

Binary trees of formulas

Definition

Let $\{0, 1\}^*$ be the set of finite sequences consisting of zeros and ones. A *binary tree* of formulas in variables $\bar{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n$ (in T) is a collection $\{\varphi_s(\bar{x}) : s \in \{0, 1\}^*\}$ such that

- $T \models (\varphi_{s0}(\bar{x}) \vee \varphi_{s1}(\bar{x})) \leftrightarrow \varphi_s(\bar{x})$.
- $T \models \neg(\varphi_{s0}(\bar{x}) \wedge \varphi_{s1}(\bar{x}))$.

Theorem

The following are equivalent for a nice theory T :

- (1) $|S_n(T)| < 2^\omega$.
- (2) There is no binary tree of consistent formulas in x_1, \dots, x_n .
- (3) $|S_n(T)| \leq \omega$.

Clearly, if $\{\varphi_s(\bar{x}) : s \in \{0, 1\}^*\}$ is a binary tree of consistent formulas, $\{\varphi_s : s \subseteq \alpha\}$ is consistent for every $\alpha : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$. This shows (1) \Rightarrow (2). As (3) \Rightarrow (1) is obvious, it remains to show (2) \Rightarrow (3).

A lemma

Lemma

Let T be a nice theory. If $|S_n(T)| > \omega$, then there is a binary tree of consistent formulas in x_1, \dots, x_n .

Proof.

Suppose $|S_n(T)| > \omega$. This implies, since the language of T is countable, that there is a formula $\varphi(\bar{x})$ such that $|\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket| > \omega$. The lemma will now follow from the following *claim*: If $|\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket| > \omega$, then there is a formula $\psi(\bar{x})$ such that $|\llbracket \varphi \wedge \psi \rrbracket| > \omega$ and $|\llbracket \varphi \wedge \neg \psi \rrbracket| > \omega$. Suppose not.

Then $p(\bar{x}) = \{\psi(\bar{x}) : |\llbracket \varphi \wedge \psi \rrbracket| > \omega\}$ contains a formula $\psi(\bar{x})$ or its negation, but not both, and is closed under logical consequence: so it is a complete type. If $\psi \notin p$, then $|\llbracket \varphi \wedge \psi \rrbracket| \leq \omega$. In addition, the language is countable, so

$$\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket = \bigcup_{\psi \notin p} \llbracket \varphi \wedge \psi \rrbracket \cup \{p\}$$

is a countable union of countable sets and hence countable, contradicting our choice of φ .

Small theories have prime models

Corollary

If T is nice and $|S_n(T)| < 2^\omega$ for all n , then T is small.

Corollary

If T is nice and small, then isolated types are dense. So T has a prime model.

Proof.

If isolated types are not dense, then there is a consistent $\varphi(\bar{x})$ which is not a consequence of a complete formula. Call such a formula *perfect*. Since perfect formulas are not complete, they can be “decomposed” into two consistent formulas which are jointly inconsistent. These have to be perfect as well, leading to a binary tree of consistent formulas. □