A cyclic proof system for Guarded Kleene Algebra with Tests

Dexter Kozen¹, Jan Rooduijn^{2,*}, Alexandra Silva¹

¹Department of Computer Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA ²ILLC, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands *Email: j.m.w.rooduijn@uva.nl

Kleene Algebra with Tests (KAT) is a system for reasoning about program equivalence. It is a finite quasi-equational theory with two sorts, namely *programs* and a subset thereof consisting of *tests*, such that the programs form a Kleene algebra under the operations $(+, \cdot, *, 0, 1)$ and the tests form a Boolean algebra under the operations $(+, \cdot, -, 0, 1)$.

In terms of programming constructs, the operations $+, \cdot, *$ respectively capture non-deterministic choice, sequential composition and arbitrary repetition. The inclusion of tests allows one to express if-then-else statements and while loops.

Despite the gain in expressive power, the complexity of deciding KATequalities remains the same as for Kleene Algebra, *i.e.* it is PSPACEcomplete. In [2] a fragment of KAT is identified which is computationally much more efficient, yet still reasonably expressive. This fragment, called Guarded Kleene Algebra with Tests (GKAT), is obtained by replacing the operations + and * by their guarded counterparts $+_{(b)}$ and $^{(b)}$. In terms of KAT the guarded operations can be encoded as follows:

$$e_{+(b)} f \mapsto b \cdot e + \overline{b} \cdot f \qquad \qquad e^{(b)} \mapsto (b \cdot e)^* \cdot \overline{b}$$

In this talk we propose a cyclic proof system for GKAT. This system, named SGKAT, is inspired by the cyclic system in [1] for ordinary Kleene Algebra. Its rules are given on the next page. In each rule σ denotes a list of literals (*i.e.* primitive tests or their negations) and capital Greek letters denote lists of GKAT-expressions. A derivation is said to be a *proof* if every infinite branch contains infinitely many application of (b)-l.

In this talk we shall present the soundness and completeness of SGKAT with respect to the language model from [2]. Furthermore, we shall compare SGKAT to the original system in [1]. Of particular interest is that the succedents of SGKAT-sequents are lists rather than multisets of lists. Time permitting, we shall discuss the following questions of our ongoing research:

- (1) What is the least possible complexity of proof search?
- (2) Can SGKAT be used to prove the completeness of some algebraic axiomatisation of GKAT with respect to the language model?

$$\begin{split} \overline{\sigma,0,\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta} & 0 \cdot l & \frac{\sigma,\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta}{\sigma,1,\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta} 1 \cdot l & \overline{\sigma,t,\overline{t},\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta} 1 \cdot l \\ \frac{\sigma,b,\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta}{\sigma,b\lor c,\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta} & \sigma,c,\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta} \lor l & \frac{\sigma,e,g,\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta}{\sigma,e\lor g,\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta} -l \\ \frac{\sigma,b,e,\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta}{\sigma,e\lor b,\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta} \lor l & \frac{\sigma,e,g,\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta}{\sigma,e\lor g,\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta} -l \\ \frac{\sigma,b,e,e^{(b)},\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta}{\sigma,e\lor b,\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta} & +b^{-l} \\ \frac{\sigma,b,e,e^{(b)},\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta}{\sigma,e^{(b)},\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta} & (b) \cdot l \\ \frac{\Gamma\Rightarrow\sigma,\Delta}{\Gamma\Rightarrow\sigma,b\lor c,\Delta} 1 \cdot r & \frac{\Gamma\Rightarrow\sigma,e,f,\Delta}{\Gamma\Rightarrow\sigma,e\lor f,\Delta} \lor r \\ \frac{b,\Gamma\Rightarrow\sigma,b,\Delta}{\Gamma\Rightarrow\sigma,b\lor c,\Delta} & \forall \cdot r_1 & \frac{c,\Gamma\Rightarrow\sigma,c,\Delta}{\Gamma\Rightarrow\sigma,b\lor c,\Delta} \lor r_2 \\ \frac{b,\Gamma\Rightarrow\sigma,e,\Delta}{\Gamma\Rightarrow\sigma,e\lor b,\Delta} & \frac{b,\Gamma\Rightarrow\sigma,f,\Delta}{r\Rightarrow\sigma,b\lor c,\Delta} +b^{-r} & \frac{b,\Gamma\Rightarrow\sigma,e,e^{(b)},\Delta}{\Gamma\Rightarrow\sigma,e^{(b)},\Delta} & (b) \cdot r \\ \frac{\Gamma\Rightarrow\sigma,b,b,\Delta}{\Gamma\Rightarrow\sigma,b\land} \land & \frac{\tau\Rightarrow\sigma,c,b,\Delta}{\Gamma\Rightarrow\sigma,b,\Delta} \lor r & \frac{\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta}{b,\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta} \lor r \\ \frac{\Gamma\Rightarrow\sigma,b,b,\Delta}{\Gamma\Rightarrow\sigma,b\land} \land & \frac{\tau\Rightarrow\sigma,c,b,\Delta}{r\Rightarrow\sigma,b\land} = r & \frac{\sigma,b,b,\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta}{\sigma,b,\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta} \lor r \\ \frac{\Gamma\Rightarrow\sigma,b,b,\Delta}{\Gamma\Rightarrow\sigma,b,\Delta} \sim r & \frac{\Gamma\Rightarrow\sigma,c,b,\Delta}{\Gamma\Rightarrow\sigma,b,c,\Delta} = r & \frac{\sigma,b,b,\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta}{\sigma,b,\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta} \sim r \\ \frac{\Gamma\Rightarrow\sigma,b,b,\Delta}{\Gamma\Rightarrow\sigma,b,c} \sim r & \frac{\Gamma\Rightarrow\sigma,c,b,\Delta}{\Gamma\Rightarrow\sigma,b,c} \sim r & \frac{\sigma,c,b,\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta}{\sigma,b,\Gamma\Rightarrow\Delta} \in l \\ \end{array}$$

References

- A. Das and D. Pous, A Cut-Free Cyclic Proof System for Kleene Algebra, in Automated Reasoning with Analytic Tableaux and Related Methods, Brasília, Brazil, 25-28 September 2017, pp. 261–277.
- [2] S. Smolka, N. Foster, J. Hsu, T. Kappé, D. Kozen and A. Silva, Guarded Kleene algebra with tests: verification of uninterpreted programs in nearly linear time, in ACM Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages, New Orleans, USA, 19-25 January 2020, pp. 61:1-61:28.