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A space is a Baire space if the intersection of countably many dense open sets is dense. We show that if X is a non-separable completely metrizable linear space (path-connected abelian topological group) then X contains two linear subspaces (subgroups) E and F such that both E and F are Baire but E × F is not. If X is a completely metrizable linear space of weight κ, then X is the direct sum E ⊕ F of two linear subspaces E and F such that both E and F are Baire but E × F is not.
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Introduction

All spaces under discussion are metrizable.

The fact that in complete metric spaces the Baire Category Theorem holds, i.e. that the intersection of countably many dense open sets is dense, is a well-known and important tool in functional analysis. A space for which the Baire Category Theorem holds will be called a Baire space from now on (this is standard terminology). The question whether the product of two Baire spaces is a Baire space was raised in [3, 10, 13]. Using forcing techniques, this question was answered in the negative by Cohen [4]. Earlier, it was known from the work by Oxtoby [10] and Krom [7] that the Continuum Hypothesis implies the existence of two Baire spaces X and Y whose product in not Baire. In Fleissner and Kunen [6] direct constructions of such spaces were obtained and in addition they constructed an example of a single Baire space X whose square is not Baire.

* This paper was written while the second author was visiting the Department of Mathematics & Computer Science of the Free University in Amsterdam.
It is natural to ask whether the product of two normed Baire spaces is Baire. Arias de Reyna [1] claims to have answered this question in the negative. However, it seems that there is a gap in his reasoning—we express our thanks to D.J. Lutzer and M. Valdivia for this information. The main result of this paper is that every non-separable topologically complete linear space (pathconnected abelian topological group) $L$ contains two linear subspaces (sub-groups) $A$ and $B$ such that both $A$ and $B$ are Baire but $A \times B$ is not. Independently, Valdivia [14] has also constructed two normed Baire spaces whose product is not Baire. We will also show that every topologically complete linear space $L$ of weight $\aleph_1$ is the direct sum $E \oplus F$ of two linear subspaces $E$ and $F$ such that both $E$ and $F$ are Baire but $E \times F$ is not.

The essence of our construction, as well as Valdivia's and Arias de Reyna's method, is the construction of Fleissner and Kunen [6]. However, instead of using their ideas directly, we apply rather a general variant of their construction described in [12], which allows us to get rid of 'coordinates' in the spaces and which simplifies the argumentation.

1. Preliminaries

As noted in the introduction, all spaces under discussion are metrizable. For every space $X$ we let $\rho$ denote a compatible metric on $X$. This is done for simplicity and will very likely cause no confusion. If $X$ is a space and $\varepsilon > 0$ then $B(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in X: \rho(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$.

If $X$ is a set and $\kappa$ is a cardinal then $[X]^{<\kappa} = \{A \subseteq X: |A| < \kappa\}$.

$\mathfrak{c}$ denotes $2^{\aleph_0}$.

As usual, $\omega_1$ denotes the first uncountable ordinal. A subset $C \subseteq \omega_1$ is called closed if for every strictly increasing sequence $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots$ of elements of $C$ we have $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_n \in C$. In addition, a subset $S \subseteq \omega_1$ is called stationary if $S \cap C \neq \emptyset$ for every closed unbounded subset $C \subseteq \omega_1$. A function $f: A \rightarrow \omega_1$, where $A$ is a subset of $\omega_1$, is called regressive if $f(\alpha) < \alpha$ for every $\alpha \in A$. If $S \subseteq \omega_1$ is stationary, and if $f: S \rightarrow \omega_1$ is regressive then $f^{-1}(\{\alpha\})$ is stationary for some $\alpha \in \omega_1$ (in particular, $f^{-1}(\{\alpha\})$ is uncountable). This is called the "Pressing Down Lemma" (abbreviated PDL). In addition, if $S \subseteq \omega_1$ is stationary then $S$ can be split into $\omega_1$ disjoint stationary sets. For proofs of these facts see Fleissner [5].

2. Independent Cantor sets

Our basic tool is that in topologically complete linear spaces, or topologically complete topological groups, very special 'independent' Cantor sets exist. In this section we will construct these Cantor sets. Our results were inspired by the work of Mycielski [9] and also by Mauldin [8].
We deal with the linear case first. Let $L$ be a linear space. If $A \subseteq L$ then $\text{lin}(A)$ denotes the linear hull of $A$. If $E \subseteq L$ is a linear subspace then $A \subseteq L$ is said to be linearly independent over $E$ provided that for every $x \in A$ we have $x \notin \text{lin}(A \setminus \{x\}) + E$. If $A \subseteq L$ is not linearly independent over $E$ then we say that $A$ is linearly dependent over $E$.

2.1. Proposition. Let $Z$ be a topologically complete linear space and let $Z_1 \subseteq Z_2 \subseteq \cdots$ be a sequence of closed linear subspaces of $Z$ each of infinite codimension in $Z$. If $G$ is a dense $G_\delta$ in $Z$ then there exists a Cantor set $C \subseteq G$ such that $C$ is linearly independent over $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty Z_i$.

Proof. For every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ define $R_{nm} = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in Z^n : \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \text{ is linearly dependent over } Z_m\}$. Since $Z_m$ is closed it follows that $R_{nm}$ is closed. We claim that $R_{nm}$ is nowhere dense in $Z^n$, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We prove this by induction on $n$.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and a point $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in Z^n$, use the induction hypothesis to find a point $(y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in Z^n \setminus R_{nm}$ such that $\rho(x_i, y_i) < \varepsilon$ for every $i \leq n$. Then choose $y_{n+1} \in B(x_{n+1}, \varepsilon) \setminus \text{lin}(\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}) + Z_m$.

It is possible to choose $y_{n+1}$ since $Z_m$ has infinite codimension which implies that $\text{lin}(\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}) + Z_m$ is nowhere dense in $Z$. It is clear that the point $(y_1, \ldots, y_{n+1}) \notin R_{n+1, m}$.

Since $G$ is dense in $Z$ the existence of $C$ now immediately follows from Mycielski [9, Theorem 1].

We now let $G$ be a connected abelian topological group. Our aim is to prove a version of Proposition 2.1 for $G$. If $A$ is a closed subgroup of $G$, then either the elements of $G$ of infinite rank over $A$ form a dense $G_\delta$-set in $G$ (Lemma 2.3) or for some $m$, each element of $G$ has rank $\leq m$ over $A$ (Lemma 2.4) and accordingly, we have to deal with different notions of independence over $A$, considering the factor group $G/A$ either as a module over the integers or as a module over the integers modulo $m$, for some natural number $m$ (see the definition after Lemma 2.5). This causes some additional complications in comparison to the linear case, see Sections 3 and 5.

Throughout, let $G$ be a topologically complete connected abelian topological group. If $A \subseteq G$ then $(A)$ is the subgroup of $G$ generated by $A$. As usual, $\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the set of integers and $\mathbb{Z}' = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $A \subseteq G$ be a subgroup. For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}'$ define $n^{-1} A = \{x \in G : nx \in A\}$.

2.2. Lemma. If $A$ is a closed subgroup of $G$ and $\text{Int} \ n^{-1} A \neq \emptyset$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $n^{-1} A = G$.

Proof. It is clear that $n^{-1} A$ is a closed subgroup of $G$. Since $\text{Int} \ n^{-1} A \neq \emptyset$ it therefore follows that $n^{-1} A$ is open, whence clopen. The connectivity of $G$ now implies that $n^{-1} A = G$. □
Let \( A \subseteq G \) be a closed subgroup. We call \( A \) thin provided that there is an \( x \in G \) with \( \mathbb{Z}' \cdot x \cap A = \emptyset \).

2.3. Lemma. Let \( A \subseteq G \) be a closed thin subgroup. The set \( A^* = \{ x \in G : \mathbb{Z}' \cdot x \cap A = \emptyset \} \) is a dense \( G_\delta \) in \( G \).

Proof. Obviously, \( A^* = G \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} n^{-1}A \). Since each \( n^{-1}A \) is closed, \( A^* \) is a \( G_\delta \). If \( \text{Int} n^{-1}A \neq \emptyset \) for some \( n \in \mathbb{Z}' \) then \( n^{-1}A = G \) (Lemma 2.2) so then \( A \) is not thin. We conclude that each \( n^{-1}A \) is nowhere dense. From this and the completeness of \( G \) it follows that \( A^* \) is dense. \( \square \)

2.4. Lemma. Let \( A \subseteq G \) be a closed subgroup which is not thin. Then there exists an \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( n^{-1}A = G \).

Proof. \( A \) is not thin so \( G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} n^{-1}A \). Since each \( n^{-1}A \) is closed, the Baire Category Theorem implies that \( \text{Int} n^{-1}A \neq \emptyset \) for some \( n \in \mathbb{Z}' \). Observe that \( n^{-1}A = (-n)^{-1}A \) and apply Lemma 2.2. \( \square \)

Let \( A \subseteq G \) be a closed subgroup. Define \( \kappa(A) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{ \infty \} \) by

\[
\kappa(A) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } A \text{ is thin,} \\ \min \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : n^{-1}A = G \} & \text{if } A \text{ is not thin.} \end{cases}
\]

By Lemma 2.4, \( \kappa(A) \) is well-defined.

2.5. Lemma. Let \( A \subseteq G \) be a closed subgroup for which \( \kappa(A) < \infty \). The sets \( m^{-1}A \) are closed and nowhere dense for all \( 0 < m < \kappa(A) \).

Proof. If \( m^{-1}A \) has nonempty interior for some \( 0 < m < \kappa(A) \), apply Lemma 2.2 to conclude that \( \kappa(A) \leq m < \kappa(A) \). \( \square \)

Let \( A \subseteq G \) be a closed subgroup. We call \( B \subseteq G \) independent over \( A \) provided that for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), distinct \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \in B \) and \( m_1, \ldots, m_n \in \mathbb{Z} \) we have

\[
m_1x_1 + \cdots + m_nx_n \in A \Rightarrow |m_i| = 0 \pmod{\kappa(A)} \quad \text{for all } i \leq n,
\]

where \( |m_i| = 0 \pmod{\infty} \) means \( m_i = 0 \).

2.6. Lemma. Let \( A \subseteq G \) be a proper closed subgroup. If \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \in G \) are distinct and \( \epsilon > 0 \) then there are distinct \( y_1, \ldots, y_n \in G \setminus A \) such that \( \rho(x_i, y_i) < \epsilon \) for all \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) while moreover \( \{ y_1, \ldots, y_n \} \) is independent over \( A \).

Proof. We have to distinguish several cases.

Case 1. \( n = 1 \) and \( \kappa(A) = \infty \). Apply Lemma 2.3 to find \( y_1 \in G \) with \( \rho(x_1, y_1) < \epsilon \) and \( \mathbb{Z}' \cdot y_1 \cap A = \emptyset \). It is clear that \( y_1 \) is as required.
Case 2. $n = 1$ and $\kappa(A) < \infty$. Since $A$ is a proper closed subgroup, $A$ is nowhere dense, so $\kappa(A) > 1$. By Lemma 2.5 $\bigcup_{1 \leq m < \kappa(A)} m^{-1}A$ is nowhere dense, so there is point $y_1 \in G$ with $\rho(x_i, y_1) < \varepsilon$ and $y_1 \notin \bigcup_{1 \leq m < \kappa(A)} m^{-1}A$. Then $y_1$ is clearly as required (use that $A$ is a subgroup).

Now suppose that the Lemma is true for $m$ and let us try to prove the Lemma for $m + 1$. To this end, take distinct $x_1, \ldots, x_{m+1} \in G$. Let $V_{i_1}, \ldots, V_{i_{m+1}}$ be pairwise disjoint closed neighborhoods of the points $x_1, \ldots, x_{m+1}$, each of diameter less than $\varepsilon$. By induction hypothesis there exist $y_i \in V_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$) such that $\{y_1, \ldots, y_m\}$ is independent over $A$. For all $(n_1, \ldots, n_{m+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{m+1}$ with $|n_{m+1}| \neq 0$ (mod $\kappa(A)$), define

$$C(n_1, \ldots, n_{m+1}) = \{x \in G : n_{m+1}x = n_1y_1 + \cdots + n_my_m + A\}.$$ 

Then $C(n_1, \ldots, n_{m+1})$ is closed. Suppose that the union of the $C(n_1, \ldots, n_{m+1})'$s covers $V_{m+1}$. Since $G$ is topologically complete and $\text{Int} V_{m+1} \neq \emptyset$, we can find a sequence $(n_1, \ldots, n_{m+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{m+1}$, $|n_{m+1}| \neq 0$ (mod $\kappa(A)$), such that

$$U = \text{int} C(n_1, \ldots, n_{m+1}) \neq \emptyset.$$ 

Take $x \in U$ and $y \in C(n_1, \ldots, n_{m+1})$. Then $y \in V$, where $V = (y - x) + U$, and $V$ is a neighborhood of $y$. Take $u \in U$ arbitrarily. Then

$$n_{m+1}y = n_1y_1 + \cdots + n_my_m + a_0,$$

$$n_{m+1}x = n_1y_1 + \cdots + n_my_m + a_1,$$

$$n_{m+1}u = n_1y_1 + \cdots + n_my_m + a_2,$$

for certain $a_0, a_1, a_2 \in A$. We conclude that

$$n_{m+1}((y - x) + u) = n_1y_1 + \cdots + n_my_m + ((a_0 - a_1) + a_2).$$

We conclude that $(y - x) + u \in C(n_1, \ldots, n_{m+1})$, whence $V \subseteq C(n_1, \ldots, n_{m+1})$. Therefore $C(n_1, \ldots, n_{m+1})$ is open, whence clopen, and hence equals $G$. Consequently,

$$n_{m+1}y = n_1y_1 + \cdots + n_my_m + A, \quad \forall y \in G,$$

in particular, $0 = n_{m+1} \cdot 0 = n_1y_1 + \cdots + n_my_m + A$ from which, by induction hypothesis, follows that $|n_1| = |n_2| = \cdots = |n_m| = 0$ (mod $\kappa(A)$). Consequently,

$$n_{m+1}y \in A, \quad \forall y \in G. \quad (*)$$

Case 3. $\kappa(A) = \infty$. Since $|n_{m+1}| \neq 0$ (mod $\infty$), which is equivalent to $n_{m+1} \neq 0$, $(*)$ contradicts $A$ being thin.

Case 4. $\kappa(A) < \infty$. Without loss of generality, $n_{m+1} \in \mathbb{N}$. Choose $p, l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that $0 < p < \kappa(A)$ and $n_{m+1} = l \cdot \kappa(A) + p$. Then

$$py = n_{m+1}y - l \cdot \kappa(A)y \in A, \quad \forall y \in G,$$

since by $(*)$ $n_{m+1}y \in A$ and by definition $\kappa(A)y \in A$. Since $p < \kappa(A)$ we conclude that $p = 0$. From this it follows that $n_{m+1} = l \cdot \kappa(A) = 0$ (mod $\kappa(A)$), which is a contradiction.
We conclude that there is a point
\[ y \in V_{m+1} \setminus \bigcup \{ C_{(n_1, \ldots, n_m)} : (n_1, \ldots, n_{m+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{m+1}, |n_{m+1}| = 0 \pmod{\kappa(A)} \} \].

Now suppose that \( n_1 y_1 + \cdots + n_{m+1} y \in A \) for certain \( n_1, \ldots, n_{m+1} \in \mathbb{Z} \).

By our choice of \( y \) we have that \( |n_{m+1}| = 0 \pmod{\kappa(A)} \), consequently,
\[ n_1 y_1 + \cdots + n_{m+1} y = 0 \pmod{K(A)}. \]

Since the \( y_1, \ldots, y_m \) are independent over \( A \) it follows that \( |n_1| = \cdots = |n_m| = 0 \pmod{\kappa(A)} \). We conclude that \( y_{m+1} = y \) is as required.

2.7. Lemma. Let \( A \subseteq G \) be a proper closed subgroup. For each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) the set \( R_n = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in G^n : \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \text{ is dependent over } A\} \) is of first category in \( G^n \).

Proof. For every \((m_1, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n\) define \( C_{(m_1, \ldots, m_n)} = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in G^n : m_1 x_1 + \cdots + m_n x_n \in A\} \).

Let \( \mathcal{C} = \{C_{(m_1, \ldots, m_n)} : (m_1, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n\} \). Observe that every \( C \in \mathcal{C} \) is closed and that there is a (countable) subfamily \( \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{C} \) such that
\[ R_n = \bigcup \mathcal{F}. \]

That each \( F \in \mathcal{F} \) is nowhere dense follows from Lemma 2.6.

We can now prove a result similar to Proposition 2.1.

2.8. Proposition. Let \( G \) be a connected abelian topological group which is topologically complete. In addition, let \( A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \cdots \) be a sequence of proper closed subgroups of \( G \), and let \( E \subseteq G \) be a dense \( G_b \). There is a Cantor set \( K \subseteq E \) such that \( K \) is independent over \( A_n \) for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

Proof. This directly follows from Lemma 2.7. and Mycielski [9, Theorem 1].

3. Applications of independent Cantor sets

In this section we apply the results obtained in Section 2 to get certain 'decompositions' of small linear spaces and topological groups. Again, we deal with the linear case first.

3.1. Theorem. Let \( Z \) be a topologically complete metrizable linear space of weight at most continuum and let \( Z_1 \subseteq Z_2 \subseteq \cdots \) be a sequence of closed linear subspaces of \( Z \) each of infinite codimension. Then there exists a linear subspace \( V \subseteq Z \) of second category in \( Z \) such that \( Z = V \oplus (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i) \).
Proof. Since $Z$ has weight at most $c$, $Z$ has cardinality at most $c$, whence $Z$ has at most $c$ separable closed subspaces. The family $\mathcal{C} = \{C \subseteq Z : C$ is a Cantor set which is linearly independent over $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i \}$ has cardinality at most $c$. Let $\{C_\alpha : \alpha < c\}$ enumerate $\mathcal{C}$. Inductively, choose $x_\alpha \in C_\alpha$ such that

$$\text{lin}\{x_\xi : \xi \leq \alpha\} \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i = \{0\}$$

for every $\alpha < c$. Since the algebraic dimension over $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i$ of the set $E = \text{lin}\{x_\xi : \xi < \alpha\} + \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i$ is less than $c$, it is possible to pick a point $x \in C_\alpha \setminus E$. It is easily seen that $x_\alpha = x$ as required.

Put $W = \text{lin}\{x_\alpha : \alpha < c\}$. Clearly, $W \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i = \{0\}$ and $W$ is of second category in $Z$ by Proposition 2.1. Now let $V \subseteq Z$ be any linear subspace containing $W$ such that $V \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i = \{0\}$ and $V + \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i = Z$. Then $V$ is clearly as required. $\square$

We now want to prove a generalization of Theorem 3.1. for topological groups. Unfortunately we cannot obtain a result quite as strong as Theorem 3.1., see the example following Theorem 3.3.

We will now prove a simple but important lemma.

3.2. Lemma. Let $G$ be a topologically complete connected abelian topological group and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a collection proper closed subgroups of $G$. Then there exists an $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\kappa(A) = \kappa(B)$ for every $B \in \mathcal{A}$ containing $A$.

Proof. If $\kappa(A) = \infty$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ then there is nothing to prove. Suppose therefore that $\kappa(A) < \infty$ for certain $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Let

$$n = \min\{\kappa(A) : A \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \kappa(A) < \infty\}$$

and choose $A \in \mathcal{A}$ for which $\kappa(A) = n$. We claim that $A$ is as required. To this end, take $B \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $A \subseteq B$. Then

$$nx \in A \subseteq B$$

for every $x \in G$. We conclude that $\kappa(B) \leq \kappa(A)$, whence $\kappa(B) = \kappa(A)$ by our choice of $\kappa(A)$. $\square$

3.3. Theorem. Let $G$ be a topologically complete metrizable connected abelian topological group of weight at most continuum and let $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \cdots$ be a sequence of closed proper subgroups of $G$. There exists a subgroup $E \subseteq G$ of second category in $G$ and an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $E \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \subseteq A_n$. If $\kappa(A_i) = \infty$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ then we can construct $E$ such that $E \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \{0\}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, we can find an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\kappa(A_i) = \kappa(A_n)$ for every $i \geq n$. We claim that there is a subgroup $E \subseteq G$ of second category in $G$ such that $E \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \subseteq A_n$. 
As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, it follows that $G$ contains at most $c$ Cantor sets. Let $\{K_\alpha : \alpha < c\}$ enumerate all Cantor sets in $G$ which are independent over $A_n$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We will construct the required subgroup $E$ such that $E \cap K_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ for every $\alpha < c$. By Proposition 2.8, $E$ then intersects every dense $G_\delta$ in $G$, whence $E$ is of second category in $G$.

By transfinite induction on $\alpha < c$ we will pick $x_\alpha \in K_\alpha$ such that for every $\alpha < c$ the set $\{x_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ is independent over $A_n$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We will then show that $E = \langle \{x_\alpha : \alpha < c\} \rangle$ is as required.

Let $x_0$ be any point of $K_0$ and suppose that $x_\beta$ has been defined for every $\beta < \alpha$. Suppose that for every $x \in K_\alpha$ there exist $n_x \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $F_x \in \{x_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}^\omega$ such that $|n_x| \neq 0 \pmod{K(A_0)}$, while moreover

$$n_x x \in A_{m(x)} + \langle F_x \rangle.$$

Since $|\mathbb{Z}| = |\mathbb{N}| = \aleph_0$ and $|\{x_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}^\omega| < c$, we can find an uncountable set $H \subseteq K_\alpha$, a fixed $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a fixed finite subset $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \{x_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ such that

$$\bar{n}x \in A_m + \langle \mathfrak{F} \rangle, \quad \bar{n} = n_x \quad \text{and} \quad m = m(x),$$

for every $x \in H$. Since $|\langle F \rangle| \leq \aleph_0$ we can find an uncountable subset $H' \subseteq H$ and a point $p \in \langle F \rangle$ such that

$$\bar{n}x \in A_m + p$$

for every $x \in H'$. Now take distinct $x, y \in H'$. Then

$$\bar{n}x - \bar{n}y \in A_m,$$

whence $\bar{n} = 0 \pmod{\kappa(A_m)}$. This is a contradiction.

We conclude that we can find a point $x \in K_\alpha$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|n| \neq 0 \pmod{\kappa(A_m)}$, and every finite $F \subseteq \{x_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ we have that

$$nx \in A_m + \langle F \rangle.$$

Define $x_\alpha = x$. It is clear that $x_\alpha$ is as required.

Now let $E = \langle \{x_\alpha : \alpha < c\} \rangle$. We claim that $E \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty A_i$ is non-empty. Take $x \in E \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty A_i$ arbitrarily. There exist $\alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_m < c$ and $n_1, \ldots, n_m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$x = n_1 x_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + n_m x_{\alpha_m}.$$ 

There is an $m_0 \geq n$ with $x \in A_{m_0}$. Since $\{x_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, x_{\alpha_m}\}$ is independent over $A_{m_0}$, we conclude that $|n_1| = \cdots = |n_m| = 0 \pmod{\kappa(A_{m_0})}$. Since $\kappa(A_{m_0}) = \kappa(A_0)$ this implies that $x \in A_n$.

Observe that if $\kappa(A_i) = \omega$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ then the same proof shows that $E \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \{0\}$. □

Observe that in the above theorem in general it is impossible to construct $E$ with the additional property that is connected. Simply observe that the only connected subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$ is $\mathbb{R}$ itself.
We will now construct an example showing that Theorem 3.3. cannot be improved.

Let $I$ denote the unit interval $[0, 1]$ and, as usual, let $\lambda$ denote Lebesgue measure on $I$. If $X$ is a space then a function $f: I \to X$ is called measurable if $f^{-1}(U)$ is a Borel subset of $I$ for every open $U \subseteq X$. Two measurable functions $f, g: I \to X$ are said to be equivalent if

$$\lambda(\{x \in I : f(x) \neq g(x)\}) = 0.$$ 

Let $M_X$ denote the topological space of equivalence classes of measurable functions from $I$ into $X$ with the topology of convergence in measure. Bessaga and Pelczynski [2, p. 194] have shown that $M_X$ is homeomorphic to $l_2$, the separable Hilbert space, if and only if $X$ is a topologically complete separable space containing more than one point. They also show that if $G$ is a topological group then pointwise multiplication defines a compatible topological group structure on $M_G$, i.e. if $f, g \in M_G$ then $f \cdot g \in M_G$ and $f^{-1} \in M_G$ are defined by

$$((f \cdot g)(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x),$$
$$((f^{-1})(x) = (f(x))^{-1}.)$$

Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_4$ with the discrete topology (of course) and put $H = M_G$. As remarked above, $H \cong l_2$, whence $H$ is a connected topological group.1 Put $A = \{2f : f \in H\}$. Then $A$ is a subgroup of $H$ and we first claim that $A$ is closed. Let $g_n \in A$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence converging to a point $g \in H$. Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} 2g_n = 2g$. Since $g_n = 2f_n$ for certain $f_n \in H$, we have $2g_n = 4f_n = 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We conclude that $2g = 0$. We therefore find that $g(I) \subseteq \{0, 2\}$. Define $g': I \to G$ by $g'(x) = 0$ iff $g(x) = 0$ and $g'(x) = 1$ iff $g(x) = 2$. It is trivial that $g'$ is measurable. Since clearly $2g' = g$, it follows that $g \in A$. Whence $A$ is closed. We next claim that $A$ is a proper subgroup of $H$. This is a triviality of course since the function $f \in H$ with constant value 1 obviously does not belong to $A$.

We now let $E$ be any subgroup of $H$ such that $E \neq \{0\}$. We claim that $E \cap A \neq \{0\}$. Indeed, take $x \in E \setminus \{0\}$ arbitrarily. Then $2x \in E \cap A$. If $2x \neq 0$ then we are done. If $2x = 0$ then, as above, we find that $x \in A$. So then $x \in E \cap A$, and in this case we are also done. From this we conclude that the subgroup $E$ in Theorem 3.3. in general cannot be chosen such that $E \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \{0\}$. The question naturally arises whether we can always choose $E$ in such a way that $E + \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = G$ (and $E \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \subseteq A_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ of course). We do not know the answer to this question.

4. A Lemma

The aim of this section is to prove Lemma 4.3., which is needed in Section 5 to prove all results which were announced in the introduction.

1 (For this conclusion we do not need the Bessaga-Pelczyński result of course, it is routine to verify directly that $M_G$ is path-connected.)
Throughout, let $X$ be a space and assume that $X = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} X_\alpha$, where
(1) $X_\alpha$ is closed, separable, and nowhere dense in $X$ for every $\alpha < \omega_1$,
(2) $X_\alpha \subseteq X_\beta$ if $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$,
(3) $X_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} X_\beta$ if $\alpha < \omega_1$ is a limit ordinal.
For every $\alpha < \omega_1$ define $P_\alpha = X_\alpha \setminus \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} X_\beta$. Given a subset $\Sigma \subseteq \omega_1$ put
$$X(\Sigma) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Sigma} P_\alpha.$$

4.1. Lemma. For every pair $\Sigma_0, \Sigma_1$ of disjoint subsets of $\omega_1$ we have that the set $X(\Sigma_0) \times X(\Sigma_1)$ is of first category in the product $X \times X$.

*Proof.* See [12, the proof of Lemma 1]. \(\square\)

4.2. Lemma. Let $\Sigma \subseteq \omega_1$ be stationary and let $x_\xi \in P_\xi$ for $\xi \in \Sigma$. Then there is a point $x \in X$ such that each neighborhood of $x$ contains uncountably many $x_\xi$'s.

*Proof.* See [11, the proof of (ii) \rightarrow (iii) in Theorem 1], cf. also [5, p. 181-182]. \(\square\)

We will now formulate and prove the main result in this section.

4.3. Lemma. Let $\Sigma \subseteq \omega_1$ be stationary and let $E_\xi \subseteq P_\xi$ be a set of second category in $X_\xi$ for $\xi \in \Sigma$. Then the set $E = \bigcup_{\xi \in \Sigma} E_\xi$ is of second category in $X$.

*Proof.* Let $T_n \ni \eta \ni \omega$ be a sequence of closed subsets of $\omega_1$ such that $\Sigma \subseteq \bigcup_{\eta \in \omega} T_n$.
We have to show that at least one $F_i$ has nonempty interior in $X$. Fix $\xi \in \Sigma$. Since $E_\xi$ is of second category in $X_\xi$, there exist a point $a_\xi \in E_\xi$ and $i_\xi, n_\xi \in \omega$ such that:
$$B(a_\xi, 1/i_\xi) \cap X_\xi \subseteq F_{n_\xi}.$$

There exist a stationary set $A \subseteq \Sigma$ and a pair $i, n$ of natural numbers such that for each $\xi \in A$ we have that $i_\xi = i$ and $n_\xi = n$. By Lemma 4.2 there exists a point $a \in X$ such that
$$B(a, 1/2i) \cap X_\xi \subseteq F_n.$$

is uncountable. We claim that $B(a, 1/2i) \subseteq F_n$. Indeed, if $x \in B(a, 1/2i)$ choose $\xi < \omega_1$ with $x \in X_\xi$ and take $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with $\xi < \gamma$.

Then $\rho(x, a_\gamma) < 1/\gamma$; so $x \in \mathcal{R}_\xi \cap B(a_\gamma, 1/\gamma) = \mathcal{R}_\gamma \cap B(a_\gamma, 1/\gamma) \subseteq F_n$ (since $\gamma \in \Gamma$). \(\square\)

5. The construction

After the preparatory work in the previous sections we are now in a position to formulate and prove our main results. Again, we deal with the linear case first.
5.1. **Theorem.** Let $L$ be a topologically complete metrizable linear space of weight $\mathfrak{c}_1$. Then $X$ is the direct sum $E \oplus F$ of two linear subspaces $E$ and $F$ such that both $E$ and $F$ are second category subsets of $L$ whose product $E \times F$ is first category (in itself). In particular, both $E$ and $F$ are Baire spaces but $E \times F$ is not.

**Proof.** We will construct a sequence

$$
\{0\} = X_0 \subseteq X_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq X_\alpha \subseteq \cdots \subseteq L, \quad \alpha < \omega_1,
$$

of closed linear subspaces of $L$ satisfying (1), (2) and (3) of Section 4 and, in addition, having the following property:

1. $X_\alpha$ is of infinite codimension in $X_{\alpha+1}, \quad \alpha < \omega_1$.

Let $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be dense in $L$, and assume that $X_\beta$ has been defined for every $\beta < \alpha, \quad \alpha < \omega_1$, having the additional property that if $\beta$ is not a limit then

$$
\{x_\xi : \xi \leq \beta\} \subseteq X_\beta.
$$

If $\alpha$ is a limit put $X_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} X_\beta$. If $\alpha$ is not a limit, observe that $X_\alpha$, being separable, has infinite codimension in $L$ (use that $L$ is not separable). So there exists a countably infinite linearly independent set $A \subseteq L$ such that $\text{Lin} A \cap X_\alpha = \{0\}$. Put

$$
X_{\alpha+1} = \text{lin}(X_\alpha \cup A \cup \{x_\beta : \beta \leq \alpha\}).
$$

It is clear that $X_{\alpha+1}$ is as required.

Since $L$ is metrizable, $\bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} X_\alpha$ is closed in $L$ and since $x_\alpha \in X_{\alpha+1}$ for every $\alpha < \omega_1$, it follows that $\bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} X_\alpha = L$.

Throughout the rest of this proof we adopt the notation of Section 4.

**Claim 1.** If $\alpha < \cdots < \alpha_0 < \omega_1$ and $x_i \in P_{\alpha_i}$ then

$$
x_0 + \cdots + x_n \in P_{\alpha_0}.
$$

Observe that $x_1 + \cdots + x_n \in X_{\alpha_0}$. Suppose that $x_0 + \cdots + x_n \in X_{\xi}$ for certain $\xi < \alpha_0$. Then, for $\beta = \max(\alpha_i, \xi)$, we have that

$$
x_0 = (x_0 + \cdots + x_n) - (x_1 + \cdots + x_n) \in X_\beta.
$$

Since $x_0 \in P_{\alpha_0}$ and $P_{\alpha_0} \cap X_\beta = \emptyset$, this is a contradiction.

We are now in a position to construct the required linear subspaces $E$ and $F$. Fix an ordinal $\alpha < \omega_1$ and let $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \cdots$ be a sequence of ordinals such that $\alpha$ is the least ordinal greater than every $\alpha_i$. Using Theorem 3.1. with $Z = X_\alpha$ and $Z_i = X_{\alpha_i}$, one can find a linear subspace $V_\alpha$ of $X_\alpha$ of second category in $X_\alpha$ such that

1. $X_\alpha = V_\alpha \oplus (\bigcup_{\xi < \alpha} X_\xi),$

so in particular,

2. $V_\alpha \setminus \{0\} \subseteq P_\alpha.$
Split $\omega_1$ into two disjoint stationary sets $\Sigma_0$, $\Sigma_1$ and let

$$V_i = \text{Lin}(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Sigma_i} V_\alpha), \quad i = 0, 1.$$  

We claim that $V_0 = \Sigma_0$ and $V_1 = \Sigma_1$ are as required.

**Claim 2.** $V_i \setminus \{0\} \subseteq L(\Sigma_i) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Sigma_i} P_\alpha, \quad i = 0, 1.$

Take $x \in V_i \setminus \{0\}$ arbitrarily. Find ordinals $\alpha_j \in \Sigma_n, 0 \leq j \leq n,$ and points $x_j \in V_{\alpha_j} \setminus \{0\} \subseteq P_\alpha$ (by (3)), such that $\alpha_n < \cdots < \alpha_0$ and $x = x_0 + \cdots + x_n.$ By Claim 1 it follows that $x = P_{\alpha_0} \subseteq L(\Sigma_i)$.

By Claim 2 and Lemma 4.1, it now follows that $V_0 \times V_1$ is of first category in the product $L \times L.$ However, since each $V_\alpha$ is a linear subspace of second category in $X_\alpha$, and hence dense in $X_\alpha$, it easily follows that both $V_0$ and $V_1$ are dense in $L$, whence $V_0 \times V_1$ is dense in $L \times L.$ Since $V_0 \times V_1$ is of first category in $L \times L$ we conclude that $V_0 \times V_1$ is of first category in itself.

Since $V_0 \cap P_\alpha \supseteq P_\alpha$ for every $\alpha \in \Sigma_\alpha$ and since $V_\alpha$ is of second category in $X_\alpha$, it follows that $V_0 \cap P_\alpha$ is of second category in $X_\alpha$ for every $\alpha \in \Sigma_\alpha$. By Lemma 4.1, we conclude that both $V_0$ and $V_1$ are of second category in $L$.

It remains to check that $L = V_0 \oplus V_1.$ Since by Claim 2 we have that $V_0 \cap V_1 = \{0\},$ it suffices to show that $L = V_0 + V_1.$ We will check by induction that $X_\alpha \subseteq V_0 + V_1.$ Clearly $X_0 \subseteq V_0 + V_1.$ Assume that $X_\xi \subseteq V_0 + V_1$ for every $\xi < \alpha$, $\alpha < \omega_1.$ Without loss of generality we may assume that $\alpha \in \Sigma_0$. By (2),

$$X_\alpha = V_\alpha \oplus (\bigcup_{\xi < \alpha} X_\xi),$$  

so $X_\alpha \subseteq V_\alpha + V_0 + V_1.$ But $V_\alpha \subseteq V_0,$ i.e. $V_\alpha + V_0 = V_0.$ \hfill \Box

### 5.2. Corollary.

Let $L$ be a topologically complete metrizable non-separable linear space. Then $L$ contains two linear subspaces $E$ and $F$ such that both $E$ and $F$ are Baire but $E \times F$ is not.

**Proof.** Observe that $L$ contains a closed linear subspace of weight $\aleph_1$ and apply Theorem 5.1. \hfill \Box

We will now prove the announced results for topological groups.

### 5.3. Theorem.

Let $G$ be a topologically complete metrizable abelian topological group of weight $\aleph_1$ and assume that $G$ has a dense pathcomponent. Then $G$ contains two subgroups $E$ and $F$ such that both $E$ and $F$ are second category subsets of $G$ whose product is of first category (in itself). In particular, both $E$ and $F$ are Baire spaces but $E \times F$ is not. The subgroups $E$ and $F$ have the additional property that $E \cap F$ is separable (hence small).
Proof. Our strategy is similar to the one in the proof of Theorem 5.1. Let \( K \subseteq G \) be a dense path component. We will construct a sequence
\[
\{0\} = X_0 \subseteq X_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq X_\alpha \subseteq \cdots \subseteq G, \quad \alpha < \omega_1,
\]
of closed and connected subgroups of \( G \) satisfying (1), (2) and (3) of Section 4 and, in addition, having the following property:

(1) \( X_\alpha \) is a proper subgroup of \( X_{\alpha+1} \), \( \alpha < \omega_1 \).

Let \( \{X_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\} \) be dense in \( G \), and assume that \( X_\beta \) has been defined for every \( \beta < \alpha \), \( \alpha < \omega_1 \), having the additional property that if \( \beta \) is not a limit then
\[
\{x_\xi : \xi < \beta\} \subseteq X_\beta.
\]

If \( \alpha \) is a limit put \( X_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} X_\beta \). Now suppose that \( \alpha \) is not a limit, say \( \alpha = \beta + 1 \). Put \( A = X_\alpha \cup \{x_\beta, x_\beta\} \) and observe that \( A \) is closed and separable, whence nowhere dense in \( G \) (since \( G \) is connected, every separable subspace of \( G \) is nowhere dense). Let \( D \) be a countable dense subset of \( A \) and for every \( d \in D \) choose a sequence in \( K \setminus A \) converging to \( d \). The union of these sequences yields a countable set \( H \subseteq K \setminus A \) such that \( A \subseteq \mathring{H} \). For every \( x, y \in H \) let \( I(x, y) \subseteq G \) be a path connecting \( x \) and \( y \). Then \( H' = \bigcup \{I(x, y) : x, y \in H\} \) is a separable connected set such that \( A \subseteq H' \). Now let \( B = \langle H' \rangle \). Then \( B \) is connected since \( H' \) is connected. Similarly, \( B \) is separable. It is easily seen that \( X_\alpha - B \) satisfies our requirements. As in the proof of Theorem 5.1, it follows that \( \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} X_\alpha = G \). Again, we adopt the notation of Section 4.

For every \( \alpha < \omega_1 \) let \( \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots \) be a sequence of ordinals such that \( \alpha \) is the least ordinal greater than every \( \alpha_i \). By Theorem 3.3, we can find a subgroup \( V_\alpha \subseteq X_\alpha \) and an integer \( n(\alpha) \in \mathbb{N} \) such that

(a) \( V_\alpha \) is of second category in \( X_\alpha \),
(b) \( V_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{\alpha_i} \subseteq X_{\alpha_{n(\alpha)}} \).

We conclude that for every \( \alpha < \omega_1 \) there is an \( f(\alpha) < \alpha \) such that

(c) \( V_\alpha \cap \overline{\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} X_\beta} \subseteq X_{f(\alpha)} \).

By PDL there is a stationary set \( S \subseteq \omega_1 \) and a \( \beta < \omega_1 \) such that for all \( \alpha, \alpha' \in S \) we have \( f(\alpha) = f(\alpha') = \beta < \alpha \).

Claim 1. If \( \alpha_m < \cdots < \alpha_0 < \omega_1 \), \( \alpha_i \in S \) for every \( i \), and if \( x_i \in V_{\alpha_i}, n_i \in \mathbb{Z} \), then \( n_0 x_0 + \cdots + n_m x_m \) \( \in X_\beta \) for certain \( \beta \subseteq \mathring{P} \).

We prove the Claim by induction on \( m \). If \( m = 0 \) then there is nothing to prove since then \( n_0 x_0 \in V_{\alpha_0} \subseteq X_\beta \). Suppose therefore that \( m > 0 \).

By induction hypothesis we have
\[ n_1 x_1 + \cdots + n_m x_m \in X_\beta \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^{\eta} P_{\alpha_i}. \]

Case 1. \( n_0 x_0 \in X_\beta \). Choose \( 1 \leq i \leq m \) such that \( n_1 x_1 + \cdots + n_m x_m \in X_\beta \cup P_{\alpha_i} \). If \( n_1 x_1 + \cdots + n_m x_m \in X_\beta \) then there is nothing to prove. Suppose therefore that \( y = n_1 x_1 + \cdots + n_m x_m \in \mathring{P}_{\alpha_i} \). Observe that \( n_0 x_0, y \in X_{\alpha_i} \), so \( n_0 x_0 + y \in X_{\alpha_i} \). If \( n_0 x_0 + y \in X_{\eta} \) for certain \( \eta < \alpha_i \), then \( y \in X_{\eta} \) since \( X_{\eta} \) is a subgroup and \( n_0 x_0 \in X_{\eta} \), contradiction. We conclude that \( n_0 x_0 + y \in P_{\alpha_i} \).
Case 2. \( n_0 x_0 \in P_{\alpha_0} \). Again, choose \( 1 \leq i \leq m \) such that \( y = n_1 x_1 + \cdots + n_m x_m \in X_{\eta} \cup P_{\alpha_\eta} \). If \( n_0 x_0 + y \in P_{\alpha_0} \), then \( n_0 x_0 + y \in X_{\eta} \) for certain \( \alpha_1 \leq \eta < \alpha_0 \). Since \( X_{\eta} \) is a subgroup and \( y \in X_{\eta} \), this would imply that \( n_0 x_0 \in X_{\eta} \), which is a contradiction since \( P_{\alpha_0} \cap X_{\eta} = \emptyset \).

Split \( S \) into two disjoint stationary sets \( \Sigma_0, \Sigma_1 \) and let

\[
V_i = \left( \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Sigma_i} V_\alpha \right), \quad i = 0, 1.
\]

We claim that \( E = V_0 \) and \( F = V_1 \) are as required.

Claim 2. \( V_i \subseteq X_{\beta} \cup G(\Sigma_i) \), whence \( V_0 \cap V_1 \subseteq X_{\beta} \) (recall that \( G(\Sigma_i) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Sigma_i} P_{\alpha} \)).

Take \( x \in V_i \) arbitrarily. There exist \( \alpha_m < \cdots < \alpha_0 < \omega_1, \alpha_j \in \Sigma_i \) for every \( j \), and \( x_j \in V_{\alpha_j}, \).

By Claim 1 it now follows that

\[
x \in X_{\beta} \cup \bigcup_{j=0}^{m} P_{\alpha_j} \subseteq X_{\beta} \cup G(\Sigma_i).
\]

By Claim 2 and Lemma 4 we may conclude that \( (V_0 \setminus X_{\beta}) \times (V_1 \setminus X_{\beta}) \) is of first category in the product \( G \times G \).

By Claim 2 and Lemma 4 we may conclude that \( (V_0 \setminus X_{\beta}) \times (V_1 \setminus X_{\beta}) \) is of first category in the product \( G \times G \) (observe that \( X_{\beta} \) is nowhere dense in \( G \)). Since each \( V_{\alpha} \) is a subgroup of second category of the connected group \( X_{\alpha} \), it follows that \( V_{\alpha} \) is dense in \( X_{\alpha} \), and from this it easily follows that both \( V_0 \) and \( V_1 \) are dense in \( G \). Consequently, \( V_0 \times V_1 \) is a dense first category subset of \( G \times G \), whence \( V_0 \times V_1 \) is of first category in itself.

Since \( V_i \cap P_\alpha \supseteq V_\alpha \setminus \{0\} \) for every \( \alpha \in \Sigma_\alpha \), and since \( V_\alpha \) is of second category in \( X_{\alpha} \), it follows that \( V_i \cap P_\alpha \) of second category in \( X_{\alpha} \) for every \( \alpha \in \Sigma_\alpha \). From Lemma 4.3 we therefore conclude that \( V_i \setminus X_{\beta} \) is of second category in \( G \) for every \( i \in \{0, 1\} \). Since \( X_{\beta} \) is closed and nowhere dense in \( G \) it follows that \( V_i \) of second category in \( G, i = 0, 1 \).

5.4. Corollary. Let \( G \) be a topologically complete metrizable non-separable abelian topological group and assume that \( G \) has a dense pathcomponent. Then \( G \) contains two subgroups \( E \) and \( F \) such that both \( E \) and \( F \) are Baire but \( E \times F \) is not.

Proof. We will show that \( G \) contains a closed subgroup \( H \) of weight \( \aleph_1 \), having a dense pathcomponent. Then we can apply Theorem 5.3. to obtain the desired result.

Let \( K \subseteq G \) be a dense pathcomponent. Since \( G \) is a topological group we may assume that \( 0 \in K \). By transfinite induction, we will construct for every \( \alpha < \omega_1 \) a separable closed subgroup \( H_\alpha \subseteq G \) and a countable set \( D_\alpha \subseteq K \) such that

1. if \( \beta < \alpha \) then \( H_\beta \) is a proper subgroup of \( H_\alpha \),
2. \( D_\alpha \) is dense in \( H_\alpha \),
3. if \( \beta \leq \alpha, x \in D_\beta \) and \( y \in D_\alpha \) then \( x \) and \( y \) can be joined by path in \( H_\alpha \).
Then $H = \bigcup_{x < \omega_1} H_x$ is clearly as required (observe that by (1) $H$ has weight $\aleph_1$).

Put $H_0 = \{0\}$ and $D_0 = \{0\}$. Assume that $H_\beta$ and $D_\beta$ have been defined for every $\beta < \alpha$, $\alpha < \omega_1$. If $\alpha$ is a limit, put $H_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} H_\beta$ and $D_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} D_\beta$. Suppose therefore that $\alpha = \beta + 1$. Let $D = \bigcup_{\ell < \beta} D_\ell$ and for every $d \in D$ choose a sequence from $K \setminus H_\beta$ converging to $d$. Let $H$ be the union of these sequences and for every $x, y \in H \cup D$ let $I(x, y) \subseteq G$ be a path connecting $x$ and $y$. Put $B = \bigcup \{I(x, y): x, y \in H \cup D\}$. Observe that $B$ is separable and that $B \subseteq K$. Put $H_\alpha = \langle B \rangle$ and let $D_\alpha \subseteq \langle B \rangle$ be any countable dense set. Since $B$ is pathconnected it also follows that $\langle B \rangle$ is pathconnected (observe that $\langle B \rangle$ is a continuous image of a topological sum of countably many finite powers of $B$). We conclude that $\langle B \rangle \subseteq K$ from which it follows that $D_\alpha \subseteq K$, as required. $\square$

5.5. Remark. Observe that in the proofs of Theorem 5.3. and Corollary 5.4. we 'only' used that $G$ contains a dense set $D$ such that for all $x, y \in D$ there is a separable connected subset of $G$ that contains both $x$ and $y$. We do not know whether every topologically complete connected abelian topological group has this property.

6. Remarks

It can be shown that Theorem 5.1. is also true for linear spaces of weight $\aleph_2$. Under the Generalized Continuum Hypothesis we can show that every non-separable Banach space admits a bounded linear operator onto a Banach space of weight $\aleph_1$ or $\aleph_2$. Since this operator is open, applying Theorem 5.1. and its generalization, it follows that every non-separable Banach space $B$ is the sum of two linear subspaces $E$ and $F$ such that both $E$ and $F$ are Baire but $E \times F$ is not. This result suggests the following question.

6.1. Question. Let $B$ be a non-separable Banach space. Is $B$ the direct sum $E \oplus F$ of two linear subspaces $E$ and $F$ such that both $E$ and $F$ are Baire but $E \times F$ is not?

The following question seems particularly hard and interesting.

6.2. Question. Is there a normed linear space $X$ such that $X$ is Baire but $X \times X$ is not?

In the light of Theorem 5.1. our results for topological groups are not satisfying. In Section 3 by means of an example we demonstrated that our construction for topological groups is inadequate for getting a direct sum decomposition such as in Theorem 5.1. For this reason we pose the following

6.3. Question. Let $G$ be a pathconnected topologically complete topological group of weight $\aleph_1$. Is $G$ the sum $E + F$ of two subgroups $E$ and $F$ such that both $E$
and \( F \) are Baire but \( E \times F \) is not? When is it possible to write \( G \) as the direct sum \( E \oplus F \) of two subgroups \( E \) and \( F \) such that both \( E \) and \( F \) are Baire but \( E \times F \) is not?

If the answer to Question 6.3. is in the affirmative then the same question should be posed for topological groups of arbitrary uncountable weight.

References