

## DESCRIPTIVE COMPLEXITY OF FUNCTION SPACES

BY

D. LUTZER<sup>1</sup>, J. VAN MILL AND R. POL<sup>2</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we show that  $C_\pi(X)$ , the set of continuous, real-valued functions on  $X$  topologized by the pointwise convergence topology, can have arbitrarily high Borel or projective complexity in  $\mathbf{R}^X$  even when  $X$  is a countable regular space with a unique limit point. In addition we show how to construct countable regular spaces  $X$  for which  $C_\pi(X)$  lies nowhere in the projective hierarchy of the complete separable metric space  $\mathbf{R}^X$ .

**1. Introduction.** Let  $C_\pi(X)$  be the set of continuous, real-valued functions on a space  $X$  and topologize  $C_\pi(X)$  as a subspace of the full product  $\mathbf{R}^X$ . In [DGLvM] it is shown that if  $X$  is completely regular, then  $C_\pi(X)$  cannot be a  $G_\delta$ -,  $F_\sigma$ - or  $G_{\delta\sigma}$ -subset of  $\mathbf{R}^X$  unless  $X$  is discrete and that for any countable metrizable space  $X$ ,  $C_\pi(X)$  will be an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -subset of  $\mathbf{R}^X$ . In the terminology of [KM and K],  $C_\pi(X)$  cannot have multiplicative class 1 and cannot have additive class 1 or 2, but may have multiplicative class 2.

In this paper we study the descriptive complexity of  $C_\pi(X)$  in  $\mathbf{R}^X$  when  $X$  is countable (so that  $\mathbf{R}^X$  is a complete separable metric space). Our main results can be summarized as follows.

**THEOREM.** (a) *Given any  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , there is a countable regular space  $X$  such that  $C_\pi(X)$  is a Borel subset of  $\mathbf{R}^X$  having additive class  $\beta$ , where  $\alpha \leq \beta \leq 3 + \alpha + 2$  (§§2 and 3).*

(b) *Given any  $n \geq 1$  there is a countable regular space  $Y$  such that  $C_\pi(Y) \in \mathcal{L}_n(\mathbf{R}^Y) - \mathcal{L}_{n-1}(\mathbf{R}^Y)$ , where  $\mathcal{L}_n(\mathbf{R}^Y)$  is the family of projective sets of class  $n$  in the complete separable metric space  $\mathbf{R}^Y$  (§4).*

(c) *There is a countable regular space  $Z$  such that  $C_\pi(Z) \notin \bigcup \{ \mathcal{L}_n(\mathbf{R}^Z) : 0 \leq n < \omega \}$  (§§4 and 5).*

The spaces  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  in the above Theorem can be obtained from a single general construction which associates with each subset  $S \subset 2^\omega$  a certain countable regular space  $\Sigma_S$  having a unique nonisolated point. The descriptive complexity of  $S$  in  $2^\omega$  determines the complexity of  $C_\pi(\Sigma_S)$  in  $\mathbf{R}^{\Sigma_S}$ . To describe  $\Sigma_S$  precisely, we begin by letting  $T_n = 2^n$  be the set of functions from  $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$  into  $\{0, 1\}$ , i.e., the set of ordered  $n$ -tuples of 0's and 1's. Let  $T = \bigcup \{T_n | n \geq 1\}$  and partially order  $T$  by function extension. A *branch* of  $T$  is a maximal linearly ordered subset of  $T$ , i.e., a linearly ordered subset  $B \subset T$  having  $\text{card}(B \cap T_n) = 1$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . Observe that if  $B$  and  $\hat{B}$  are distinct branches of  $T$ , then  $B \cap \hat{B}$  must be a finite set.

---

Received by the editors August 3, 1984.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 03E15, 04A15, 54H05; Secondary 54C35.

<sup>1</sup>Partially supported by NATO grant 1927, NSF grant MCS80-016617 and by Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam.

<sup>2</sup>Partially supported by Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam.

©1985 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9947/85 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Given  $x \in 2^\omega$ , the set  $B_x = \{\langle x(0) \rangle, \langle x(0), x(1) \rangle, \langle x(0), x(1), x(2) \rangle, \dots\}$  is a branch of  $T$ . Conversely, each branch  $B$  of  $T$  has the form  $B = B_x$  for a unique  $x \in 2^\omega$ . Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{B \mid B \text{ is a branch of } T\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}(T) = \{A \mid A \subset T\}$  and topologize  $\mathcal{P}(T)$  using open sets of the form  $[Y, N] = \{A \in \mathcal{P}(T) \mid Y \subset A \subset T - N\}$ , where  $Y$  and  $N$  are arbitrary finite subsets of  $T$ . The resulting space is compact and metrizable, and is homeomorphic to the product space  $2^T$  under the mapping which identifies each subset  $A \in \mathcal{P}(T)$  with its characteristic function  $\chi_A$ . The mapping  $x \rightarrow B_x$  is easily seen to be a homeomorphism of  $2^\omega$  into  $\mathcal{P}(T)$  whose image is exactly the set  $\mathcal{B}$  defined above.

For each subset  $S \subset 2^\omega$ , the collection  $\{T - (B_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup B_{x_n} \cup F) \mid n \geq 1, x_i \in S \text{ and } F \subset T \text{ is a finite set}\}$  is a filter base. Let  $p_S$  be the filter generated by that filter base. Let  $\infty$  be any point not in  $T \cup 2^\omega$  and let  $\Sigma_S = T \cup \{\infty\}$ . Topologize  $\Sigma_S$  by isolating each point of  $T$  and by using the family  $\{P \cup \{\infty\} \mid P \in p_S\}$  as a neighborhood base at  $\infty$ . The space  $\Sigma_S$  is countable, regular and (since  $p_S$  is a free filter) is  $T_1$ . The spaces mentioned in the above Theorem are all of the form  $\Sigma_S$  for various subsets  $S$  of  $2^\omega$ .

However, even though the function spaces  $C_\pi(\Sigma_S)$  for  $S \subset 2^\omega$  provide enough pathology to prove our Theorem, they are all well behaved in some senses. In §5 we prove that each  $C_\pi(\Sigma_S)$  is a Baire Property subset of  $\mathbf{R}^{\Sigma_S}$  and is meagre in  $\mathbf{R}^{\Sigma_S}$  (equivalently,  $C_\pi(\Sigma_S)$  is not a Baire space) and we exhibit a countable regular space  $X$  with a unique nonisolated point such that  $C_\pi(X)$  is a second category subset of  $\mathbf{R}^X$  (equivalently,  $C_\pi(X)$  is a Baire space), is not a Baire Property subset of  $\mathbf{R}^X$ , and is not a Borel, analytic or co-analytic subset of  $\mathbf{R}^X$  (see Example 5.5).

The standard references for descriptive theory in complete separable metric spaces are [K and KM]. Our topological terminology is consistent with [E] and [Ox<sub>2</sub>] is a good source for properties of Baire spaces. The authors wish to thank Jean Calbrix and Fons van Engelen for their comments on an earlier version of this paper.

**2. A lower bound for the complexity of  $C_\pi(\Sigma_S)$ .**

**2.1 THEOREM.** *Let  $S \subset 2^\omega$  and let  $\Sigma = \Sigma_S$ . Then  $C_\pi(\Sigma)$  contains a relatively closed subset which is homeomorphic to  $S$ .*

**PROOF.** Recall that in  $\Sigma_S$ , the point  $\infty$  has a neighborhood base consisting of all sets of the form  $\{\infty\} \cup (T - (B_{x_1} \cup B_{x_2} \cup \dots \cup B_{x_n} \cup F))$ , where  $x_i \in S$  and  $F \subset T$  is finite. For each  $x \in 2^\omega$  define a function  $f_x: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by  $f_x(\infty) = 0$ ,  $f_x(t) = 0$  if  $t \in T - B_x$  and  $f_x(t) = 1$  if  $t \in B_x$ . Define  $\lambda: 2^\omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^\Sigma$  by  $\lambda(x) = f_x$ . Clearly  $\lambda$  is 1-1 and continuous, so that  $\lambda$  embeds  $2^\omega$  as a closed subspace of  $\mathbf{R}^\Sigma$ . Furthermore  $\lambda(x) \in C_\pi(\Sigma)$  whenever  $x \in S$  because for such an  $x$ , the function  $f_x$  is constant on the neighborhood  $\{\infty\} \cup (T - B_x)$  of  $\infty$ . Conversely, if  $f_x \in C_\pi(\Sigma)$  for some  $x \in 2^\omega$ , then  $f_x^{-1}[(\frac{1}{2}, 1])$  must be a neighborhood of  $\infty$  so that for some  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in S$  and some finite  $F$ , the set  $f_x^{-1}[(\frac{1}{2}, 1]) = \{\infty\} \cup (T - B_x)$  must contain the basic neighborhood  $\{\infty\} \cup (T - (B_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup B_{x_n} \cup F))$ . But then  $B_x \subset B_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup B_{x_n} \cup F$  so that  $B_{x_i} \cap B_x$  is infinite for some  $i$  and hence  $B_x = B_{x_i}$ , i.e.,  $x = x_i \in S$ . Therefore  $\lambda[S] = C_\pi(\Sigma) \cap \lambda[2^\omega]$  showing that  $\lambda[S]$  is a relatively closed subset of  $C_\pi(\Sigma)$ .  $\square$

**2.2 COROLLARY.** *If  $S$  is not a Borel subset of  $2^\omega$  (resp., if  $S$  is not a projective subset of  $2^\omega$ ), then  $C_\pi(\Sigma_S)$  is not a Borel subset (resp. a projective subset) of  $\mathbf{R}^{\Sigma_S}$ .*

PROOF. Write  $\Sigma = \Sigma_S$ . In the complete separable metric space  $\mathbf{R}^\Sigma$ , a relatively closed subset of a Borel (resp., projective) set is again a Borel (resp., projective) set in  $\mathbf{R}^\Sigma$  and it is known that homeomorphisms preserve Borel (resp., projective) sets [K, Chapter 3, §35, IV, Corollary 1 and Chapter 3, §38, VII, Theorem 1] contrary to our assumption that  $S$  is not Borel (resp., projective) in  $2^\omega$ .  $\square$

2.3 COROLLARY. *There is a countable regular space  $X$  such that  $C_\pi(X)$  is not a Borel subset of  $\mathbf{R}^X$ .*

PROOF. Let  $S$  be a non-Borel subset of  $2^\omega$  and let  $X = \Sigma_S$ . Now apply 2.2.  $\square$

**3. An upper bound for the Borel complexity of  $C_\pi(\Sigma_S)$ .** In §2 we proved that  $C_\pi(\Sigma_S)$  always contains a closed subspace homeomorphic to  $S$  so that if  $S$  is not a Borel set, then neither is  $C_\pi(\Sigma_S)$ . In this section we study the situation where  $S$  is a Borel subset of  $2^\omega$  and we prove

3.1 THEOREM. *Let  $S$  be a Borel subset of  $2^\omega$  having additive class  $\alpha \geq 1$  and let  $\Sigma = \Sigma_S$ . Then  $C_\pi(\Sigma)$  is a Borel subset of  $\mathbf{R}^\Sigma$  of class  $\beta$ , where  $\alpha \leq \beta \leq 3 + \alpha + 2$ .*

PROOF. Following the notation of §1, we let  $p = p_S$  be the filter on  $T$  generated by all sets of the form  $T - (B_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup B_{x_n} \cup F)$ , where  $x_j \in S$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $F$  is any finite subset of  $T$ .

For each  $m \geq 1$ , define  $\psi_m: \mathbf{R}^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(T)$  by  $\psi_m(f) = \{t \in T \mid |f(\infty) - f(t)| \geq 1/m\}$ . In Lemma 3.2 we show that  $\psi_m$  is a Borel mapping of class 1. Next, define a set  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{P}(T)$  by  $\mathcal{D} = \{A \in \mathcal{P}(T) \mid A \cap P = \emptyset \text{ for some } P \in p\}$ . In Lemma 3.6 we prove that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a Borel subset of  $\mathcal{P}(T)$  of additive class  $\leq 2 + \alpha$  so that  $\psi_m^{-1}[\mathcal{D}]$  is a Borel set of additive class  $\leq 3 + \alpha$ . Because a function  $f \in \mathbf{R}^\Sigma$  is continuous if and only if  $\{t \in T \mid |f(\infty) - f(t)| < 1/m\}$  belongs to  $p$  for each  $m$ , we have  $C_\pi(\Sigma) = \bigcap \{\psi_m^{-1}[\mathcal{D}] \mid m \geq 1\}$  showing that  $C_\pi(\Sigma)$  is a Borel set of additive class  $\beta \leq (3 + \alpha + 2)$ .

From §2, a closed subspace of  $C_\pi(\Sigma)$  is homeomorphic to  $S$ , so the additive class of  $C_\pi(\Sigma)$  cannot be smaller than the additive class of  $S$  and we obtain  $\alpha \leq \beta$ .  $\square$   
All that remains is to prove some lemmas.

3.2 LEMMA. *Each  $\psi_m$  is a Borel map of class 1.*

PROOF. It is enough to show that  $\psi_m^{-1}[[Y, N]]$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -subset of  $\mathbf{R}^\Sigma$  for each basic open set  $[Y, N]$  in  $\mathcal{P}(T)$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_m^{-1}[[Y, N]] &= \{f \in \mathbf{R}^\Sigma \mid Y \subset \{t \in T \mid |f(t) - f(\infty)| \geq 1/m\}\} \\ &\quad \cap \{f \in \mathbf{R}^\Sigma \mid \{t \in T \mid |f(t) - f(\infty)| \geq 1/m\} \subset T - N\}. \end{aligned}$$

The first of those two sets is closed and, since  $N$  is finite, the second is open. Hence their intersection is an  $F_\sigma$ -set, as claimed.  $\square$

3.3 LEMMA. *The set  $\mathcal{A} = \{A \in \mathcal{P}(T) \mid \text{for some } B_1, \dots, B_n \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and some finite } F \subset T, A \subset B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_n \cup F\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -compact subset of  $\mathcal{P}(T)$ .*

PROOF. For a fixed finite  $F \subset T$  and a fixed  $n$ , let  $\mathcal{A}(F, n) = \{(A, B_1, \dots, B_n) \mid B_i \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and } A \subset B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_n \cup F\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}(F, n)$  is a closed subset of the compact space  $\mathcal{P}(T) \times \mathcal{B}^n$ . Let  $\pi_n: \mathcal{P}(T) \times \mathcal{B}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(T)$  denote first coordinate projection. Then  $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup \{\pi_n[\mathcal{A}(F, n)] : n \geq 1 \text{ and } F \subset T \text{ is finite}\}$  so that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -compact subspace of  $\mathcal{P}(T)$  as claimed.  $\square$

**3.4 NOTATION.** Recall that  $\mathcal{B}$  is the set of all branches of  $T$ , topologized as a subspace of the compact metric space  $\mathcal{P}(T)$ . Being the continuous image of  $2^\omega$  under the map  $\mu(x) = B_x$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  is compact. For  $n \geq 1$ , let  $\Phi_n = \{K \in \mathcal{B} \mid \text{card}(K) = n\}$  and let  $\Phi = \bigcup \{\Phi_n \mid n \geq 0\}$ . Topologize  $\Phi$  with the *Vietoris topology*, i.e., by using all subsets of  $\Phi$  of the forms  $\{K \in \Phi \mid K \subset \mathcal{U}\}$  and  $\{K \in \Phi \mid K \cap \mathcal{V} \neq \emptyset\}$  as a subbase where  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  are arbitrary open subsets of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\Phi$  is a  $\sigma$ -compact metrizable space [KM, p. 392]. Recall that each branch of  $T$  is of the form  $B_x$  for some  $x \in 2^\omega$  and let  $\Phi_S = \{K \in \Phi \mid K \subset \{B_x \mid x \in S\}\} = \{K \mid K \text{ is a finite subset of } \{B_x \mid x \in S\}\}$ .

**3.5 LEMMA.** *With  $\mathcal{A}$  as in 3.3, for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  let  $i(A) = \{B \in \mathcal{B} \mid B \cap A \text{ is infinite}\}$ . Then  $i: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Phi$  is a Borel mapping of class 2.*

**PROOF.** Fix  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and choose branches  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  and a finite set  $F$  with  $A \subset B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_n \cup F$ . If  $B$  is any branch of  $T$  such that  $A \cap B$  is infinite, then  $B \cap B_k$  is infinite for some  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  so that  $B$  is one of the branches  $B_1, \dots, B_n$ . Hence  $i(A)$  is finite so  $i(A) \in \Phi$ . (If  $A$  is finite, then  $i(A) = \emptyset \in \Phi$ .)

(a) Fix an open subset  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  and consider  $i^{-1}[\{K \in \Phi \mid K \subset \mathcal{U}\}] = \{A \in \mathcal{A} \mid i(A) \subset \mathcal{U}\}$ . Because  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open subset of the compact metric space  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $\sigma$ -compact. According to 3.3, so is  $\mathcal{A}$ , and we conclude that the product space  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{U}^n$  is  $\sigma$ -compact for each  $n \geq 1$ , where  $\mathcal{U}^n$  is the product of  $n$  copies of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Fix  $n \geq 1$  and fix a finite set  $F \subset T$ . Then the set  $\mathcal{C}(n, F) = \{(A, B_1, \dots, B_n) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{U}^n \mid A \subset B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_n \cup F\}$  is closed in  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{U}^n$ , so  $\mathcal{C}(n, F)$  is  $\sigma$ -compact. Let  $\pi_n: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{U}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be first coordinate projection. Then  $i^{-1}[\{K \in \Phi \mid K \subset \mathcal{U}\}] = \bigcup \{\pi_n[\mathcal{C}(n, F)] \mid n \geq 1 \text{ and } F \subset T \text{ is finite}\}$  so  $i^{-1}[\{K \in \Phi \mid K \subset \mathcal{U}\}]$  is a  $\sigma$ -compact subset of  $\mathcal{A}$  (and therefore a  $G_{\delta\sigma}$ -subset of  $\mathcal{A}$ ).

(b) Next consider  $i^{-1}[\{K \in \Phi \mid K \cap \mathcal{V} \neq \emptyset\}]$ , where  $\mathcal{V}$  is a compact, open subset of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V}$  is open and  $\{K \in \Phi \mid K \cap \mathcal{V} \neq \emptyset\} = \Phi - \{K \in \Phi \mid K \subset \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V}\}$ . Hence  $i^{-1}[\{K \in \Phi \mid K \cap \mathcal{V} \neq \emptyset\}] = \mathcal{A} - i^{-1}[\{K \in \Phi \mid K \subset \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{V}\}]$  which is a  $G_\delta$ -subset in light of (a).

(c) Finally, consider  $i^{-1}[\{K \in \Phi \mid K \cap \mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset\}]$ , where  $\mathcal{U}$  is an arbitrary open subset of  $\mathcal{B}$ . There is a sequence  $\langle \mathcal{V}_n \rangle$  of compact, open subsets of  $\mathcal{B}$  having  $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{V}_n \mid n \geq 1\}$  so that  $i^{-1}[\{K \in \Phi \mid K \cap \mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset\}] = \bigcup \{i^{-1}[\{K \in \Phi \mid K \cap \mathcal{V}_n \neq \emptyset\}] \mid n \geq 1\}$  which is a  $G_{\delta\sigma}$ -set in  $\mathcal{A}$  because of (b).

(d) Since sets of the form  $\{K \in \Phi \mid K \subset \mathcal{U}\}$  and  $\{K \in \Phi \mid K \cap \mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset\}$  form a subbase for the separable metric space  $\Phi$ , it follows that  $i$  is a Borel mapping of class 2.  $\square$

**3.6 LEMMA.** *With  $\Phi_S$  as defined in 3.4,  $\Phi_S$  is a Borel subset of  $\Phi$  whose additive class is  $\alpha$  (= the additive class of  $S$ ).*

**PROOF.** For  $n \geq 1$ , define  $\theta_n: (2^\omega)^n \rightarrow \Phi$  by  $\theta_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \{B_{x_1}, B_{x_2}, \dots, B_{x_n}\}$ . Then  $\theta_n$  is continuous. Let  $G_n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (2^\omega)^n \mid x_j \neq x_k \text{ whenever } 1 \leq j < k \leq n\}$ . Then  $G_n$  is open in  $(2^\omega)^n$  and given  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in G_n$  there is an open neighborhood  $N$  of  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  in  $G_n$  and an open neighborhood  $\Phi'$  of  $\theta_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  in  $\Phi$  such that  $\theta_n$  maps  $N$  homeomorphically onto  $\Phi' \cap \Phi_n$ . (We say that  $\theta_n$  is a local homeomorphism from  $G_n$  onto  $\Phi_n$ .)

Now consider the subspace  $S$  of  $2^\omega$ . Clearly  $\theta_n[G_n \cap S^n] = \Phi_n \cap \Phi_S$  so  $\theta_n$  is a local homeomorphism from  $G_n \cap S^n$  onto  $\Phi_n \cap \Phi_S$ . Because  $S$  is of additive class  $\alpha$ , so is  $S^n$  [K, p. 346]. Hence so is  $G_n \cap S^n$  as is each relatively open subset of  $G_n \cap S^n$ .

(Recall that since  $\alpha \geq 1$ , each open subset of  $G_n$  is of additive class  $\alpha$ .) Therefore, the metric space  $\Phi_S \cap \Phi_n$  admits an open cover by sets of additive class  $\alpha$  so that  $\Phi_S \cap \Phi_n$  has additive class  $\alpha$  [K, p. 358]. Because  $\Phi_S = \{\emptyset\} \cup (\bigcup\{\Phi_S \cap \Phi_n | n \geq 1\})$ ,  $\Phi_S$  also has additive class  $\alpha$ , as claimed.  $\square$

**3.7 LEMMA.** *Let  $\mathcal{D} = \{A \in \mathcal{P}(T) | \text{some } P \in p \text{ has } P \cap A = \emptyset\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}$  is of additive class  $2 + \alpha$ .*

**PROOF.** With  $i$  as in 3.5, we claim that  $\mathcal{D} = i^{-1}[\Phi_S]$ . For let  $A \in \mathcal{D}$ . Choose  $P \in p$  with  $P \cap A = \emptyset$ . Then  $P$  contains some set  $T - (B_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup B_{x_n} \cup F)$ , where  $x_j \in S$ , so  $A \subset B_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup B_{x_n} \cup F$ . Hence  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  so that  $i(A)$  is defined. As noted in the proof of 3.5, since  $A \subset B_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup B_{x_n} \cup F$ ,  $i(A) \subset \{B_{x_1}, \dots, B_{x_n}\}$  showing that  $i(A) \in \Phi_S$ . Conversely, suppose  $A \in i^{-1}[\Phi_S]$ . Then either there are points  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in S$  with  $i(A) = \{B_{x_1}, \dots, B_{x_n}\}$  or else  $i(A) = \emptyset$  in which case  $A$  is finite. Consider the first possibility. If the set  $A - (B_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup B_{x_n})$  were infinite, some other branch of  $T$  would have an infinite intersection with  $A$  which is impossible, so the set  $F = A - (B_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup B_{x_n})$  is finite and we have  $A \subset B_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup B_{x_n} \cup F$ , so that  $A$  is disjoint from  $T - (B_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup B_{x_n} \cup F)$  which belongs to the filter  $p$  so that  $A \in \mathcal{D}$ . The case where  $A$  is finite is easy because then the set  $P_0 = T - A$  belongs to  $p$  so that  $A \in \mathcal{D}$ .

Because  $i$  is a Borel map of class 2 and because by 3.6 the set  $\Phi_S$  has additive class  $\alpha$  (where  $\alpha$  is the additive class of  $S$ ),  $i^{-1}[\Phi_S]$  has additive class  $2 + \alpha$ , as claimed.  $\square$

**4. The projective hierarchy.** Recall the definition of the projective classes in a complete separable metric space  $Z$  [K, Chapter 3, §38]:

$$\mathcal{L}_0(Z) = \{A | A \text{ is a Borel subset of } Z\},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{n+1}(Z) = \begin{cases} \{f[A] | A \in \mathcal{L}_n(Z) \text{ and } f: A \rightarrow Z \text{ is continuous}\} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \{Z - A | A \in \mathcal{L}_n(Z)\} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{L}_1(Z)$  is the family of analytic sets in  $Z$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_2(Z)$  is the family of co-analytic sets in  $Z$ , etc. The techniques of §§2 and 3 can be used to prove an analogue of 3.1 for projective sets. In our proof we will invoke theorems which are ordinarily stated for mappings into complete metric spaces [K, §38, III, Propositions 2 and 5, and VII, Theorem 1], applying those results to mappings into the  $\sigma$ -compact metric space  $\Phi$  defined in 3.4. Extending the proofs given in [K] to cover this situation is easily done.

**4.1 THEOREM.** *Suppose  $S \in \mathcal{L}_r(2^\omega)$  for some  $r \geq 1$ . Let  $\Sigma = \Sigma_S$ . Then  $C_\pi(\Sigma) \in \mathcal{L}_r(\mathbf{R}^\Sigma)$ . Furthermore, if  $S \notin \mathcal{L}_{r-1}(2^\omega)$ , then  $C_\pi(\Sigma) \notin \mathcal{L}_{r-1}(\mathbf{R}^\Sigma)$ .*

**PROOF.** Define  $\psi_m: \mathbf{R}^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(T)$  and  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{P}(T)$  as in 3.1. Suppose we know that  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{L}_r(\mathcal{P}(T))$ . Then by [K, §38, III, Proposition 5],  $\psi_m^{-1}[\mathcal{D}] \in \mathcal{L}_r(\mathbf{R}^\Sigma)$  for each  $m$  so that by [K, §38, III, Proposition 3] we would have  $C_\pi(\Sigma) = \bigcap_{m=1}^\infty \psi_m^{-1}[\mathcal{D}] \in \mathcal{L}_r(\mathbf{R}^\Sigma)$  as claimed. Thus it will be enough to show that  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{L}_r(\mathcal{P}(T))$ .

To prove that  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{L}_r(\mathcal{P}(T))$ , we define the  $\sigma$ -compact set  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(T)$  as in 3.3, the  $\sigma$ -compact metric space  $\Phi$  as in 3.4, the Borel measurable mapping  $i: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Phi$  as in (3.5), and the set  $\Phi_S$  as in 3.4. As in the proof of 3.7,  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{A} \cap i^{-1}[\Phi_S]$ . If we knew that  $\Phi_S \in \mathcal{L}_r(\Phi)$ , it would follow from [K, §38, III, Proposition 5] that  $i^{-1}[\Phi_S] \in \mathcal{L}_r(\mathcal{A})$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\sigma$ -compact and hence in  $\mathcal{L}_r(\mathcal{P}(T))$ , it would follow

that  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{L}_r(\mathcal{P}(T))$  [**K**, §38, III, Proposition 2]. Therefore it will be enough to show that  $\Phi_S \in \mathcal{L}_r(\Phi)$ . Define function  $\theta_n: (2^\omega)^n \rightarrow \Phi$  as in 3.6. According to [**K**, §38, III, Proposition 1],  $S^n \in \mathcal{L}_r((2^\omega)^n)$ . Because each open subset  $H$  of  $(2^\omega)^n$  also belongs to  $\mathcal{L}_r((2^\omega)^n)$  we see that  $H \cap G_n \cap S^n \in \mathcal{L}_r((2^\omega)^n)$  whenever  $H$  is open in  $(2^\omega)^n$ . But  $\theta_n$  is known to be a local homeomorphism of  $G_n \cap S^n$  onto the separable metric space  $\Phi_S \cap \Phi_n$  so there is a sequence  $H_1, H_2, \dots$  of subsets of  $G_n$  such that for each  $k$ ,  $\theta_n$  maps  $H_k \cap G_n \cap S^n$  homeomorphically onto a relatively open subset of  $\Phi_n \cap \Phi_S$  and such that  $\Phi_n \cap \Phi_S = \bigcup\{\theta_n[H_k \cap G_n \cap S^n] \mid k \geq 1\}$ . Because  $H_k \cap G_n \cap S^n \in \mathcal{L}_r((2^\omega)^n)$  for each  $k$ , it follows from [**K**, §38, VII, Theorem 1] that  $\theta_n[H_k \cap G_n \cap S^n] \in \mathcal{L}_r(\Phi)$ . But then  $\Phi_n \cap \Phi_S$ , being a countable union of members of  $\mathcal{L}_r(\Phi)$ , also belongs to  $\mathcal{L}_r(\Phi)$ . For the same reason, the set  $\Phi_S = \bigcup\{\Phi_S \cap \Phi_n \mid n \geq 1\}$  also belongs to  $\mathcal{L}_r(\Phi)$  as claimed.

Finally suppose  $S \notin \mathcal{L}_{r-1}(2^\omega)$ . According to 2.1, there is a (relatively) closed subspace  $S^*$  of  $C_\pi(\Sigma)$  which is homeomorphic to  $S$ . Then  $S^* = C_\pi(\Sigma) \cap D$ , where  $D$  is some closed subset in  $\mathbf{R}^\Sigma$ . If  $C_\pi(\Sigma) \in \mathcal{L}_{r-1}(\mathbf{R}^\Sigma)$ , then  $S^* = C_\pi(\Sigma) \cap D$  would also belong to  $\mathcal{L}_{r-1}(\mathbf{R}^\Sigma)$ . According to [**K**, §38, VII, Theorem 1], we would then have  $S \in \mathcal{L}_{r-1}(2^\omega)$  because  $S$  is homeomorphic to  $S^*$ , which is impossible.  $\square$

**4.2 COROLLARY.** *For each  $n \geq 1$  there is a countable regular space  $X_n$  such that  $C_\pi(X_n) \in \mathcal{L}_n(\mathbf{R}^{X_n}) - \mathcal{L}_{n-1}(\mathbf{R}^{X_n})$  and there is a countable regular space  $Y$  such that  $C_\pi(Y) \notin \bigcup\{\mathcal{L}_n(\mathbf{R}^Y) \mid n \geq 1\}$ .*

**PROOF.** Fix  $n$ . By [**K**, §38, VI, Theorem 1] there is a set  $S_n \subset 2^\omega$  having  $S_n \in \mathcal{L}_n(2^\omega) - \mathcal{L}_{n-1}(2^\omega)$ . Let  $X_n = \Sigma_{S_n}$ . To obtain the space  $Y$ , choose any  $S \subset 2^\omega$  with  $S \notin \bigcup\{\mathcal{L}_n(2^\omega) \mid n \geq 1\}$  [**K**, §38, VI, Remark 1] and let  $Y = \Sigma_S$ . Because  $C_\pi(Y)$  contains a closed subset homeomorphic to  $S$ ,  $C_\pi(Y) \notin \bigcup\{\mathcal{L}_n(\mathbf{R}^Y) \mid n \geq 1\}$ .  $\square$

**5. Baire category and Baire Property subsets of  $\mathbf{R}^X$ .** For any space  $Z$ ,  $\mathcal{BP}(Z)$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the open sets and the first category subsets of  $Z$ . Members of  $\mathcal{BP}(Z)$  are called Baire Property subsets of  $Z$  [**Ox2**, p. 19]. For a space  $X$  with a unique limit point (such as the spaces  $\Sigma_S$  for  $S \subset 2^\omega$  constructed in §1) it is easy to characterize which function spaces  $C_\pi(X)$  belong to  $\mathcal{BP}(\mathbf{R}^X)$ .

**5.1 THEOREM.** *Suppose  $X$  is a countable space with a unique limit point  $\infty$  and let  $p$  be the trace on  $X - \{\infty\}$  of the neighborhood filter of  $\infty$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $C_\pi(X)$  is a first category subset of  $\mathbf{R}^X$ ;
- (b)  $C_\pi(X) \in \mathcal{BP}(\mathbf{R}^X)$ ;
- (c) there is an array

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
 A(1, 1) & A(1, 2) & A(1, 3) & \dots \\
 A(2, 1) & A(2, 2) & A(2, 3) & \dots \\
 A(3, 1) & A(3, 2) & A(3, 3) & \dots \\
 \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots
 \end{array}$$

satisfying

- (i) each  $A(m, n)$  is a finite subset of  $X - \{\infty\}$ ;
- (ii) each row  $A(m, 1), A(m, 2), A(m, 3), \dots$  is a pairwise disjoint sequence;
- (iii) for every sequence  $k(1), k(2), \dots$  and every  $U \in p$ ,  $U \cap (\bigcup\{A(m, k(m)) \mid m \geq 1\}) \neq \emptyset$ .

PROOF. The equivalence of (a) and (c) follows from [LM, Theorems 6.3 and 5.1] and obviously (a) implies (b). We prove that (b) implies (a). Suppose  $C_\pi(X) \in \mathcal{BP}(\mathbf{R}^X)$ . To simplify notation, we will identify the countably many isolated points of  $X$  with elements of  $\omega$  and we will write  $X = \omega \cup \{\infty\}$ . Define a function  $\nu: \mathbf{R}^X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^\omega \times \mathbf{R}$  by the rule that  $\nu(f) = (f^*, f(\infty))$ , where  $f^* \in \mathbf{R}^\omega$  is given by  $f^*(n) = f(n) - f(\infty)$ . Then  $\nu$  is a homeomorphism of  $\mathbf{R}^X$  onto  $\mathbf{R}^\omega \times \mathbf{R}$  and  $\nu[C_\pi(X)] = C_0 \times \mathbf{R}$ , where  $C_0 = \{g \in \mathbf{R}^\omega \mid \text{for each } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ there is a neighborhood } U \text{ of } \infty \text{ having } g[U \cap \omega] \subset ]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[ \}$ . Since  $C_\pi(X) \in \mathcal{BP}(\mathbf{R}^X)$ ,  $C_0 \times \mathbf{R} \in \mathcal{BP}(\mathbf{R}^\omega \times \mathbf{R})$ .

It is easily seen that  $C_0$  is a *tailset in  $\mathbf{R}^\omega$* , i.e. that if  $g \in C_0$  and if the equality  $h(n) = g(n)$  holds except for finitely many values of  $n$ , then  $h \in C_0$ . We now need a slight variation of a result due to Oxtoby [Ox<sub>1</sub>]; the proof is only trivially different from Oxtoby's argument.

5.2 LEMMA. *Let  $C$  be a tailset in  $\mathbf{R}^\omega$  and suppose that  $C \times \mathbf{R} \in \mathcal{BP}(\mathbf{R}^\omega \times \mathbf{R})$ . Then either  $C \times \mathbf{R}$  is a first category subset of  $\mathbf{R}^\omega \times \mathbf{R}$  or else  $C \times \mathbf{R}$  contains a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $\mathbf{R}^\omega \times \mathbf{R}$ .*

Given 5.2, either  $C_0 \times \mathbf{R}$  is a first category subset of  $\mathbf{R}^\omega \times \mathbf{R}$ , in which case  $C_\pi[X]$  is also a first category subset of  $\mathbf{R}^X$ , or else  $C_0 \times \mathbf{R}$  contains a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $\mathbf{R}^\omega \times \mathbf{R}$ , in which case  $C_\pi(X)$  contains a dense  $G_\delta$  in  $\mathbf{R}^X$ . But the latter situation occurs if and only if  $X$  is a discrete space [DGLvM, Theorem 1] so that  $C_\pi(X)$  must be a first category subset of  $\mathbf{R}^X$ , as claimed.  $\square$

5.3 REMARK. The reason for creating a variant of Oxtoby's theorem as in 5.2 is that one cannot deduce  $C_0 \in \mathcal{BP}(\mathbf{R}^\omega)$  from  $C_0 \times \mathbf{R} \in \mathcal{BP}(\mathbf{R}^\omega \times \mathbf{R})$ .

5.4 COROLLARY. *For each  $S \subset 2^\omega$ , the function space  $C_\pi(\Sigma_S)$  is a first category subset of  $\mathbf{R}^{\Sigma_S}$ .*

PROOF. We define an array  $A(m, n)$  as follows using the tree  $T = \bigcup_1^\infty T_n$ ;

- (i)  $A(1, n) = T_n$  for  $n \geq 1$ ;
- (ii)  $A(2, 1) = T_1 \cup T_2$ ,  $A(2, 2) = T_3 \cup T_4$ ,  $A(2, 3) = T_5 \cup T_6, \dots$ ;
- (iii) in general,  $A(m, n) = T_{(n-1)m+1} \cup \dots \cup T_{nm}$ .

Obviously each  $A(m, n)$  is finite and because the sets  $T_1, T_2, \dots$  are pairwise disjoint, each row  $A(m, 1), A(m, 2), \dots$  of the array is pairwise disjoint. Suppose  $k(1), k(2), \dots$  is a sequence of positive integers and suppose  $U = T - (B_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup B_{x_n} \cup F)$ , where  $x_i \in S$  and  $F$  is a finite subset of  $T$ . If  $\emptyset = U \cap (\bigcup\{A(m, k(m)) \mid m \geq 1\})$ , then  $\bigcup\{A(m, k(m)) \mid m \geq 1\} \subset B_{x_1} \cup B_{x_2} \cup \dots \cup B_{x_n} \cup F$ . Observe that for a fixed level  $T_j$  of the tree  $T$ ,  $\text{card}(B_{x_i} \cap T_n) = 1$  so that  $\text{card}(T_j \cap (B_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup B_{x_n} \cup F)) \leq n + \text{card}(F)$ . Choose  $m > n + \text{card}(F)$ . Then the set  $A(m, k(m))$  contains a level  $T_j$  of  $T$  where  $\text{card}(T_j) \geq 2^m$  so that  $T_j \cap (B_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup B_{x_n} \cup F)$  must have cardinality greater than  $n + \text{card}(F)$ , contrary to our observation above.  $\square$

In closing let us give one more example of a countable regular space  $X$  with a unique isolated point  $\infty$  which has a "bad" function space. Unlike the examples so far,  $C_\pi(X)$  is a second category subset of  $\mathbf{R}^X$ .

5.5 EXAMPLE. Let  $p$  be a free ultrafilter on  $\omega$  and topologize the set  $X = \omega \cup \{\infty\}$  by isolating all points of  $\omega$  and by using all sets of the form  $\{\infty\} \cup U$ , where  $U \in p$ , as neighborhoods of  $\infty$ . Then  $C_\pi(X)$  is a second category subset of  $\mathbf{R}^X$  and  $C_\pi(X) \notin \mathcal{L}_1(\mathbf{R}^X) \cup \mathcal{L}_2(\mathbf{R}^X)$ .

PROOF. That  $C_\pi(X)$  is a second category subset of  $\mathbf{R}^X$  follows from the equivalence of (a) and (c) in 5.1 (cf. [LM, 5.1 and 6.3] for details). Suppose

$C_\pi(X) \in \mathcal{L}_n(\mathbf{R}^X)$ , where  $n \in \{1, 2\}$ . Define  $j: 2^\omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^X$  by the rule that if  $f \in 2^\omega$  then  $j(f) = \hat{f} \in \mathbf{R}^X$  where  $\hat{f}$  is given by

$$\hat{f}(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in \omega, \\ 1 & \text{if } x = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Then  $j$  is continuous so that by [K, §38, III, Proposition 2],  $j^{-1}[C_\pi(X)] \in \mathcal{L}_n(2^\omega)$ . Hence  $j^{-1}[C_\pi(X)]$  is a measurable subset of  $2^\omega$  (with respect to product measure  $\mu$ ) because all analytic and co-analytic subsets of  $2^\omega$  are measurable [L, p. 243, Proposition 3.24]. But  $j^{-1}[C_\pi(X)] = \{x \in 2^\omega \mid \text{for some } U \in p, x(n) = 1 \text{ for each } n \in U\}$  so that  $j^{-1}[C_\pi(X)]$  is seen to be a tailset in  $2^\omega$ . Hence Kolmogorov's "0-1 law" guarantees that  $\mu[j^{-1}[C_\pi(X)]] = 0$  or  $\mu[j^{-1}[C_\pi(X)]] = 1$  [Ox<sub>2</sub>, p. 84]. However, consider the function  $J: 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$  given by  $J(f) = f \oplus \bar{1}$ , where  $\bar{1} \in 2^\omega$  is constantly equal to 1 and  $\oplus$  denotes coordinatewise addition modulo 2, i.e., the usual group operation of  $2^\omega$ . Since  $\mu$  is translation invariant,  $J$  is a measure preserving transformation on  $2^\omega$ . Because  $p$  is an ultrafilter,  $J[j^{-1}[C_\pi(X)]] = 2^\omega - j^{-1}[C_\pi(X)]$  so that both  $\mu[j^{-1}[C_\pi(X)]] = 0$  and  $\mu[j^{-1}[C_\pi(X)]] = 1$  are impossible. Therefore  $C_\pi(X) \notin \mathcal{L}_0(\mathbf{R}^X) \cup \mathcal{L}_1(\mathbf{R}^X) \cup \mathcal{L}_2(\mathbf{R}^X)$ , as claimed.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [DGLvM] J. Dijkstra, T. Grilliot, D. Lutzer and J. van Mill, *Function spaces of low Borel complexity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
- [E] R. Engelking, *General topology*, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1977.
- [K] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, vol. 1, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [KM] K. Kuratowski and A. Mostowski, *Set theory with an introduction to descriptive theory*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1976.
- [L] A. Levi, *Basic set theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
- [LM] D. Lutzer and R. McCoy, *Category in function spaces*. I, Pacific J. Math. **90** (1980), 145–168.
- [Ox<sub>1</sub>] J. Oxtoby, *Cartesian products of Baire spaces*, Fund. Math. **49** (1961), 157–166.
- [Ox<sub>2</sub>] ———, *Measure and category*, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIAMI UNIVERSITY, OXFORD, OHIO 45056

VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT, AMSTERDAM, THE NETHERLANDS

MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW, WARSAW, POLAND