

Systems for arithmetic

1. Arithmetic in all finite types

DEFINITION 1.1. The finite types are defined by induction as follows: 0 is a finite type, and if σ and τ are finite types, then so are $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and $\sigma \times \tau$.

The system HA^ω is formulated in multi-sorted intuitionistic logic, with the sorts being the finite types. There will be infinitely many variables of each sort. In addition, there will be constants:

- (1) for each pair of types σ, τ a combinator $\mathbf{k}^{\sigma, \tau}$ of sort $\sigma \rightarrow (\tau \rightarrow \sigma)$.
- (2) for each triple of types ρ, σ, τ a combinator $\mathbf{s}^{\rho, \sigma, \tau}$ of type $(\rho \rightarrow (\sigma \rightarrow \tau)) \rightarrow ((\rho \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow (\rho \rightarrow \tau))$.
- (3) for each pair of types ρ, σ combinators $\mathbf{p}^{\rho, \sigma}, \mathbf{p}_0^{\rho, \sigma}, \mathbf{p}_1^{\rho, \sigma}$ of types $\rho \rightarrow (\sigma \rightarrow \rho \times \sigma)$, $\rho \times \sigma \rightarrow \rho$ and $\rho \times \sigma \rightarrow \sigma$, respectively.
- (4) a constant 0 of type 0 and a constant S of type $0 \rightarrow 0$.
- (5) for each type σ a combinator \mathbf{R}^σ (“the recursor”) of type $\sigma \rightarrow ((0 \rightarrow (\sigma \rightarrow \sigma)) \rightarrow (0 \rightarrow \sigma))$.

DEFINITION 1.2. The terms of a certain type are defined inductively as follows:

- each variable or constant of type σ will be a term of type σ .
- if f is a term of type $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$ and x is a term of type σ , then $f(x)$ is a term of type τ .

The convention is that an expression like $fxyz$ has to be read as $((fx)y)z$. It will also sometimes be written as $f(x, y, z)$.

DEFINITION 1.3. The formulas are defined inductively as follows:

- \perp is a formula and if s and t are terms of the same type σ , then $s =_\sigma t$ is a formula.
- if φ and ψ are formulas, then so are $\varphi \wedge \psi, \varphi \vee \psi, \varphi \rightarrow \psi$.
- if x is a variable of type σ and φ is a formula, then $\exists x^\sigma \varphi$ and $\forall x^\sigma \varphi$ are formulas.

The axioms and rules of HA^ω are:

- (i) All the axioms and rules of intuitionistic logic (say in Hilbert-style).
- (ii) Rules for equality at all types:

$$x =_\sigma x, \quad x =_\sigma y \rightarrow y =_\sigma x, \quad x =_\sigma y \wedge y =_\sigma z \rightarrow x =_\sigma z,$$

$$f =_{\sigma \rightarrow \tau} f' \wedge x =_\sigma x' \rightarrow fx =_\tau f'x'.$$

- (iii) The successor axioms:

$$\neg S(x) =_0 0, \quad S(x) =_0 S(y) \rightarrow x =_0 y$$

(iv) For any formula φ in the language of \mathbf{HA}^ω , the induction axiom:

$$\varphi(0) \rightarrow (\forall x^0 (\varphi(x) \rightarrow \varphi(Sx)) \rightarrow \forall x^0 \varphi(x)).$$

(v) The axioms for the combinators:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k}xy &= x \\ \mathbf{s}xyz &= xz(yz) \\ \mathbf{p}_0(\mathbf{p}xy) &= x \\ \mathbf{p}_1(\mathbf{p}xy) &= y \\ \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{p}_0x)(\mathbf{p}_1x) &= x \end{aligned}$$

as well as for the recursor:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}xy0 &= x \\ \mathbf{R}xy(Sn) &= yn(\mathbf{R}xyn) \end{aligned}$$

In \mathbf{HA}^ω we cannot prove the following *extensionality axiom*:

$$\forall f^{\sigma \rightarrow \tau}, g^{\sigma \rightarrow \tau} ((\forall x^\sigma fx =_\tau gx) \rightarrow f =_{\sigma \rightarrow \tau} g).$$

The result of adding this axiom to \mathbf{HA}^ω will be denoted by $\mathbf{E-HA}^\omega$.

To both \mathbf{HA}^ω and $\mathbf{E-HA}^\omega$ we can add classical logic (in the form of the Law of Excluded Middle $\varphi \vee \neg\varphi$ or Double Negation Elimination $\neg\neg\varphi \rightarrow \varphi$): the resulting systems will be denoted by \mathbf{PA}^ω and $\mathbf{E-PA}^\omega$, respectively.

Some remarks about these systems:

- (1) All these systems satisfy the deduction theorem, so one can freely use natural deduction to prove things in these systems.
- (2) For any formula $\varphi(x)$ in the language of \mathbf{HA}^ω we have

$$\mathbf{HA}^\omega \vdash x =_\sigma y \wedge \varphi(x) \rightarrow \varphi(y).$$

Indeed, this is quite easy to prove by induction on the structure of φ . And from this it follows that the same is provable in all other systems, because \mathbf{HA}^ω is a subsystem of all of them.

- (3) It is sometimes convenient to regard disjunction as a defined connective in \mathbf{HA}^ω . The reason for this is that $\varphi \vee \psi$ is provably equivalent to

$$\exists n^0 [(n = 0 \rightarrow \varphi) \wedge (\neg n = 0 \rightarrow \psi)]$$

in \mathbf{HA}^ω . Moreover, if we regard $\varphi \vee \psi$ as an abbreviation for this expression, the logical axioms for disjunction can be proved on the basis of the other axioms of \mathbf{HA}^ω .

2. Lambda abstraction

In working with \mathbf{HA}^ω lambda notation is essential. The following proposition explains and justifies its use:

PROPOSITION 2.1. *For any variable x and term t in the language of \mathbf{HA}^ω there is another term denoted by $\lambda x.t$ such that*

$$\mathbf{HA}^\omega \vdash (\lambda x.t)t' = t[t'/x].$$

PROOF. We define $\lambda x.t$ by induction on the complexity of t .

- (i) If t is just x , then $\lambda x.t = \mathbf{skk}$.
- (ii) If t consists just of a variable y distinct from x or t is a constant, then $\lambda x.t = \mathbf{kt}$.
- (iii) If $t = t_0 t_1$, then $\lambda x.t = \mathbf{s}(\lambda x.t_0)(\lambda x.t_1)$.

□

One can repeat λ -abstraction as in $\lambda x.\lambda y.t$; but instead of $\lambda x.\lambda y.t$ we will usually write $\lambda xy.t$.

Using this proposition one can define the usual arithmetical operations. For example, there is a closed term **plus** of type $0 \rightarrow (0 \rightarrow 0)$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{plus} \ m \ 0 &= m \\ \mathbf{plus} \ m \ S n &= S(\mathbf{plus} \ m \ n) \end{aligned}$$

From these equations the standard properties of addition (like associativity and commutativity) can be derived. Indeed, one can define **plus** as:

$$\mathbf{plus} := \lambda mn. \mathbf{R}m(\lambda xy. S y) n$$

(please check!). Exercise: also define multiplication and exponentiation and derive the standard “high school identities”.