## 4th Homework sheet Proof Theory

- Deadline: 1 December, 9:00 sharp.
- Submit your solutions by handing them to the lecturer or the teaching assistant at the *beginning of the lecture*.
- Good luck!

**Exercise 1** (50 points) Let T be an arbitrary set and let  $\succ_1$  be an arbitrary relation on that set. We think of the elements of T are terms (or perhaps derivations) and  $\succ_1$  as some reduction relation. In that spirit we define a *reduction sequence* of length n to be a sequence

 $\langle t_0,\ldots,t_n\rangle$ 

with  $t_i \succ_1 t_{i+1}$  for every i < n. We will write  $t \succeq t'$  if there is some reduction sequence starting with t and ending with t' (so  $\succeq$  is the reflexive and transitive closure of  $\succ_1$ ). In addition, we will say that  $t \in T$  is in *normal form* if there is no s such that  $t \succ_1 s$  and we will say that s is a *normal form of* t if  $t \succeq s$  and s is in normal form.

Finally, we will say that  $(T, \succ_1)$  is strongly normalising if for every  $t \in T$  there is a number  $n = \nu(t)$  such that there is a reduction sequence of length n starting from t, but reduction sequences starting from t longer than n do not exist; and we will say that  $(T, \succ_1)$  is weakly confluent if for every triple  $t, t_0, t_1 \in T$  with  $t \succ_1 t_0$  and  $t \succ_1 t_1$  there is an  $s \in T$  with  $t_0 \succeq s$  and  $t_1 \succeq s$ .

Show that if  $(T, \succ_1)$  is strongly normalising and weakly confluent, then every  $t \in T$  has a unique normal form.

*Hint:* Use induction on  $\nu(t)$ .

**Exercise 2** (50 points) In this exercise we work in intuitionistic natural deduction and restrict to the fragment of propositional logic only containing conjunction  $\wedge$  and implication  $\rightarrow$ . In addition, we drop the ex falso rule.

On derivations in this fragment we consider the following reduction steps: in any derivations containing as a subderivation (subtree)

$$\frac{\begin{array}{cc} \mathcal{D}_0 & \mathcal{D}_1 \\ \varphi_0 & \varphi_1 \\ \hline \hline \frac{\varphi_0 \wedge \varphi_1}{\varphi_i} \end{array}$$

we may replace this by  $\mathcal{D}_i$ , and any subderivation (subtree)

$$\begin{array}{c} [\varphi] \\ \mathcal{D}_0 \\ \\ \hline \psi \\ \hline \varphi \rightarrow \psi \\ \psi \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{D}_1 \\ \varphi \\ \varphi \end{array}$$

may be replaced by:

$$egin{array}{c} \mathcal{D}_1 \ arphi \ \mathcal{P} \ \mathcal{D}_0 \ \psi \end{array}$$

Use Theorem 2.1 from Chapter 9 from the handout (strong normalisation) and the previous exercise to show that with respect to these rewriting rules derivations in this fragment of logic have unique normal forms.