor based behaviors

Getting to a Distinctive Place: *Neighborhoods*



Use one behavior until the landmark is seen then swap to a landmark localization behavior

University of Amsterdam

relational graphs with behaviors



University of Amsterdam

Discussion

- Advantages
  - Eliminates concern over navigational errors at each node
  - Robot can build up metric information over multiple trips, since error will average out
- Disadvantages
  - Features that are easy to recognize, are often too numerous to be unique
  - Indoors it is nearly impossible to find distinctive places

Class Exercise

- Create a relational graph for this floor
- Label each edge with the appropriate Local Control Strategy
- Label each node with the type of gateway: dead-end, junction, room
- Identify unique features of each node

University of Amsterdam

Alternative for relational graphs

- *Associative method*
  - spatial memory is a series of remembered viewpoints, where each viewpoint is labeled with a location
  - good for retracing known paths

University of Amsterdam

Associative Methods

- Create a behavior that converts sensor observations into ‘direction to go’ to reach a particular landmark
- Assumption: location or landmark are:
  - Perceptual stable: views from nearby locations look similar
  - Perceptual distinguishable: views far away should look different

University of Amsterdam

Visual Homing

- Partition image into coarse subsections (e.g., 16)
- Each section measured based on some attribute
  – e.g., edge density, dominant edge orientation, average intensity, etc.
- Resulting measurements yield image signature
- Image signature forms a pattern
- If robot nearby, should be able to determine direction of motion to localize itself relative to the location
- Visual homing: the use of image signatures to direct robot to specific location

Image Signatures

The world

Tessellated (like faceted-eyes)

Resulting signature



University of Amsterdam

Direction of movement



University of Amsterdam

QualNav

- Basic idea: localize robot relative to particular orientation region
- Orientation region:
  - multiple landmarks visible
  - Defined by landmark pair boundaries
  - Within an orientation region,
    all landmarks appear in same relationship
- Vehicle localizes with view-angles, distances not used

Example of orientation region

Topological representation as orientation regions:



Metric Map:

University of Amsterdam

Entering new orientation region



University of Amsterdam

Discussion

- Advantages:
  - Tight coupling of sensing to homing
  - Robot doesn't need to explicitly recognize what a landmark is
  - Enables robots to build up maps as it explores
- Disadvantages:
  - require massive storage
  - Require landmarks that are widely visible

Applicable to RoboCup Soccer



– landmarks that are widely visible are available

Route versus Map

How do humans find their ways?
→ Psychological data and theories

- Human Landmarks != robotic distinctive places
- Siegel & White's hypothesis:
  landmarks → routes → map
- Recently:
  landmarks & implicit map → routes

University of Amsterdam

Conclusions

- Landmarks simplify the “where am I?” problem by providing orientation cues
- Gateways: special cases of landmarks that allow robot to change directions
- Distinctive places can be related to each other by local control strategies for traveling between them
- Image signatures can be used to directly couple perception with acting