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Abstract

The purpose of this lecture is to give a lightning introduction to the functional programming language Haskell, and to make preparations for using Haskell for knowledge representation.
Learning Something New: Key ingredients

**New Facts** You will learn a few facts about how functional programs are written.

**New Skills** The main focus of this lecture.

- skills in (functional) computation, in learning to think functionally
- skills in representation, in getting from definitions to programs, in ‘seeing’ the program hidden in a definition.
- skills in working with ‘the stuff of knowledge representation’.

**Attitude** The most important thing. But how do you acquire it? Once you have acquired the correct attitude you can learn to do anything.
Using the Hugs Haskell Interpreter

[jve@pc4 lai0506]$ hugs

---     --     --     --     ----     ---
||      ||      ||      ||      ||     --
||      ||      ||      ||      ||     --||
||      ---||     ---||     ---||     ---||
||      ___||     ___||     ___||
||      ||      ||      ||
||      ||      ||      || Version: March 2005

Hugs 98: Based on the Haskell 98 standard
Copyright (c) 1994-2005
World Wide Web: http://haskell.org/hugs
Report bugs to: hugs-bugs@haskell.org

Haskell 98 mode: Restart with command line option -98 to enable extensions
Type :? for help
Hugs.Base>

http://haskell.org/hugs
Using the GHCI Haskell Interpreter

[jve@pc4 lai0506]$ ghci

___ ___ _
/ _ \/ \ /\/_\__(_)
/ /\// /_/ / / | | GHC Interactive, version 6.4.1, for Haskell 98.
/ /_\/ __ / /___| | http://www.haskell.org/ghc/
\____// /_\____/|_| Type :? for help.

Loading package base-1.0 ... linking ... done.
Prelude>

http://www.haskell.org/ghc/
Haskell

These slides form a literate program. The text you are reading is the documentation. The actual code is the part typeset in frames. This is how the code begins:

```
module LAI9

where
  import List
  import Char
```

This declares a module and imports two other modules. The code of the module consists of the text in frames.
Loading the module

[jve@pc4 lai0506]$ ghci

---

/  _ \  / \  /\//  __(_)
/ /_/\// /_/ / / | | GHC Interactive, version 6.4.1, for Haskell 98.
/ /_\/ __ / /___| | http://www.haskell.org/ghc/
\____// /_\____/|_| Type :? for help.

Loading package base-1.0 ... linking ... done.
Prelude> :l LAI9
Compiling LAI9 ( LAI9.lhs, interpreted )
Ok, modules loaded: LAI9.
*LAI9>
About Haskell

Haskell was named after the logician Haskell B. Curry. Curry, together with Alonzo Church, laid the foundations of functional computation in the era BC (Before the Computer), around 1940.

Haskell is a functional programming language, and a member of the Lisp family. Others family members are Scheme, ML, Occam, Clean. Haskell98 is intended as a standard for lazy functional programming.

With Haskell, the step from formal definition to program is particularly easy. This presupposes, of course, that you are at ease with formal definitions.

Our reason for combining training in reasoning with an introduction to functional programming is that your programming needs will provide motivation for improving your reasoning skills.
Implementation of a Prime Number Test

\[
ld \ n = \ ldf \ 2 \ n
\]

\[
divides \ d \ n = \ rem \ n \ d == 0
\]

\[
ldf \ k \ n \mid divides \ k \ n = k
\mid k^2 > n = n
\mid otherwise = ldf (k+1) \ n
\]

\[
prime \ n \mid n < 1 = \ error \ "not \ a \ positive \ integer"
\mid n == 1 = False
\mid otherwise = ld \ n == n
\]
Trying it out

```haskell
somePrimes = filter prime [1..1000]

primesUntil n = filter prime [1..n]

allPrimes = filter prime [1..]
```

More on the filter function below.

LAI9> primesUntil 50
[2,3,5,7,11,13,17,19,23,29,31,37,41,43,47]
LAI9>
The truth values true and false are rendered in Haskell as True and False, respectively. The type of a truth value is called Bool.

All function definitions are typed: in a type declaration we indicate the type of the argument or arguments and the type of the value. A function foo that takes an integer as its first argument, and an integer as its second argument and yields a truth value has type

Integer -> Integer -> Bool.

Here is a type declaration for such a function, together with the actual definition:

divides :: Integer -> Integer -> Bool
divides m n = rem n m == 0
The type Integer -> Integer -> Bool should be read as Integer -> (Integer -> Bool).

A type of the form a -> b classifies a procedure that takes an argument of type a to produce a result of type b.

Thus, divides takes an argument of type Integer and produces a result of type Integer -> Bool.
The result of applying `divides` to an integer is a function that takes an argument of type `Integer`, and produces a result of type `Bool`.

Main> :t divides 5
`divides 5` :: `Integer` -> `Bool`
Main> :t divides 5 7
`divides 5 7` :: `Bool`
Main>
Lambda Abstraction

Take the statement Diana loves Charles. By means of abstraction, we can get all kinds of properties and relations from this statement:

- ‘loving Charles’
- ‘being loved by Diana’
- ‘loving’
- ‘being loved by’

This works as follows. We replace the element that we abstract over by a variable, and we bind that variable by means of a lambda operator.
Lambda Abstraction – 2

Like this:

- ‘\( \lambda x. x \) loves Charles’ expresses ‘loving Charles’.
- ‘\( \lambda x. \) Diana loves \( x \)’ expresses ‘being loved by Diana’.
- ‘\( \lambda x\lambda y. x \) loves \( y \)’ expresses ‘loving’.
- ‘\( \lambda y\lambda x. x \) loves \( y \)’ expresses ‘being loved by’.
Lambda Abstraction – 3

In Haskell, \( x \) expresses lambda abstraction over variable \( x \).

\[
sqr :: \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int} \\
sqr = \lambda x \rightarrow x \times x
\]

The intention is that variabele \( x \) stands proxy for a number of type \( \text{Int} \). The result, the squared number, also has type \( \text{Int} \). The function \( \text{sqr} \) is a function that, when combined with an argument of type \( \text{Int} \), yields a value of type \( \text{Int} \). This is precisely what the type-indication \( \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int} \) expresses.
List processing in Haskell

Integer is the type of arbitrary precision integers, Int the type of fixed precision integers.

[Integer] is the type of lists of Integers, [Int] the type of lists of Ints.

Here is a function that gives the minimum of a list of integers:

```haskell
mnmInt :: [Int] -> Int
mnmInt [] = error "empty list"
mnmInt [x] = x
mnmInt (x:xs) = min x (mnmInt xs)
```
This uses a predefined function \texttt{min} for the minimum of two integers. It also uses pattern matching for lists:

- The list pattern \texttt{[]} matches only the empty list,
- the list pattern \texttt{[x]} matches any singleton list,
- the list pattern \texttt{(x:xs)} matches any non-empty list.
Haskell Types

The basic Haskell types are:

- `Int` and `Integer`, to represent integers. Elements of `Integer` are unbounded. That’s why we used this type in the implementation of the prime number test.

- `Float` and `Double` represent floating point numbers. The elements of `Double` have higher precision.

- `Bool` is the type of Booleans.

- `Char` is the type of characters.

Note that the name of a type always starts with a capital letter.

To denote arbitrary types, Haskell allows the use of type variables. For these, `a`, `b`, `. . .`, are used.
New types can be formed in several ways:

- By list-formation: if \(a\) is a type, \([a]\) is the type of lists over \(a\). Examples: \([\text{Int}]\) is the type of lists of integers; \([\text{Char}]\) is the type of lists of characters, or strings.

- By pair- or tuple-formation: if \(a\) and \(b\) are types, then \((a,b)\) is the type of pairs with an object of type \(a\) as their first component, and an object of type \(b\) as their second component. If \(a\), \(b\) and \(c\) are types, then \((a,b,c)\) is the type of triples with an object of type \(a\) as their first component, an object of type \(b\) as their second component, and an object of type \(c\) as their third component . . .

- By function definition: \(a \rightarrow b\) is the type of a function that takes arguments of type \(a\) and returns values of type \(b\).

- By defining your own datatype from scratch, with a data type declaration. More about this in due course.
Implementing Prime Factorisation in Haskell

Note the use of \texttt{div} for integer division. and the use of \texttt{where} to introduce an auxiliary function.

\begin{verbatim}
factors :: Integer -> [Integer]
factors n | n < 1      = error "arg not positive"
            | n == 1      = []
            | otherwise   = p : factors (div n p)
                          where p = ld n
\end{verbatim}

LAI9> factors 84
[2,2,3,7]
LAI9> factors 557940830126698960967415390
[2,3,5,7,11,13,17,19,23,29,31,37,41,43,47,53,59,61,67,71]
Working with Lists: The `map` and `filter` Functions

If you use the Hugs command `:t` to find the types of the function `map`, you get the following:

Prelude> :t map
map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]

The function `map` takes a function and a list and returns a list containing the results of applying the function to the individual list members. If $f$ is a function of type $a \rightarrow b$ and $xs$ is a list of type $[a]$, then $\text{map } f \ x s$ will return a list of type $[b]$. E.g., $\text{map } (^2) \ [1..9]$ will produce the list of squares

$[1, 4, 9, 16, 25, 36, 49, 64, 81]$
In general, if op is an infix operator, \((op \ x)\) is the operation resulting from applying \(op\) to its righthand side argument, \((x \ op)\) is the operation resulting from applying \(op\) to its lefthand side argument, and \((op)\) is the prefix version of the operator. Thus \((2^\_\_\_)\) is the operation that computes powers of 2, and \(\text{map}(2^\_\_\_) [1..10]\) will yield

\[2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128, 256, 512, 1024]\]

Similarly, \((>3)\) denotes the property of being greater than 3, and \((3>)\) the property of being smaller than 3.
map

If \( p \) is a property (an operation of type \( a \rightarrow \text{Bool} \)) and \( l \) is a list of type \( [a] \), then \( \text{map} \ p \ l \) will produce a list of type \( \text{Bool} \) (a list of truth values), like this:

Prelude> \text{map} (\text{>3}) [1..6]
[False, False, False, True, True, True]
Prelude>

map is predefined in Haskell.

Home-made definition:

```haskell
map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
map f [] = []
map f (x:xs) = (f x) : (map f xs)
```
Another useful function is `filter`, for filtering out the elements from a list that satisfy a given property. This is predefined, but here is a home-made version:

```haskell
filter :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]
filter p [] = []
filter p (x:xs) | p x = x : filter p xs
    | otherwise = filter p xs
```

Here is an example of its use:

```
LAI9> filter (>3) [1..10]
[4,5,6,7,8,9,10]
```
List comprehension

List comprehension is defining lists by the following method:

\[ [ x \mid x \leftarrow xs, \text{property } x ] \]

This defines the sublist of \( xs \) of all items satisfying \( \text{property} \). It is equivalent to:

\[
\text{filter property } xs
\]
somePrimes = [ x | x <- [1..1000], prime x ]

primesUntil n = [ x | x <- [1..n], prime x ]

allPrimes = [ x | x <- [1..], prime x ]

Equivalently:

somePrimes = filter prime [1..1000]

primesUntil n = filter prime [1..n]

allPrimes = filter prime [1..]
sort

```haskell
sort :: Ord a => [a] -> [a]
sort [] = []
sort (x:xs) = insert x (sort xs)
```

```haskell
insert :: Ord a => a -> [a] -> [a]
insert x [] = [x]
insert x (y:ys) | x <= y = x:y:ys
                | otherwise = y: insert x ys
```
\section*{nub}

\texttt{nub} removes duplicates, as follows:

\begin{quote}
\begin{verbatim}
\begin{align*}
nub &:: \text{Eq } a \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [a] \\
nub \; [] &= [] \\
nub \; (x:xs) &= x : nub \; (\text{filter } (\neq x) \; xs)
\end{align*}
\end{verbatim}
\end{quote}
Contained in

\[ A \subseteq B \equiv \forall x \in A : x \in B. \]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{containedIn} & : \text{Eq a} \Rightarrow [\text{a}] \rightarrow [\text{a}] \rightarrow \text{Bool} \\
\text{containedIn} \; \text{xs} \; \text{ys} & = \text{all} \; (\lambda x \rightarrow \text{elem} \; x \; \text{ys}) \; \text{xs}
\end{align*}
\]
**elem, all**

elem and all are predefined.

```haskell
elem :: Eq a => a -> [a] -> Bool
elem x [] = False
elem x (y:ys) = x == y || elem x ys

all :: Eq a => (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> Bool
all p = and . map p

Note the use of (.) for function composition (predefined).

(.) :: (a -> b) -> (c -> a) -> (c -> b)
f . g = \ x -> f (g x)
```
Representing Relations

Various options:

- Lists of pairs, type \([(\text{a}, \text{a})]\).
- Sets of pairs, type \(\text{Set} \ (\text{a}, \text{a})\).
- Characteristic functions, type \(\text{a} \rightarrow \text{a} \rightarrow \text{Bool}\)
- Range functions, type \(\text{a} \rightarrow \text{[a]} \text{ or a} \rightarrow \text{Set a}\).
Relations as Lists of Pairs

type Rel a = [(a,a)]

Example relations:

r1 = [(1,2),(2,1)]

r2 = [(1,2),(2,1),(2,1)]

These relations have the same pairs, so they are in fact equal.
Test for equality of relations

```
sameR :: Ord a => Rel a -> Rel a -> Bool
sameR r s = sort (nub r) == sort (nub s)
```
Operations on relations: converse

Relational converse $R^\sim$ is given by:

$$ R^\sim = \{(y, x) \mid (x, y) \in R\} $$

Implementation

```haskell
cnv :: Rel a -> Rel a
cnv r = \[ (y,x) \mid (x,y) <- r \]
```
Operations on relations: composition

The relational composition of two relations $R$ and $S$ on a set $A$:

$$R \circ S = \{ (x, z) \mid \exists y \in A (xRy \land ySz) \}$$

For the implementation, it is useful to declare a new infix operator for relational composition.

```haskell
infixr 5 @@

(@@) :: Eq a => Rel a -> Rel a -> Rel a
r @@ s =
    nub [ (x,z) | (x,y) <- r, (w,z) <- s, y == w ]
```

Note that ( @@ ) is the prefix version of @@.
Testing for Euclideanness

Proposition:

$R$ is euclidean iff $R^\sim \circ R \subseteq R$.

Proof:

$\Rightarrow$. Suppose $R$ is euclidean. Assume $(x, y) \in R^\sim \circ R$. Then for some $z$, $(x, z) \in R^\sim$ and $(z, y) \in R$. Then $(z, x) \in R$ and $(z, y) \in R$, so by euclideanness of $R$, $(x, y) \in R$. This proves $R^\sim \circ R \subseteq R$.

$\Leftarrow$. Suppose $R^\sim \circ R \subseteq R$. We must show that $R$ is euclidean. Assume $(x, y) \in R, (x, z) \in R$. We must show that $(y, z) \in R$. This follows immediately from $(y, x) \in R^\sim, (x, z) \in R$ and $R^\sim \circ R \subseteq R$.

Use this proposition for a test of Euclideanness:
euclR :: Eq a => Rel a -> Bool
euclR r = (cnv r @@ r) `containedIn` r

Note the use of backquotes to make `containedIn` an infix operator. This gives:

LAI9> euclR [(1,2),(1,3)]
False
LAI9> euclR [(1,2),(1,3),(2,3)]
False
LAI9> euclR [(1,2),(1,3),(2,3),(3,2)]
False
LAI9> euclR [(1,2),(1,3),(2,3),(3,2),(2,2),(3,3)]
True
Test for Seriality

serialR :: Eq a => Rel a -> Bool
serialR r =
    all (not.null)
    (map (
      (x,y) -> [ v | (u,v) <- r, y == u]) r)

LAI9> serialR [(1,2)]
False
LAI9> serialR [(1,2),(2,3)]
False
LAI9> serialR [(1,2),(2,3),(3,2)]
True
Testing for KD45

Implementation of test for transitivity \texttt{transR} is left for you as a computer lab exercise.

Once we have tests for seriality, transitivity and euclideanness we can implement the test for their combination as follows:

\[
\text{isTSE :: Eq a => Rel a -> Bool}
\]
\[
isTSE r = \text{transR } r \&\& \text{serialR } r \&\& \text{euclR } r
\]

Implementing a test for being an equivalence relation is left for you as a computer lab exercise.
Representing Epistemic Models: Agents

```
data Agent = A | B | C | D | E deriving (Eq,Ord,Enum)

a,alice, b,bob, c,carol, d,dave, e,ernie :: Agent
a = A; alice = A
b = B; bob   = B
c = C; carol = C
d = D; dave  = D
e = E; ernie = E

instance Show Agent where
  show A = "a"; show B = "b"; show C = "c";
  show D = "d" ; show E = "e"
```
Representing Epistemic Models: Basic Propositions

data Prop = P Int | Q Int | R Int deriving (Eq,Ord)

instance Show Prop where
    show (P 0) = "p";
    show (P i) = "p" ++ show i
    show (Q 0) = "q";
    show (Q i) = "q" ++ show i
    show (R 0) = "r";
    show (R i) = "r" ++ show i
Datatype for Epistemic Models

data EpistM state = Mo
  [state]
  [Agent]
  [(state,[Prop])]
  [(Agent,state,state)]
  [state]  deriving (Eq,Show)
Next time: . . .

- Representing formulas
- Implementing evaluation of formulas in epistemic models
- Public Announcement Logic
- Representing public announcements.
- . . .

Background reading: [? ], [? ], [? ], [? ], [? ], [? ], [? ], [? ].