

## A COMPACT $F$ -SPACE NOT CO-ABSOLUTE WITH $\beta\mathbb{N}-\mathbb{N}$

Jan VAN MILL

*Vrije Universiteit, De Boelelaan 1007, Amsterdam, The Netherlands*

Scott W. WILLIAMS

*State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY 14214, USA*

Received 28 August 1980

Revised 15 May 1981 and 27 April 1982

We show that if every Parovičenko space of weight  $\mathfrak{c}$  is co-absolute with  $\beta\mathbb{N}-\mathbb{N}$ , then  $\mathfrak{c} < 2^{\aleph_1}$ .

AMS(MOS) Subj. Class. (1980): 54G05, 54D40, 54A35, 06E99

$F$ -space	absolute	
$\beta\mathbb{N}-\mathbb{N}$	Boolean algebra	Continuum Hypothesis

### 1. Introduction

It will be convenient to call a space  $X$  a *Parovičenko* space if

- ( $\alpha$ )  $X$  is a zero-dimensional compact space without isolated points,
- ( $\beta$ ) every two disjoint open  $F_\sigma$ -sets have disjoint closures, and
- ( $\gamma$ ) every nonempty  $G_\delta$ -set in  $X$  has non-empty interior.

Compact spaces satisfying ( $\beta$ ) are usually called *F-spaces*, while spaces satisfying ( $\gamma$ ) are called *almost-P spaces*. Examples of *F-spaces* are the extremally disconnected spaces. Examples of almost-*P* spaces are  $\eta_\alpha$ -sets (and their compactifications). Examples of compact *F*-almost-*P* (Parovičenko) spaces are all spaces of the form  $X^* = \beta X - X$ , where  $X$  is a locally compact realcompact (respectively, zero-dimensional) space [6, 7].

It is well-known that under CH, the continuum hypothesis, all Parovičenko spaces of weight  $\mathfrak{c}$  are homeomorphic [9]. The converse of this result is true, i.e., if all Parovičenko spaces of weight  $\mathfrak{c}$  are homeomorphic, then CH is true [4]. The standard example of a Parovičenko space of weight  $\mathfrak{c}$  is  $\mathbb{N}^*$ , where  $\mathbb{N}$  is the discrete space of natural numbers; however, more examples can be produced using spaces of the form  $(K \times \mathbb{N})^*$ , where  $K$  is a compact zero-dimensional space of weight at most  $\mathfrak{c}$  (e.g.  $K$  equal to the Cantor set or  $\mathbb{N}^*$ ).

The *absolute* (see [10] or [16] for surveys) of a regular space  $X$  is the unique (up to homeomorphism) extremally disconnected space  $\mathcal{E}(X)$ , which can be mapped

by a perfect irreducible map onto  $X$ . Spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  are called *co-absolute* when  $\mathcal{E}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{E}(Y)$  are homeomorphic. R.G. Woods first considered “Parovičenko-like” characterizations of the co-absolute of  $\mathbb{N}^*$ , [14, 15]. These were recently improved by Broverman and Weiss, [2], who presented

**1.1. Theorem.** *CH implies every Parovičenko space of  $\pi$ -weight at most  $\mathfrak{c}$  is co-absolute with  $\mathbb{N}^*$ .*

Broverman and Weiss also show that their result is not a theorem in ZFC by proving

**1.2. Theorem.** *Assume  $\aleph_1 < \mathfrak{c}$  and that every Parovičenko space of weight  $\mathfrak{c}$  is co-absolute with  $\mathbb{N}^*$ . Then  $\mathfrak{c} < 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ .*

The purpose of this paper is to present a partial converse to 1.1 which is simultaneously an improvement of 1.2. Specifically we establish, through two examples, the following

**1.3. Theorem.** *Assume that every Parovičenko space of weight  $\mathfrak{c}$  is co-absolute with  $\mathbb{N}^*$ . Then  $\mathfrak{c} < 2^{\aleph_1}$ .*

## 2. The first example

Throughout this paper,  $\mathfrak{c} = 2^{\aleph_0}$  and  $w(X)$  denotes the weight of a space  $X$ . The intersection of at most  $\aleph_1$  open sets of a space will be called a  $G\aleph_1$ -set. Observe that a compact zero-dimensional space  $X$  possesses precisely  $w(X)$  many clopen sets; moreover, since each closed (= compact) subset has a neighborhood base of clopen sets,  $X$  has at most  $w(X)^{\aleph_1}$  closed  $G\aleph_1$ -sets.

**2.1. Lemma.** *Suppose that  $X$  is compact. Then there is a Parovičenko space  $\Omega_X$  and a continuous surjection  $\varphi_X: \Omega_X \rightarrow X$  satisfying*

- (1)  $w(\Omega_X) \leq w(X)^{\aleph_1}$ , and
- (2) if  $G$  is a non-empty  $G\aleph_1$ -set, then  $\varphi_X^{-1}(G)$  has non-empty interior in  $\Omega_X$ .

**Proof.** Since each compact space is a continuous image of compact zero-dimensional space of the same weight, we assume, without loss of generality,  $X$  is zero-dimensional. For convenience, put  $\kappa = w(X)^{\aleph_1}$ . From the above observation, we may choose  $A \subseteq X$  having cardinality at most  $\kappa$  and intersection each non-empty closed  $G\aleph_1$ -set of  $X$ . Topologizing  $Y = (X \times \{0\}) \cup A \times \{1\}$  as in the Alexandrov double (see [5, p. 173]) transforms  $Y$  into a compact zero-dimensional space of weight at most  $\kappa$  with  $A \times \{1\}$  open and discrete. Let  $p: Y \rightarrow X$  be the natural projection. If  $G$  is a non-empty  $G\aleph_1$ -set of  $X$ , then  $G$  contains a non-empty closed  $G\aleph_1$ -set  $H$ . By construction,  $H \cap A \neq \emptyset$  which implies  $p^{-1}(H)$ , and hence  $p^{-1}(G)$ , has non-empty interior in  $Y$ .

Define  $\Omega_X = (Y \times \mathbb{N}^*)$ , let  $\pi: Y \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow Y$  be the natural projection, and define  $\varphi_X = p \circ (\beta\pi \upharpoonright \Omega_X)$ , where  $\beta\pi$  is the Stone extension of  $\pi$  to  $\beta(Y \times \mathbb{N})$ . Since  $Y \times \mathbb{N}$  is a  $\sigma$ -compact zero-dimensional space, it is strongly zero-dimensional and has at most  $w(Y)^{\aleph_0} = \kappa^{\aleph_0} = \kappa$  clopen sets. Consequently,  $\beta(Y \times \mathbb{N})$  is a zero-dimensional space of weight at most  $\kappa$ . By [6] and [7] we may therefore conclude  $\Omega_X$  and  $\varphi_X$  are as advertised.  $\square$

We employ the previous lemma in the construction of the following.

**2.2. Example.** *There is a Parovičenko space  $S$  of weight at most  $2^{\aleph_1}$  such that each non-empty  $G\aleph_1$ -set of  $S$  has non-empty interior.*

**Proof.** By recursion, for each ordinal  $\lambda < \omega_2$  we will construct a Parovičenko space  $S_\lambda$  and for each  $\nu < \lambda$  a continuous surjection  $f_{\lambda\nu}: S_\lambda \rightarrow S_\nu$  subject to the restrictions

- (1)  $w(S_\lambda) \leq 2^{\aleph_1}$ .
- (2) If  $G$  is a non-empty  $G\aleph_1$ -set, then  $f_{\lambda\nu}^{-1}(G)$  has non-empty interior in  $S_\lambda$ .
- (3) If  $\nu < \mu < \lambda$ , then  $f_{\mu\nu} \circ f_{\lambda\mu} = f_{\lambda\nu}$ .

Let  $S_0 = \mathbb{N}^*$  and suppose  $\kappa < \omega_2$  is an ordinal for which everything has been constructed for all  $\lambda < \kappa$ . We put

$$X = \varprojlim (S_\lambda, f_{\lambda\nu}, \kappa) \quad \text{and} \quad g_\nu = \varprojlim (f_{\lambda\nu}, \kappa) \quad \text{for each } \nu < \kappa.$$

Observe that  $w(X) \leq \aleph_1 \cdot 2^{\aleph_1} = 2^{\aleph_1}$  and that  $X$  is compact and zero-dimensional. Define  $S_\kappa = \Omega_X$  (from Lemma 2.1). In addition, for each  $\nu < \kappa$  define  $f_{\kappa\nu} = g_\nu \circ \varphi_X$ . It is clear that our recursion hypothesis is satisfied.

Now define  $S = \varprojlim (S_\lambda, f_{\lambda\nu}, \omega_2)$  and for each  $\nu < \omega_2$  define  $f_\nu = \varprojlim (f_{\lambda\nu}, \omega_2)$ . First observe that if  $C \subseteq S$  is clopen, then there is  $\lambda < \omega_2$  and a clopen  $K \subseteq S_\lambda$  such that  $f_\lambda^{-1}(K) = C$ . This readily implies that  $S$  has all the required properties. For let  $F, F'$  be disjoint open  $F_\sigma$ -sets. Applying the observation above (and compact zero-dimensional), we may find  $\lambda, \lambda' < \omega_2$  and open  $F_\sigma$ -sets  $E$  and  $E'$  of  $S_\lambda$  and  $S_{\lambda'}$  respectively, such that  $f_\lambda^{-1}(E) = F$  and  $f_{\lambda'}^{-1}(E') = F'$ . Without loss of generality,  $\lambda' \leq \lambda$ . Then,  $f_{\lambda\lambda'}^{-1}(F')$  and  $F$  are two disjoint open  $F_\sigma$ -sets of the Parovičenko space  $S_\lambda$ , and hence, they have disjoint closures in  $S_\lambda$ . Now (3) implies  $E$  and  $E'$  have disjoint closures in  $S$ . So we conclude  $S$  is an  $F$ -space. Similarly, using (2) (and the fact the inverse system is “increasing” of length  $\omega_2 > \omega_1$ ), the reader can easily check that each non-empty  $G\aleph_1$ -set of  $S$  has non-empty interior.  $\square$

### 3. The second example

Given a space  $X$ , the *Noúak number*,  $n(X)$ , is defined (see [1] for studies of  $n(\mathbb{N}^*)$ ) by

$$n(X) = \inf\{\text{cardinals } \kappa : X \text{ can be covered by } \kappa \text{ nowhere dense sets}\}.$$

Analogously to the weak Lindelöf degree of a space  $X$ , we define the *weak Nowák number*,  $wn(X)$ , by

$$wn(X) = \inf\{|\mathcal{D}| : \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbb{P}(X), \bigcup \mathcal{D} \text{ is dense in } X, \text{ and} \\ \text{each } D \in \mathcal{D} \text{ is nowhere dense in } X\}.$$

Observe that whenever  $X$  has no isolated points, the density of  $X$  is not smaller than  $wn(X)$ .

**3.1. Example.** *There is a Parovičenko space  $T$  of weight  $\mathfrak{c}$  such that  $wn(T) \leq \aleph_1$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $W$  be the one-point compactification of the discrete space of cardinality  $\aleph_1$ , and  $\infty$  be the non-isolated point of  $W$ . Let  $M = {}^{\omega_1}W$  have the Tychonov product topology and define  $T = (\mathbb{N} \times M)^*$ . We claim  $T$  is as required. Indeed,  $T$  is clearly a Parovičenko space of weight at most  $w(M)^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1^{\aleph_0} = \mathfrak{c}$  (see the argument in the last paragraph of 2.1). Since each almost- $P$  space without isolated points contains a family of  $\mathfrak{c}$  pairwise-disjoint open sets, it follows that  $w(T) = \mathfrak{c}$ .

For each ordinal  $\alpha \in \omega_1$ , let  $\pi_\alpha : M \rightarrow W$  be the projection onto the  $\alpha$ th coordinate. So if  $w_n \in W - \{\infty\}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$\{(n, \pi_\alpha^{-1}(\{w_n\})) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is a clopen set of  $\mathbb{N} \times M$  disjoint from  $\mathbb{N} \times \pi_\alpha^{-1}(\{\infty\})$ . Put

$$D_\alpha = \overline{\mathbb{N} \times \pi_\alpha^{-1}(\{\infty\})} - (\mathbb{N} \times M),$$

where closure is taken in  $\beta(\mathbb{N} \times M)$ . It is easily seen that each  $D_\alpha$  is a nowhere dense closed subspace of  $T$ . That  $wn(T) \leq \aleph_1$  will follow once we show  $\bigcup \{D_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1\}$  is dense  $T$ .

Suppose that  $C$  is a non-compact clopen subset of  $\mathbb{N} \times M$ . It will be sufficient to find an  $\alpha \in \omega_1$  such that in  $\beta(\mathbb{N} \times M)$ ,

$$\bar{C} \cap D_\alpha - (\mathbb{N} \times M) \neq \emptyset.$$

To this end we first let

$$N = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : C \cap \{n\} \times M \neq \emptyset\}$$

and

$$C_n = C \cap \{n\} \times M \quad \text{if } n \in N.$$

For  $n \in N$ ,  $C_n$  is clopen in  $\{n\} \times M$ , so we can find a finite subset  $F_n \subseteq \omega_1$  such that  $\nu \in \omega_1 - F_n$  implies

$$(\{n\} \times \pi_\nu^{-1}(\{\infty\})) \cap C_n \neq \emptyset.$$

Take  $\alpha \in \omega_1 - \bigcup \{F_n : n \in N\}$  arbitrarily. Further, choose

$$w_n \in (\{n\} \times \pi_\alpha^{-1}(\{\infty\})) \cap C_n.$$

Then in  $\beta(\mathbb{N} \times M)$  each limit point of  $\{w_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is easily determined to be an element of  $\bar{C} \cap D_\alpha$ .  $\square$

**4. The proof of Theorem 1.3 and remarks**

First we observe that the weak Nořak number is a co-absolute invariant property.

**4.1. Lemma.** *For any space  $X$ ,  $wn(\mathcal{E}(X)) = wn(X)$ .*

**Proof.** This is easy, for given a closed continuous surjection  $f: Y \rightarrow Z$  that is also irreducible [i.e.,  $f(F)$  is a proper closed subset of  $Z$  whenever  $F$  is a proper closed subset of  $Y$ ],  $D \subseteq Z$  is dense (respectively, nowhere dense) iff  $f^{-1}(D)$  is dense (nowhere dense) in  $Z$ .  $\square$

We will now give the proof of our main result, Theorem 1.3. To this end we show  $wn(T) < wn(S)$  which implies, according to Lemma 4.1, that  $\mathcal{E}(S)$  and  $\mathcal{E}(T)$  are not homeomorphic.

Suppose  $\kappa$  is a regular ordinal,  $\omega_0 \leq \kappa \leq \omega_2$ , and suppose  $\{G_\lambda : \lambda \in \kappa\}$  is a family of open dense sets of  $S$ . Since every non-empty  $G\aleph_1$ -set of  $S$  has non-empty interior, we may construct, via compactness and a Baire category type argument, a family  $\{C_\lambda : \lambda \in \kappa\}$  of non-empty clopen sets of  $S$  such that

$$C_\lambda \subseteq \bigcap \{G_\mu \cap C_\mu : \mu < \lambda\} \quad \text{for each } \lambda \in \kappa.$$

Again by compactness,  $\bigcap \{C_\lambda : \lambda \in \kappa\} \neq \emptyset$ . It is now clear that

$$wn(T) \leq \aleph_1 < \aleph_1 < wn(S). \quad \square$$

**4.2. Remarks.** (1) The reader should observe the translation of the results in this paper to Boolean algebraic terminology. First, a pair of compact spaces are co-absolute iff they have isomorphic regular-open set algebras. Second, a Parovičenko space is characterized as the Stone space of a weakly countably complete,  $\omega$ -closed, atomless Boolean algebra, see [3] or [8]. Through such translations the following improvements of 1.1 announced in [11] (with a totally different proof than that in [2]) exists:

*CH implies that  $X$  and  $\mathbb{N}^*$  are co-absolute whenever  $X$  is a compact almost- $P$  space of  $\pi$ -weight  $\mathfrak{c}$  without isolated points.*

We do not know whether the converse is true.

(2) The machine used in [2] to prove 1.2 appears new; however, the authors did not realize that the resulting Boolean algebra is always the so-called  $\mathfrak{c}$ -homogeneous-universal Boolean algebra, which exists iff  $\mathfrak{c} = 2^\mathfrak{c}$ , [3]. A somewhat similar but much more complicated machine was subsequently constructed by the second author of this paper in order to give the first proof of 1.3 [12].

(3) We feel, as do the authors of [2], that the converse to 1.1 is a theorem. Attempts to prove this have failed so far. The reader should be aware of the following curious but related results from [13]. Let  $K$  be the Tychonov product of  $\aleph_1$  many two-point discrete spaces and put  $Z = (\mathbb{N} \times K)^*$ . Then  $\mathbb{N}^*$  and  $Z$  are co-absolute iff  $X^*$  and  $\mathbb{N}^*$  are co-absolute whenever  $X$  is locally compact, realcompact, non-compact, and of weight at most  $c$ . Further, “ $\mathbb{N}^*$  and  $Z$  are co-absolute” is consistent with  $\aleph_1 < c$ .

## References

- [1] B. Balcar, J. Pelant, P. Simon, The space of ultrafilters on  $N$  covered by nowhere dense sets, *Fund. Math.* 110 (1980) 11–24.
- [2] S. Broverman and W. Weiss, Spaces co-absolute with  $\beta N - N$ , *Topology Appl.* 12 (1981) 127–133.
- [3] W. Comfort and S. Negreponitis, *The Theory of Ultrafilters*, *Grund. Math. Wiss. Bd. 211* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974).
- [4] E. van Douwen and J. van Mill, Parovičenko’s characterization of  $\beta\omega - \omega$  implies CH, *Proc. AMS* 72 (1978) 539–541.
- [5] R. Engelking, *General Topology* (PWN-Polish Sci. Pub., Warsaw, 1977).
- [6] N. Fine and L. Gillman, Extensions of continuous functions in  $\beta N$ , *Bull. AMS* 66 (1960) 376–381.
- [7] L. Gillman and M. Henriksen, Rings of continuous functions in which every finitely generated ideal is principle, *Trans. AMS* 82 (1956) 366–391.
- [8] J. van Mill, An introduction to  $\beta\omega$ , to appear.
- [9] I. Parovičenko, A universal bicomact of weight  $\aleph$ , *Sov. Math. Dohl.* 4 (1963) 592–595.
- [10] V. Ponomarev and L. Šapiro, Absolutes of topological spaces and their continuous maps, *Russian Math. Surv.* 31 (1976) 138–154.
- [11] S. Williams, An application of trees to topology, *Topology Proc.* 3 (1978) 523–525.
- [12] S. Williams, Some compact  $F$ -spaces of continuous weight, pre-print.
- [13] S. Williams, Trees, Gleason spaces and co-absolutes of  $\beta N - N$ , *Trans. AMS*, to appear.
- [14] R.G. Woods, A Boolean algebra of regular closed subsets of  $\beta X - X$ , *Trans. AMS* 154 (1971) 23–36.
- [15] R.G. Woods, Co-absolutes of remainders of Stone-Čech compactifications, *Pacific J. Math.* 37 (1971) 545–560.
- [16] R.G. Woods, A survey of absolutes of topological spaces, *Topological Structures* 2, *Math. Centre Tracts* 116 (Amsterdam, 1979) 323–362.