

## Path Connectedness, Contractibility, and LC-Properties of Superextensions

by

Jan Van MILL and Marcel Van de VEL

*Presented by K. BORSUK on May 2, 1977*

**Summary.** A number of recent results on the contractibility or on LC-type properties of superextensions are considerably strengthened by means of a technique involving the nearest point map and the convex closure operator on a superextension.

The following results on superextensions have recently been proved:

1. If  $X$  is compact, and either contractible or suspended, then its superextension  $\lambda(X)$  is contractible (Verbeek [15]). By Theorem 3.1. below,  $\lambda(X)$  is even LC\*.
2. If  $X$  is a metric continuum, then  $\lambda(X)$  is an AR (compact metric) (Van Mill [8] or Van de Vel [15]). In particular,  $\lambda(X)$  is contractible and LC\*.
3. If  $X$  is a connected normal  $T_1$  space, then  $\lambda(X)$  is acyclic and lc (Van de Vel [15]). (For a definition of lc, see Begle [2]).

In this paper we make a first attempt to fill up the gaps which obviously exist among the above results. We shall concentrate on superextensions of completely regular  $T_1$  spaces.

**1. Some definition and preliminary results.** A closed subbase  $\mathcal{S}$  of a  $T_1$  space  $X$  is called a  $T_1$  subbase if for each  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  and for each  $x \in X - S$ , there is an  $S' \in \mathcal{S}$  with  $x \in S' \subset X - S$ .  $\mathcal{S}$  is called a normal subbase if for each pair  $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{S}$  of disjoint sets there exist  $S'_1, S'_2 \in \mathcal{S}$  such that

$$S_1 \subset S'_1 - S'_2; S_2 \subset S'_2 - S'_1; S'_1 \cup S'_2 = X.$$

A linked system in  $\mathcal{S}$  is a subfamily  $\mathcal{S}'$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  such that each two members of  $\mathcal{S}'$  intersect. The superextension  $\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$  of  $X$  relative to a closed subbase  $\mathcal{S}$  is the  $T_1$  space defined on the set of all maximal linked systems (mls's) in  $\mathcal{S}$ , topologized by means of a Wallman-type closed subbase

$$\mathcal{S}^+ = \{S^+ \mid S \in \mathcal{S}\},$$

where  $S^+$  denotes the set of all  $\mathcal{L} \in \lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$  with  $S \in \mathcal{L}$ . If  $\mathcal{S} = H(X)$ , the set (space) of all nonempty closed subsets of  $X$ , then  $\lambda(X) = \lambda(X, H(X))$  is called the superextension of  $X$ . If  $\mathcal{S}$  is a  $T_1$ -subbase, then there is an obvious embedding of  $X$  in  $\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$ .

The closed subbase  $\mathcal{S}^+$  of  $\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$  has the property that each linked system in  $\mathcal{S}^+$  has a nonempty intersection. Such a subbase is called *binary*. By Alexander's lemma, a space carrying a binary subbase is compact (it is called a *supercompact space*). If  $\mathcal{S}$  is a binary subbase of  $X$ , then obviously  $\lambda(X, \mathcal{S}) \approx X$ . These notions were introduced by De Groot in [3].

The usual topology for the *hyperspace*  $H(X)$  of  $X$  is generated by the open base, consisting of all sets of type

$$\langle 0_1, \dots, 0_n \rangle = \{A \mid A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n 0_i \text{ and } A \cap 0_i \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } i\},$$

where  $0_1, \dots, 0_n \subset X$  are open (see e.g. Michael [6]).

A closed subset  $C$  of  $\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$  is called *convex* (relative to  $\mathcal{S}^+$ ) if it equals an intersection of subbasic closed sets. The subspace of  $H(\lambda(X, \mathcal{S}))$ , consisting of all nonempty convex sets in  $\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$ , will be denoted by  $K(\lambda(X, \mathcal{S}))$ . The notion of subbase convexity was introduced in [12].

An important class of convex sets in  $\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$  can be described as follows: Let  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$ . The *interval joining*  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  is the (convex) set

$$I(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = \bigcap \{P^+ \mid P \in \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N}\}.$$

(Van Mill and Schrijver [11]). Notice that  $I(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$  is the smallest convex set containing  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$ . More generally, the *convex closure* of a set  $A \subset \lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$  is defined to be the set

$$I(A) = \bigcap \{S^+ \mid S \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } A \subset S^+\}.$$

1.1. THEOREM. *Let  $X$  be a  $T_1$  space and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a normal  $T_1$  subbase for  $X$ . Then the convex closure map*

$$I: H(\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})) \rightarrow K(\lambda(X, \mathcal{S}))$$

*is a continuous retraction.*

See [12].

1.2. NEAREST POINT MAPPING THEOREM. *Let  $X$  be a  $T_1$  space and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a normal  $T_1$  subbase for  $X$ . If  $\mathcal{M} \in \lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$  and if  $C \subset \lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$  is nonempty and convex, then there is a unique point  $p(\mathcal{M}, C)$  in  $\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$  with the property that*

$$I(\mathcal{M}, p(\mathcal{M}, C)) \cap C = \{p(\mathcal{M}, C)\},$$

*and the mapping*

$$p: \lambda(X, \mathcal{S}) \times K(\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})) \rightarrow \lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$$

*so obtained, is continuous.*

See [12].  $p$  is called the nearest point map of  $\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$  in view of certain metric and order-theoretic considerations: see [13] and [15]. Techniques involving this mapping have already got a variety of applications. See Van Mill [9] and [10], Van de Vel [15]. New applications are given below.

**2. Contractibility of certain superextensions.** The following general result will be our main tool in deriving contractibility results on  $\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$ :

2.1. LEMMA. *Let  $X$  be a  $T_1$ -space and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a normal  $T_1$  subbase for  $X$ . Assume that there exists a continuous mapping*

$$\varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow H(X)$$

*such that  $\varphi(0)$  is a singleton, and  $\varphi(1) = X$ . Then there is a contraction of  $\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$  onto  $\varphi(0)$  keeping  $\varphi(0)$  fixed.*

Proof. Regarding  $X$  as a subspace of  $\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$ , there is a mapping

$$\psi: H(X) \rightarrow H(\lambda(X, \mathcal{S}))$$

sending  $D \in H(X)$  onto its closure  $\bar{D}$  in  $\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$ . This map is continuous since  $\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$  is normal, being compact and Hausdorff (Verbeek [16]). Define

$$\varphi': [0, 1] \rightarrow H(\lambda(X, \mathcal{S}))$$

as follows:

$$\varphi'(t) = \bigcup \{ \psi\varphi(u) \mid u \leq t \}.$$

$\varphi'(t)$  is compact, being the union of a compact family of compact sets, and  $\varphi'$  is obviously continuous again. Notice that  $\varphi'(0) = \varphi(0)$  and that  $\varphi'$  is increasing.

We now use the convex closure map

$$I: H(\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})) \rightarrow K(\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})) \quad (\text{cf. section 1}).$$

It is easy to verify that  $I$  preserves singletons, and that  $I(D) = D^+$  for each  $D \in \mathcal{S}$ . Moreover,  $\varphi'(1) = \bar{X} \subset \lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$ , whence  $I\varphi'(1) = \lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$  ( $\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$  is the only convex set containing  $X$ ).

Let  $x_0$  be the unique point in  $I\varphi'(0)$ , and define a map

$$F: \lambda(X, \mathcal{S}) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$$

by  $F(\mathcal{M}, t) = p(\mathcal{M}, I\varphi'(t))$ , where  $p$  is the nearest point map (see Section 1). Then by the construction of the map,

$$F(\mathcal{M}, 0) = p(\mathcal{M}, \{x_0\}) = x_0;$$

$$F(\mathcal{M}, 1) = p(\mathcal{M}, \lambda(X, \mathcal{S})) = \mathcal{M}.$$

Moreover,  $x_0 \in I\varphi'(t)$  for each  $t$ , whence

$$F(x_0, t) = p(x_0, I\varphi'(t)) = x_0,$$

proving that  $F$  is a contraction of  $\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$  onto  $x_0$  keeping  $x_0$  fixed.

2.2. COROLLARY. *Let  $X$  be a  $T_1$  space, let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a normal  $T_1$  subbase of  $X$ , and assume that  $\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$  is contractible. Then for each  $\mathcal{M}_0 \in \lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$  there is a contraction of  $\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$  onto  $\mathcal{M}_0$  keeping  $\mathcal{M}_0$  fixed.*

Proof. Let  $F: \lambda(X, \mathcal{S}) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$  be a contraction of  $\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$ . Then there is an associated continuous map

$$\varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow H(\lambda(X, \mathcal{S}))$$

defined by  $\varphi(t) = F(\lambda(X, \mathcal{S}) \times \{t\})$  (cf. Van de Vel [15]). In particular,  $\varphi(0)$  is some singleton and  $\varphi(1) = \lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}_0 \in \lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$  be arbitrary. Then there is a path

$$\alpha: [0, 1] \rightarrow \lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$$

with  $\alpha(0) = \mathcal{M}_0$  and  $\{\alpha(1)\} = \varphi(0)$ . Define

$$\psi: [0, 1] \rightarrow H(\lambda(X, \mathcal{S}))$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t) &= \{\alpha(2t)\} & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \psi(t) &= \{\alpha(1)\} \cup \varphi(2t-1) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\psi$  is a well-defined continuous path in  $H(\lambda(X, \mathcal{S}))$  joining  $\{\mathcal{M}_0\}$  with  $\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}^+$  is a normal subbase of  $\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$  and since  $\lambda(\lambda(X, \mathcal{S}), \mathcal{S}^+) \approx \lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$  ( $\mathcal{S}^+$  is binary), Lemma 2.1 yields that  $\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$  is contractible to  $\mathcal{M}_0$  with a contraction keeping  $\mathcal{M}_0$  fixed.

As a second application, we now show that, as far as *normal* spaces are concerned, one has to look after *the superextension*:

2.3. COROLLARY. *Let  $X$  be a normal  $T_1$  space such that  $\lambda(X)$  is contractible. Then for each normal  $T_1$  subbase  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $X$ , the superextension  $\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$  is also contractible.*

Proof. First, notice that if  $f: Y \rightarrow Z$  is a continuous map of a  $T_1$  space  $Y$  to a normal  $T_1$  space  $Z$ , then the mapping

$$H(f): H(Y) \rightarrow H(Z),$$

sending  $A \subset Y$  to  $\text{Cl}_Z f(A)$ , is also continuous. If  $\varphi$  is a path in  $H(Y)$  joining some singleton with  $Y$ , then  $H(f) \circ \varphi$  joins some singleton of  $Z$  with  $Z$  in  $H(Z)$ , provided that  $f$  is onto (or, at least, that  $f(Y)$  is dense in  $Z$ ).

Assume now, that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a normal  $T_1$  subbase for  $X$ . Then there is a continuous surjection

$$f: \lambda(X) \rightarrow \lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$$

(the so-called *Jensen-map*, cf. Verbeek [16]). Notice that  $\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$  is normal, being compact, and being Hausdorff by the normality of the subbase  $\mathcal{S}$ . Also, each superextension is  $T_1$ . If  $\lambda(X)$  is contractible, then there is a path in  $H(\lambda(X))$  joining some singleton of  $\lambda(X)$  with  $\lambda(X)$  (see the proof of Corollary 2.2). Combining the above remark with Lemma 2.1 then yields the desired result.

We now come to our main results.

2.4. THEOREM. *Let  $X$  be a separable  $T_1$  space such that each finite subset of  $X$  is contained in a metric subcontinuum of  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a normal  $T_1$  subbase for  $X$ . Then  $\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$  is contractible.*

Proof. We need two auxiliary results.

(2.5; 1) *There is an increasing sequence  $(K_n)_{n=0}^\infty$  of metrizable subcontinua of  $X$ , such that  $K_0$  is a singleton, and  $(K_n)_{n=0}^\infty$  converges  $X$  in  $H(X)$ .*

Let  $\{x_n | n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  be a countable (counted) dense subspace of  $X$ . For each  $n \geq 0$  we let  $L_n$  be a metric continuum containing  $\{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ . In particular, we choose  $L_0 = \{x_0\}$ . Then  $K_n = \bigcup_{i=0}^n L_i$  is a metric continuum,  $(K_n)_{n=0}^\infty$  is an increasing sequence, and  $\bigcup_{n=0}^\infty K_n$  is dense in  $X$ . Let  $\langle 0_1, \dots, 0_p \rangle$  be a basic open set in  $H(X)$  containing  $X$  as a member. Then  $0_i \neq \emptyset$  for each  $i$ , and  $\bigcup_{i=1}^p 0_i = X$ . For each  $i=1, \dots, p$  there is an  $n_i \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $K_{n_i} \cap 0_i \neq \emptyset$ , and hence  $K_n \cap 0_i \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n \geq n_i$ . If  $n_0$  denotes the maximum of  $\{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$ , then  $K_n \in \langle 0_1, \dots, 0_p \rangle$  for each  $n \geq n_0$ , proving that  $(K_n)_{n=0}^\infty$  converges to  $X$ .

(2.5; 2) *If  $K \subset L$  are metric subcontinua of  $X$ , then there is a continuous increasing mapping*

$$\varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow H(X)$$

with  $\varphi(0) = K$  and  $\varphi(1) = L$ .

Using the fact that  $H(L) \subset H(X)$ , this statement is a direct consequence of a result of Borsuk and Mazurkiewicz, which can be found e.g. in Kuratowski [5] p. 127.

We now combine the two statements. For each  $n > 0$  we have a continuous increasing map (with rearranged domain)

$$\varphi_n: \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n+1} \right] \rightarrow H(X)$$

such that  $\varphi_n \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) = K_{n-1}$  and  $\varphi_n \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n+1} \right) = K_n$ . Since each  $\varphi_n$  is monotonic and since  $(K_n)_{n=0}^\infty$  converges to  $X$ , the join

$$\varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow H(X)$$

of the maps  $\varphi_n$ , with  $\varphi(1) = X$ , is also continuous. Applying Lemma 2.1 then proves the Theorem.

Some classes of spaces satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 2.4. are worth mentioning (all spaces are  $T_1$  and completely regular):

- (i) the class of all separable, path connected spaces, with, in particular, the class of separable topological vector spaces;
- (ii) the class of all separable, compactly connected, compactly metrizable spaces. (If  $P$  is a topological property, then a space is called compactly  $P$  if each compact subspace is contained in a compact subspace satisfying  $P$ ).

As a particular consequence of Theorem 2.4, it follows that  $\lambda(\mathbf{R})$  is contractible, in contrast with the fact that the Čech–Stone compactification  $\beta(\mathbf{R}) \subset \lambda(\mathbf{R})$  is not contractible, not even path connected. The contractibility of  $\lambda(\mathbf{R})$  was claimed pre-

viously by Verbeek ([16] p. 133). His proof is wrong, however, as it relies on the contractibility of  $\beta(\mathbf{R})$ .

By (i) above, a countable product of real lines also has contractible superextensions. Notice that  $R^\infty$  is homeomorphic to the space  $l_2$  of all square summable sequences in  $\mathbf{R}$  by a result of Anderson [1].

Recall that a space  $X$  is said to be of *category*  $\leq n$ , where  $n > 0$  is a natural number, if  $X$  equals the union of  $n$  closed subspaces, each deformable to a point in  $X$ .  $X$  is of *finite category* if it is of category  $\leq n$  for some  $n$ .

**2.5. THEOREM.** *Let  $X$  be a connected  $T_1$  space of finite category, containing a dense  $\sigma$ -compact subspace. If  $\mathcal{S}$  is a normal  $T_1$  subbase for  $X$  then  $\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$  is contractible.*

*Proof.* Let  $C_1, \dots, C_p$  be nonempty closed subspaces of  $X$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^p C_i$ , and such that  $C_i$  is deformable to a point  $x_i \in X$ , i.e. there is a mapping

$$F_i: C_i \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$$

with  $F_i(-, 0) = \text{constant map onto } x_i$ , and  $F_i(-, 1) = \text{inclusion map of } C_i \text{ in } X$ .

Let  $(K_n)_{n=0}^\infty$  be a sequence of compact subspaces of  $X$  such that  $\bigcup_{n=0}^\infty K_n$  is dense in  $X$ . We may assume that this sequence is increasing, and that  $K_n \cap C_i \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n, i$ .

Fix  $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$  for a while. For each  $n \geq 0$  there is an associated continuous map

$$\psi_{n,i}: [0, 1] \rightarrow H(X)$$

with  $\psi_{n,i}(t) = F_i(K_{n,i} \times \{t\})$ , where  $K_{n,i} = K_n \cap C_i$  (Van de Vel [15]). Notice that  $\psi_{n,i}(0) = \{x_i\}$  and that  $\psi_{n,i}(1) = K_{n,i}$ . We put  $\phi'_{0,i} = \psi_{0,i}$ , and for each  $n \geq 0$  we let

$$\phi'_{n+1,i}: [0, 1] \rightarrow H(X)$$

be a path joining  $K_{n,i}$  with  $K_{n+1,i}$  (using the paths  $\psi_{n,i}$  and  $\psi_{n+1,i}$ ). These mappings are now made monotonic and compatible as follows. Define  $\phi_{0,i}$  by

$$\phi_{0,i}(t) = \bigcup_{t' \leq t} \phi'_{0,i}(t').$$

Notice that  $\phi_{0,i}$  has compact values, and  $K_{0,i} \subset \phi_{0,i}(1)$ . If  $\phi_{0,i}, \dots, \phi_{n,i}$  have been constructed such that each  $\phi_{m,i}$  is continuous, monotonic, with compact values, and such that  $\phi_{m,i}(1) = \phi_{m+1,i}(0)$  if  $m < n$ , and  $K_{n,i} \subset \phi_{n,i}(1)$ , then we put

$$\phi_{n+1,i}(t) = \bigcup_{t' \leq t} \phi'_{n+1,i}(t') \cup \phi_{n,i}(1).$$

Hence,  $\phi_{n+1,i}$  is continuous, monotonic, and with compact values again. Moreover,

$$\phi_{n+1,i}(0) = \phi'_{n+1,i}(0) \cup \phi_{n,i}(1) = \phi_{n,i}(1),$$

since  $\phi'_{n+1,i}(0) = K_{n,i} \subset \phi_{n,i}(1)$ , and

$$K_{n+1,i} = \phi'_{n+1,i}(1) \subset \bigcup_{t \leq 1} \phi'_{n+1,i}(t) \cup \phi_{n,i}(1) = \phi_{n+1,i}(1),$$

completing the inductive construction.

Let  $D_i$  be the closure of  $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{n,i}(1)$ . We now proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2.4: the sequence  $(\varphi_{n,i}(1))_{n=0}^{\infty}$  converges to  $D_i$  in  $H(X)$ , and the monotonic maps  $\varphi_{n,i}$  can be joined such as to yield a mapping

$$\varphi_i: [0, 1] \rightarrow H(X)$$

with  $\varphi_i(1) = D_i$ . In particular,  $\varphi_i(0) = \{x_i\}$ .

Proceeding as above for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$  we obtain mappings  $\varphi_i: [0, 1] \rightarrow H(X)$  with

$$\varphi_i(1) = D_i = Cl_X \left( \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{n,i}(1) \right),$$

where  $\varphi_{n,i}(1) \supset K_{n,i} = K_n \cap C_i$ . Hence

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^p D_i = Cl_X \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^p \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{n,i}(1) \right) \supset Cl_X \left( \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^p K_n \cap C_i \right) = Cl_X \left( \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} K_n \right) = X.$$

A connected space of finite category is easily seen to be path connected. Fix  $x_0 \in X$  and for each  $i = 1, \dots, p$ , fix a path

$$\alpha_i: [0, 1] \rightarrow X$$

joining  $x_0 = \alpha_i(0)$  with  $x_i = \alpha_i(1)$ . A path

$$\varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow H(X)$$

joining  $\{x_0\}$  with  $X$  can now be constructed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t) &= \{\alpha_i(2t) \mid i=1, \dots, p\} & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}; \\ \varphi(t) &= \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^p \varphi_i(2t-1) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

This map is continuous,  $\varphi(0) = \{x_0\}$ , and  $\varphi(1) \supset \bigcup_{i=1}^p D_i = X$  as we computed above. Lemma 2.1 can now be applied.

This theorem includes the contractibility results of Verbeek, mentioned in the introduction. In fact, a contractible (compact) space is of category 1 and a (compact) suspension is of category  $\leq 2$ .

A new class of examples is provided by the topological vector spaces, which are densely  $\sigma$ -compact, e.g. (uncountable) products of real lines. Countable products of  $\mathbf{R}$  are even separable, and Theorem 2.4 can be called on in this case.

**3.  $LC^{\infty}$  and  $LC^*$  spaces.** We now use the nearest point mapping on a super-extension to obtain  $LC$ -type properties. The reader is referred to Hu [4] for a definition of  $LC^{\infty}$  and of  $LC^*$ .

**3.1. THEOREM.** *Let  $X$  be a  $T_1$  space that admits a normal binary subbase. Then*

- (i)  $X$  is  $LC^{\infty}$  if it is path connected,
- (ii)  $X$  is  $LC^*$  if it is contractible.

Proof. The following results can be found in [15]:

- (3.1; 1) Each mapping of an  $n$ -sphere,  $n > 0$ , into  $\lambda(X)$  is homotopic to a constant map;  
 (3.1; 2) Each point of  $\lambda(X)$  has a neighbourhood base, consisting of convex sets;  
 (3.1; 3) Each convex subset of  $\lambda(X)$  is a retract of  $\lambda(X)$ .

The latter result first appeared in [9]. Its short proof involves the nearest point map  $p$ : if  $C \subset \lambda(X)$  is convex, then

$$p(-, C): \lambda(X) \rightarrow \lambda(X)$$

is a retraction of  $\lambda(X)$  onto  $C$ .

Theorem 3.1 is a direct consequence of these results, using the fact that a space with a normal binary subbase is a retract of its superextension (Van Mill [7]). Actually, the above cited results can be proved directly on the original space  $X$ , using the same method as in the  $\lambda(X)$ -case.

3.2. COROLLARY. *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a normal  $T_1$  subbase for the  $T_1$  space  $X$ . Then  $\lambda(X, \mathcal{S})$  is a contractible  $LC^*$  space in each of the following cases:*

- (i)  $X$  is a densely  $\sigma$ -compact, connected space of finite category;  
 (ii)  $X$  is separable, compactly connected, and compactly metrizable;  
 (iii)  $X$  is separable and path connected.

Notice that (i) covers the case of contractible or suspended compacta, and that (ii) covers the case of metric continua.

**4. Some remarks and problems.** In addition to the contractibility results of Van Mill and Verbeek on the superextension of a compact space, we have now proved that  $\lambda(X)$  is also contractible if  $X$  is separable, compact, and path connected, or if  $X$  is a continuum of finite category. However, the following problem remains open:

4.1. Question. *Find necessary and sufficient conditions on a continuum  $X$  for  $\lambda(X)$  to be path connected/contractible. Are there path connected non-contractible superextensions of continua?*

Concerning the first part of the question, we found the following examples:

- 4.2. Examples. (i) *Let  $X$  be a compact tree which is not path connected. Then  $\lambda(X)$  is not path connected.*  
 (ii) *Let  $X = \beta(\mathbf{R})$ , the Čech–Stone compactification of the real line  $\mathbf{R}$ . Then  $X$  is not path connected, but  $\lambda(X)$  is contractible.*

The proofs are simple:

- (i) A compact tree admits a normal binary subbase (Van Mill and Schrijver [11], and hence it is a retract of its superextension (Van Mill [7]);  
 (ii)  $\lambda(\beta(\mathbf{R}))$  is homeomorphic to  $\lambda(\mathbf{R})$  (Verbeek [16]).

Concerning the second part of question 4.1, Theorem 2.4 implies that path connectedness and contractibility are equivalent on separable superextensions.

It is well-known that AR's in the category of compact spaces are contractible and locally contractible (LC\*) (see e.g. Saalfrank [14]). The two properties are not equivalent in general. However, in view of Van Mill's result that  $\lambda(X)$  is an AR (compact metric) if  $X$  is a metric continuum, and in view of the nice convexity structure of superextensions, one is led to the following

4.3. Problem. *Find conditions on a continuum  $X$  in order that  $\lambda(X)$  be an AR (compact).*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FREE UNIVERSITY, DE BOELELAAN 1081, AMSTERDAM, (HOLLAND)

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Anderson, *Hilbert space is homeomorphic to the countable infinite product of lines*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc., **72** (1966), 515-519.
- [2] E. G. Begle, *Locally connected spaces and generalized manifolds*, Amer. J. Math., **64** (1942), 553-574.
- [3] De Groot, *Supercompactness and superextensions*, Contributions to extension theory of topological structures, Symp. Berlin 1967, Deutsche Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin 1969, 89-90.
- [4] S. T. Hu, *Theory of retracts*, Wayne State University Press, 1965.
- [5] C. Kuratowski, *Topology II*, 3rd. ed., Warszawa, 1961.
- [6] E. Michael, *Topologies on spaces of subsets*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., **71** (1951), 152-182.
- [7] J. Van Mill, *On supercompactness and superextensions*, report 37, Free University, Amsterdam, 1975.
- [8] ———, *The superextension of the closed unit interval is homeomorphic to the Hilbert Cube* [to appear in Fund. Math.].
- [9] ———, *A pseudo-interior of  $\lambda I$*  [to appear].
- [10] ———, *Some subspaces of  $\lambda X$  homeomorphic to the Hilbert Cube* [to appear].
- [11] J. Van Mill, A. Schrijver, *Subbase characterizations of compact topological spaces* [to appear].
- [12] J. Van Mill, M. Van de Vel., *Subbases, convex sets and hyperspaces* [to appear].
- [13] ———, ———, *Convexity preserving mappings in subbase convexity theory*, Proc. Kon. Ned. Acad. Wet. Ser. A, **81** (1), 76-90.
- [14] C. W. Saalfrank, *Retraction properties for normal Hausdorff spaces*, Fund. Math., **36** (1949), 93-108.
- [15] M. Van de Vel, *Superextensions and Lefschetz fixed point structures* [to appear in Fund. Math.].
- [16] A. Verbeek, *Superextensions of topological spaces*, M.C. Tracts 41, Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1972.

И. ван Милл, М. Ван де Вель, **Связанность, сжимаемость и LC-свойство суперрасширений**

**Содержание.** Число последних результатов по сжимаемости или по свойствам LC-типа значительно увеличилось с помощью техники, включающей ближайшую точку отображения и оператор выпуклого замыкания на суперрасширении.