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0. Introduction

If $X$ is a space then $C^*(X)$ denotes the set of continuous bounded real-valued functions on $X$ endowed with the topology of uniform convergence. There are classification results for these spaces. For details see [18] and [6]. There is another topology on function spaces that is of interest in abstract functional analysis, namely the topology of point-wise convergence. For any space $X$, the set of continuous real-valued bounded functions on $X$ endowed with the topology of point-wise convergence shall be denoted by $C_p^*(X)$. For a recent survey on point-wise convergence function spaces, see [16]. So far, no classification results have been obtained for the spaces $C_p^*(X)$. Results in [3], [4] and [17] indicate that a classification result for the spaces $C_p^*(X)$ in the linear world promises to be extremely complicated. Trying to get a topological classification result for the spaces $C_p^*(X)$ seems to be more promising since no obstacles for obtaining topological homeomorphisms are known. The aim of this paper is to prove that if $X$ is any countable, metric space which fails to be locally compact at some point then $C_p^*(X)$ is homeomorphic to the countable infinite product

$$l_2^* \times l_2^* \times l_2^* \times \ldots ,$$

where $l_2^* = \{ x \in l^2 : x_i = 0$ for almost all $i \}$ and $l^2$ denotes the separable Hilbert space of course. It is clear that "countable" is essential in this result. In addition, "metric" is essential by results in [14]. I conjecture that "fails to be locally compact at some point" can be replaced by "is not discrete". I am indebted to the referee for many valuable comments.
1. Preliminaries

Unless otherwise stated, all spaces under discussion are separable metric. All mappings are continuous functions. Let $X$ and $Y$ be spaces. A homotopy from $X$ to $Y$ is a map $F: X \times I \to Y$ ($I$ denotes the interval $[0, 1]$ of course) and for $t \in I$ we define $F_t: X \to Y$ by $F_t(x) = F(x, t)$. If $F: X \times I \to Y$ is a homotopy and $\mathcal{U}$ is an open cover of $Y$, then we say that $F$ is limited by $\mathcal{U}$ provided that for each $x \in X$ there exists an element $U \in \mathcal{U}$ containing $F([x] \times I)$. Now let $\mathcal{U}$ be a collection of open subsets of $Y$. Mappings $f, g: X \to Y$ are called $\mathcal{U}$-close if for each $x \in X$ with $f(x) \neq g(x)$ there exists a $U \in \mathcal{U}$ containing both $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ (note that we did not require $\mathcal{U}$ to cover $Y$). Observe that if $f: Y \to Y$ is $\mathcal{U}$-close to the identity then $f$ is supported on $\bigcup \mathcal{U}$, i.e. $f$ restricts to the identity mapping on $Y \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{U}$. The identity mapping on $X$ shall be denoted by $1_X$ or, if no confusion seems likely, simply by $1$. We shall frequently use the following result due to Anderson [1].

1.1. INDUCTION CONVERGENCE CRITERION. Suppose that a sequence $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of homeomorphisms of a compact space $X$ is chosen inductively so that each $h_n$ is sufficiently close to the identity. Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} h_n \circ \cdots \circ h_1$ exists and is a homeomorphism of $X$.

We consider the Hilbert cube $Q = \prod_i [-1, 1]$, with metric $d(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty 2^{-i} |x_i - y_i|$. A Hilbert cube is a space homeomorphic to $Q$. We shall now define several notions in $Q$ which are all stated in purely topological terms. For this reason we assume that we defined these concepts simultaneously for spaces homeomorphic to $Q$.

A closed subset $F$ of a space $X$ is a $Z$-set in $X$ if every map $f: Q \to X$ can be approximated by maps $g: Q \to X$ with $g(Q) \cap F = \emptyset$. Note that every endface of $Q$ (a subset of the form $\pi_i^{-1}([-1])$ or $\pi_i^{-1}([1])$, where $\pi_i: Q \to [-1, 1]$ is the projection) is a $Z$-set in $Q$. We let $\mathcal{Z}(X)$ denote the collection of all $Z$-sets in $X$. A countable union of $Z$-sets is called a $\sigma$-$Z$-set. We let $\mathcal{Z}_\sigma(X)$ denote the collection of $\sigma$-$Z$-sets in $X$. An imbedding $h: X \to Y$ is a $Z$-imbedding if $h(X)$ is a $Z$-set. A $\sigma$-$\sigma$-pair in $X$ is a pair $(A, B)$ with $A \in \mathcal{Z}(X)$, $B \in \mathcal{Z}_\sigma(X)$ and $B \subseteq A$. If $(A, B)$ and $(E, F)$ are two $\sigma$-$\sigma$-pairs then we write $(A, B) \leq (E, F)$ whenever $A \subseteq E$ and $F \cap A = B$.

Observe that $\leq$ is a partial order on the family of all $\sigma$-$\sigma$-pairs in $X$. For a discussion of $Z$-sets see [6] and [9]. We shall now define two important concepts. A skeleton in (a topological copy of) $Q$ is an increasing sequence $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \cdots$ of $Z$-sets in $Q$ having the following absorption property:
For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(Q)$ there exist a homeomorphism $h: Q \to Q$ and an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(h, 1) < \varepsilon$, $h|_{A_n} = 1$, and $h(Z) \subseteq A_m$.

The idea of a skeleton for a given class of compacta is due independently to Anderson [2] and Bessaga-Pelczyński [5].

1.2. **Theorem.** For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $A_n = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} [-1 + 1/n, 1 - 1/n]$. Then the sequence $\{A_n\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a skeleton in $Q$.

For a proof of this basic result due to Anderson and Bessaga-Pelczyński, see [9], chapter 5.

If the sequence $\{A_n\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a skeleton in $Q$ then $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_n$ is called a skeletoid.

1.3. **Theorem.** If $A$ and $B$ are skeletoids in $Q$ and $K \in \mathcal{Z}_0(Q)$ then for every collection $\mathcal{U}$ of open subsets of $Q$ there is a homeomorphism $h: Q \to Q$ that is $\mathcal{U}$-close to 1 while moreover $h((A \cup K) \cap \bigcup \mathcal{U}) = B \cap \bigcup \mathcal{U}$.

For details see e.g. [6], pp. 124, 129 and 131.

Let $X$ be a compact space. An isotopy on $X$ is a homotopy $H: X \times I \to X$ such that for each $t \in I$ the mapping $H_t: X \to X$ is a homeomorphism. We shall also need the following consequence of a result due to Anderson-Chapman. For details see [9], §19.

1.4. **Theorem.** Let $X$ be a compact space and let $H: X \times I \to Q$ be a homotopy such that $H_0$ and $H_1$ are $\mathcal{Z}$-imbeddings. Then for every open covering $\mathcal{U}$ of $Q$ such that $H$ is limited by $\mathcal{U}$ there is an isotopy $F: Q \times I \to Q$ having the following properties:

1. $F$ is limited by $\mathcal{U}$,
2. $F_0 = 1$ and $F_1 \circ H_0 = H_1$.

Finally, we shall need the following result, [9], 11.2.

1.5. **Theorem.** If $(A, A_0)$ is a compact pair and $f: A \to Q$ is a map such that $f|_{A_0}$ is a $\mathcal{Z}$-imbedding, then there is a $\mathcal{Z}$-imbedding $g: A \to Q$ which agrees with $f$ on $A_0$. Moreover, $g$ can be constructed arbitrarily close to $f$ and such that $g(A \setminus A_0)$ misses a pre-given $\sigma$-$\mathcal{Z}$-set in $Q$.

2. **$\mathbb{N}$-skeletons**

In this section we shall define the concept of an $\mathbb{N}$-skeleton, which is roughly speaking a decreasing sequence of skeletons with some absorption
property. In addition, we prove that \( \mathbb{N} \)-skeletons are "unique" up to homeomorphism.

Let \( X \) be a compact space. A \( \mathcal{L} \)-matrix in \( X \) is a collection \( \mathcal{A} = \{ A^m_n : n, m \in \mathbb{N} \} \) of \( Z \)-sets in \( X \) having the following properties:
1. \( A^0_n = 0 \) for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \),
2. \( A^m_n \subseteq A^m_{n+1} \) for all \( n, m \in \mathbb{N} \), and
3. \( A^{m+1}_n \subseteq A^n_m \) for all \( n, m \in \mathbb{N} \).

If \( \mathcal{A} = \{ A^m_n : n, m \in \mathbb{N} \} \) is a \( \mathcal{L} \)-matrix then for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) the union of the \( n \)th row of \( \mathcal{A} \) shall be denoted by \( \mathcal{A}(n) \), i.e.

\[
\mathcal{A}(n) = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A^m_n.
\]

Also, for all \( n, m \in \mathbb{N} \) put

\[
\mathcal{A}^n_m = A^m_n \cap \mathcal{A}(n+1).
\]

Observe that \( (A^m_n, \mathcal{A}^n_m) \) is a \( z \)-\( \sigma \)-pair. A decreasing collection

\[
Z_1 \supseteq S_1 \supseteq Z_2 \supseteq S_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq Z_n \supseteq S_n
\]

of subsets of \( X \) is called an \( n \)-sieve if
1. \( Z_i \in \mathcal{L}(X) \) for \( i \leq n \), and
2. \( S_i \in \mathcal{L}_\sigma(X) \) for \( i \leq n \).

We are now in a position to define the concept of an \( \mathbb{N} \)-skeleton. An \( \mathbb{N} \)-skeleton in \( X \) is a \( \mathcal{L} \)-matrix \( \mathcal{A} = \{ A^m_n : n, m \in \mathbb{N} \} \) having the following absorption property:

For every \( \varepsilon > 0 \), for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), for every decreasing sequence \( i_1 \geq \cdots \geq i_n \) of natural numbers and for every \( n \)-sieve \( Z_1 \supseteq S_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq Z_n \supseteq S_n \) in \( X \) such that for every \( k \leq n \),

\[
(A^k_{i_k}, \mathcal{A}^k_{i_k}) \leq (Z_k, S_k),
\]

there exist a homeomorphism \( h: X \to X \) and a decreasing sequence \( j_1 \geq \cdots \geq j_n \) of natural numbers such that
1. \( d(h, 1) < \varepsilon \),
2. \( \forall k \leq n \forall l \leq i_k : h(A^k_l) = A^k_l \),
3. \( \forall k \leq n : (h(Z_k), h(S_k)) \leq (A^k_{j_k}, \mathcal{A}^k_{j_k}) \).

Observe that by 2 many elements of the \( \mathbb{N} \)-skeleton are kept invariant under \( h \), but that \( h \) is nowhere required to be the identity.
2.1. **Lemma.** Let $X$ be a compact space and let $\mathcal{A} = \{A^m_n : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be an $\mathbb{N}$-skeleton in $X$. If $h : X \to X$ is homeomorphism then $h(\mathcal{A}) = \{h(A^m_n) : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is also an $\mathbb{N}$-skeleton.

**Proof.** Use that $h$ is uniformly continuous and that $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is invariant under homeomorphisms of $X$. \hfill \square

We now come to the main result in this section which we prove be a complexification of a standard back and forth technique, cf. [6], chapter 4.

2.2. **Theorem.** Let $X$ be a compact space and let $\mathcal{A} = \{A^m_n : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{B^m_n : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be $\mathbb{N}$-skeletons in $X$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a homeomorphism $h : X \to X$ having the following properties:

1. $d(h, 1) < \varepsilon$,
2. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}: h(\mathcal{A}(n)) = \mathcal{B}(n)$.

**Proof.** The homeomorphism $h$ will have the form $\lim_{m \to \infty} h_m \circ \cdots \circ h_1$ for some inductively constructed sequence $\{h_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ of homeomorphisms of $X$. From the construction it will be clear that each $h_m$ can be chosen arbitrarily close to the identity. So without further mentioning it is understood that each $h_m$ is chosen in accordance with the Inductive convergence criterion 1.1. If in addition we also make sure that $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} d(h_m, 1) < \varepsilon$, then in will follow that $d(h, 1) < \varepsilon$.

We shall prove that there exist

a) for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ a strictly increasing function $\xi_k : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ having the following properties:

A) $\xi_k(1) = 1$ and $\xi_k(2) = 2$,

B) $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}: \xi_1(m) \geq \xi_2(m - 1) \geq \cdots \geq \xi_m(1)$,

b) for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ a strictly increasing function $\eta_k : \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} \to \mathbb{N}$ having the following properties:

A) $\eta_k(2) = 1$,

B) $\forall m \geq 2: \eta_1(m) \geq \eta_2(m - 1) \geq \cdots \geq \eta_{m-1}(2)$,

c) a sequence $\{h_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ of homeomorphisms of $X$ with $h_1 = 1$ such that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ if we put $f_m = h_m \circ \cdots \circ h_1$, then

d) $\forall k \leq m \forall 2 \leq l \leq m - (k - 2): f_m(A^k_{\xi_k(l-1)}) \subseteq B^k_{\eta_k(l)}, f_m(A^k_{\xi_k(l)}) \subseteq f_m(A^k_{\xi_k(l)})$,

e) $\forall k \leq m: (B^k_{\eta_k(m-(k-2))}, \mathcal{A}^k_{\eta_k(m-(k-2))}) \subseteq (f_m(A^k_{\xi_k(m-(k-2))}), f_m(\mathcal{A}^k_{\xi_k(m-(k-2))})).$
Suppose for a moment that we proved statements a) through e). Put $h = \lim_{m \to \infty} h_m \circ \cdots \circ h_1$. By the above remarks, $h$ is a homeomorphism of $X$ onto itself such that $d(h, 1) < \varepsilon$. Fix $k \geq 1$ and $k \geq 2$. By d), for every $m \geq l + (k - 2)$ we have

$$f_m(A^k_{\xi_k(l-1)}) \subseteq B^k_{\eta_k(l)} \subseteq f_m(A^k_{\xi_k(l)})$$

The continuity of $h$ and the compactness of $X$ now easily imply that

$$h(A^k_{\xi_k(l-1)}) \subseteq B^k_{\eta_k(l)} \subseteq h(A^k_{\xi_k(l)})$$

(notice that $k$ and $l$ are fixed). Since $\xi$ and $\eta$ are strictly increasing, from this it now directly follows that

$$h(\mathcal{A}(k)) = \mathcal{B}(k) \text{ for every } k \in \mathbb{N},$$

i.e. $h$ is as required.

It remains to give a proof of the statements a) through e). We already know that

$$\xi_1(1) = 1, \quad \xi_1(2) = 2, \quad \eta_1(2) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad h_1 = 1.$$ 

Since $A^1_1 = B^1_1 = 0$, we also have

$$h_1(A^1_{\xi_1(1)}) \subseteq B^1_{\eta_1(2)} \subseteq h_1(A^1_{\xi_1(2)}),$$

and

$$(B^1_{\eta_1(2)}, B^1_{\eta_1(2)}) \subseteq (h_1(A^1_{\xi_1(2)}), h_1(\mathcal{A}^1_{\xi_1(2)})).$$

So now it is clear how to proceed inductively. Suppose that for certain $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we defined

f) $\forall k \leq m$ the function $\xi_k$ on the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, m - (k - 2)\}$ such that for every $i \leq m + 1$ we have

$$\xi_1(i) \geq \xi_2(i - 1) \geq \cdots \geq \xi_1(1),$$

g) $\forall k \leq m$ the function $\eta_k$ on the set $\{2, 3, \ldots, m - (k - 2)\}$ such that for every $2 \leq i \leq m + 1$ we have

$$\eta_1(i) \geq \eta_2(i - 1) \geq \cdots \geq \eta_{i-1}(2),$$

h) the homeomorphisms $\{h_1, \ldots, h_m\}$ such that if $f_m = h_m \circ \cdots \circ h_1$, then d) and e) hold.
Some remarks seem necessary. Among other things, we wish to construct a strictly increasing function $\xi_1: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$. By the statement "$\xi_1$ on the set \{1, 2, \ldots, m + 1\}" we mean that we already know the values of the function we are constructing on the set \{1, 2, \ldots, m + 1\}. So in fact we are dealing with a partial function, the domain of which is \{1, 2, \ldots, m + 1\}, which, for convenience, we shall also denote by $\xi_1$. At stage $m + 1$ of the construction we shall enlarge the domain of the partial function $\xi_1$. So if we discuss $\xi_1$ later on, the domain of $\xi_1$ should be taken into consideration. The same remark applies to all of the functions $\xi_k$ and $\eta_k$.

By \(\xi\) we have

$$\xi_1(m + 1) \geq \xi_2(m) \geq \cdots \geq \xi_m(2)$$

which implies, by the definition of an $\mathbb{N}$-skeleton, that

$$A^1_{\xi_1(m + 1)} \supseteq A^1_{\xi_1(m + 1)} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq A^m_{\xi_m(2)} \supseteq A^m_{\xi_m(2)}.$$ 

Consequently,

$$f_m(A^1_{\xi_1(m + 1)}) \supseteq f_m(A^1_{\xi_1(m + 1)}) \supseteq \cdots \supseteq f_m(A^m_{\xi_m(2)}) \supseteq f_m(A^m_{\xi_m(2)}).$$

is an $m$-sieve. From \(h\) it follows that this $m$-sieve and the natural numbers $\eta_1(m + 1), \ldots, \eta_m(2)$ are "admissible" as input for the $\mathbb{N}$-skeleton $\mathcal{B}$. So by the definition of an $\mathbb{N}$-skeleton there is a (small) homeomorphism $\alpha: X \to X$ and a sequence $i_1 \geq i_2 \geq \cdots \geq i_m$ of natural numbers such that

i) $\forall k \leq m \forall l \leq \eta_k(m - (k - 2)): \alpha(B^k_l) = B^k_l,$

j) $\forall k \leq m: (\alpha f_m(A^k_{\xi_1(m - (k - 2))}), \alpha f_m(A^k_{\xi_1(m - (k - 2))})) \subseteq (B^k_l, B^k_l).$

It is clear that we may assume that for every $k \leq m$ we have

$$\eta_k(m - (k - 2)) < i_k.$$ 

We are now in a position to define for each $k \leq m + 1$ the function $\eta_k$ in the point $(m + 1) - (k - 2)$, namely, we put

$$\eta_k((m + 1) - (k - 2)) = i_k \quad (k \leq m),$$

$$\eta_{m + 1}(2) = 1.$$ 

Now consider the natural numbers $\xi_1(m + 1) \geq \cdots \geq \xi_m(2)$ and the $m$-sieve

$$B^1_{\eta_1(m + 2)} \supseteq B^1_{\eta_1(m + 2)} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq B^m_{\eta_1(m + 2)} \supseteq B^m_{\eta_1(m + 2)}.$$
By j), these are admissible as input for the \( \mathbb{N} \)-skeleton

\[
\alpha f_m(\mathcal{A}) = \{\alpha f_m(A^i_j) : i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}
\]

(LEMMA 2.1). Consequently, there exist a (small) homeomorphism \( \beta : X \to X \) and a sequence of natural numbers \( \varepsilon_1 \geq \varepsilon_2 \geq \cdots \geq \varepsilon_m \) such that

k) \( \forall k \leq m \forall l \leq \xi_k(m - (k - 2)) : \beta \alpha f_m(A^k_l) = \alpha f_m(A^k_l) \),

l) \( \forall k \leq m : (\beta(B^k_{n_k(m - (k - 3))}), \beta(B^k_{n_k(m - (k - 3))})) \leq (\alpha f_m(A^k_{\xi_k}), \alpha f_m(\mathcal{A}^k_{\xi_k})) \).

It is clear that for every \( k \leq m \) we may assume that

\[\xi_k(m - (k - 2)) < \varepsilon_k.\]

We are now in a position to define for each \( k \leq m + 1 \) the function \( \xi_k \) in the point \( (m + 1) - (k - 2) \), namely, we put

\[\xi_k((m + 1) - (k - 2)) = \varepsilon_k \quad (k \leq m),\]

\[\xi_{m+1}(2) = 2.\]

\[\xi_{m+1}(1) = 1.\]

Also, define \( h_{m+1} = \beta^{-1} \circ \alpha \). We claim that our choices are as required.

Claim 1. \( \forall k \leq m + 1 \forall 2 \leq l \leq (m + 1) - (k - 2) : f_{m+1}(A^k_{\xi_k(l-1)}) \subseteq B^k_{n_k(l)} \subseteq f_{m+1}(A^k_{\xi_k(l)}). \)

Indeed, fix \( k \leq m + 1 \) and \( 2 \leq l \leq (m + 1) - (k - 2) \), arbitrarily.

Case 1. \( k \leq m \) and \( 2 \leq l \leq m - (k - 2) \).

If \( l = 2 \) then \( f_{m+1}(A^k_{\xi_k(l-1)}) = B^k_{n_k(l)} = \emptyset \), so then there is nothing to prove. So assume that \( l > 2 \). Then

\[f_{m+1}(A^k_{\xi_k(l-1)}) = \beta^{-1} \alpha f_m(A^k_{\xi_k(l-1)}) = \alpha f_m(A^k_{\xi_k(l-1)}) \subseteq \alpha f_m(A^k_{\xi_k(l)}) \subseteq B^k_{n_k(l)} = B^k_{n_k(l)},\]

and

\[B^k_{n_k(l)} \subseteq \alpha f_m(A^k_{\xi_k(l)}) = \beta^{-1} \alpha f_m(A^k_{\xi_k(l)}) = f_{m+1}(A^k_{\xi_k(l)}).\]
Case 2. \( k = m + 1 \).

Then \( l = 2 \). Since \( A_{m+1}^{m+1} = A_{1}^{m+1} = \emptyset \) and \( B_{m+1}^{m+1} = \emptyset \), there is nothing to prove.

Case 3. \( k \leq m \) and \( l = (m + 1) - (k - 2) = m - k + 3 \).

Then \( f_{m+1}(A_{m}^{k}(m-k+2)) = \beta^{-1} \alpha f_{m}(A_{m}^{k}(m-k+2)) = \alpha f_{m}(A_{m}^{k}(m-k+2)) \subseteq B_{m}^{k}(m-k+3) \),
and by (i), \( B_{m}^{k}(m-k+3) \subseteq \beta^{-1} \alpha f_{m}(A_{m}^{k}(m-k+3)) = f_{m+1}(A_{m}^{k}(m-k+3)) \).

Claim 2. \( \forall k \leq m + 1: (B_{m}^{k}(m-k+3), B_{m}^{k}(m-k+3)) \leq (f_{m+1}(A_{m}^{k}(m-k+3)), f_{m+1}(A_{m}^{k}(m-k+3))) \).

Indeed, if \( k = m + 1 \) then \( B_{m}^{k}(m-k+3) = 0 \), so assume that \( k \leq m \). Now the claim follows directly from (i).

This completes the inductive construction and therefore also the proof of the theorem. \( \square \)

Let \( \mathcal{A} = \{A_{m}^{n}: n, m \in \mathbb{N}\} \) be a \( \mathcal{D} \)-matrix in the compact space \( X \). Define the kernel, \( \text{ker} (\mathcal{A}) \), of \( \mathcal{A} \) by

\[
\text{ker} (\mathcal{A}) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_{m}^{n}.
\]

2.3. COROLLARY. Let \( X \) be a compact space and let \( \mathcal{A} \) and \( \mathcal{B} \) be \( \mathbb{N} \)-skeletons in \( X \). Then for each \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there is a homeomorphism \( h: X \to X \) such that

1) \( d(h, 1) < \varepsilon \),
2) \( h (\text{ker} (\mathcal{A})) = \text{ker} (\mathcal{B}) \). \( \square \)

Observe that nowhere in this section we used specific properties of \( Z \)-sets, except that \( \mathcal{D}(X) \) is a topological class of compacta, i.e. if \( h: X \to X \) is any homeomorphism then \( h(\mathcal{D}(X)) = \mathcal{D}(X) \). So we could have stated our results in a more general form.

3. \( \mathcal{D} \)-matrices

In this section we shall define the concept of a \( \mathcal{D} \)-matrix in \( Q \) and we shall prove that each \( \mathcal{D} \)-matrix is an \( \mathbb{N} \)-skeleton.

Let \( \mathcal{A} = \{A_{m}^{n}: n, m \in \mathbb{N}\} \) be a \( \mathcal{D} \)-matrix in some compact space \( X \).
By a $T$-set for $A$ we shall mean a $Z$-set $B \subseteq X$ having the following property:

$$\forall n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_m \in \mathbb{N} \ \forall i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ we have}$$

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^{m} A_{i_k}^{n_k} \notin B \Rightarrow \bigcap_{k=1}^{m} A_{i_k}^{n_k} \cap B \in \mathcal{F} \left( \bigcap_{k=1}^{m} A_{i_k}^{n_k} \right).$$

Let $\mathcal{T}(A)$ denote the collection of all $T$-sets for $A$.

The proof of the following lemma is straightforward and is left to the reader.

3.1. LEMMA. Let $X$ be a compact space and let $A = \{A^n_m: n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a $\mathcal{F}$-matrix in $X$. Then $\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}(A)$ and

1. if $E, F \in \mathcal{T}(A)$ then $E \cap F \in \mathcal{T}(A)$,
2. if $E, F \in \mathcal{T}(A)$ then $E \cup F \in \mathcal{T}(A)$.

A $\mathcal{Q}$-matrix in $Q$ is a $\mathcal{F}$-matrix $A = \{A^n_m: n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ having the following properties:

1. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}: \{A^n_m\}_{m \geq 1}$ is a skeleton,
2. $\forall n_1 < \cdots < n_m \in \mathbb{N} \ \forall i_1, \ldots, i_m \in \mathbb{N} \ \forall \{1\} : \bigcap_{k=1}^{m} A^{n_k}_{i_k} \approx Q,$
3. $\forall n_1 < \cdots < n_m \in \mathbb{N} \ \forall i_1, \ldots, i_m \in \mathbb{N} \ \forall \{1\} \ \forall p \in \mathbb{N}: \{\bigcap_{k=1}^{m} A^{n_k}_{i_k} \cap A^{m+p}_{i_k}\}_{i_k \geq 1}$ is a skeleton in $\bigcap_{k=1}^{m} A^{n_k}_{i_k}$,
4. $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}: A^n_m \in \mathcal{T}(A)$.

In the remaining part of this section we shall prove that every $\mathcal{Q}$-matrix is an $\mathbb{N}$-skeleton. This result is our main tool in recognizing $\mathbb{N}$-skeletons.

3.2. LEMMA. Let $A = \{A^n_m: n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a $\mathcal{Q}$-matrix in $Q$. Then

1. $\forall n_1 < \cdots < n_m \in \mathbb{N} \ \forall i_1, \ldots, i_m \in \mathbb{N} \ \forall \{1\} : \mathcal{B} = \{\bigcap_{k=1}^{m} A^{n_k}_{i_k} \cap A^{m+i}_{i_k}: i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a $\mathcal{Q}$-matrix in $\bigcap_{k=1}^{m} A^{n_k}_{i_k}$.
2. $\forall p \geq 0: \{A^{m+p}_{n}: n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a $\mathcal{Q}$-matrix.

Proof. Observe that 2 is a triviality and 1 follows from the definitions and lemma 3.1. \qed

3.3. LEMMA. Let $A = \{A^n_m: n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a $\mathcal{Q}$-matrix in $Q$. Then $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \forall 1$-sieve $Z_1 \supseteq S_1 \ \forall T \in \mathcal{F}(Q) \ \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

1. $\exists p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A^1_q \cap T \in \mathcal{F}(A^1_q)$ for every $q \geq p$,
2. $(Z_1 \cap T, S_1 \cap T) \leq (A^m_m, A^m_m)$, there exist a homeomorphism $h: Q \to Q$ and an element $\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that
3. $d(h(1), \varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ and $h|T = 1$,
4. $(h(Z_1), h(S_1)) \leq (A^\varepsilon_m, A^\varepsilon_m)$. 

Proof. Observe that 2 is a triviality and 1 follows from the definitions and lemma 3.1. \qed
Proof. Since \( \{ A_p : p \in \mathbb{N} \} \) is a skeleton in \( Q \) there exist \( t \geq m + p \) and an imbedding \( u: Z_1 \to A^1_t \) such that \( u|Z_1 \cap A^1_m = 1 \) and \( d(u, 1) < \varepsilon/3 \). Since \( \mathcal{A}^1_t \cup (T \cap A^1_t) \) is a \( \sigma \)-\( Z \)-set in \( A^1_t \) we may use Theorem 1.5 to get an imbedding \( v: Z_1 \to A^1_t \) such that \( d(v, u) < \varepsilon/3, v|T \cap Z_1 = u|T \cap Z_1 (= 1) \) and \( v(Z_1 \setminus T) \subseteq A^1_t \setminus \mathcal{A}^1_t \setminus T \). By Theorem 1.4 we may extend \( v \cup 1_T \) to a homeomorphism \( \tilde{v} \) of \( Q \) such that \( d(\tilde{v}, 1) < 2\varepsilon/3 \). Since \( \mathcal{A}^1_t \) is a skeletoid in \( A^1_t \) there exists a homeomorphism \( w \) of \( A^1_t \) such that \( w|A^1_t \cap T = 1 \) and \( w((\mathcal{A}^1_t \cup v(S_1)) \setminus T) = \mathcal{A}^1_t \setminus T \); we may require \( w \) to be so close to \( 1 \) as to assure the existence of a homeomorphism \( \tilde{w} \) of \( Q \) that extends \( w \cup 1_T \) and satisfies \( d(\tilde{w}, 1) < \varepsilon/3 \), see Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. We put \( h = \tilde{w} \circ \tilde{v} \); then by abuse of notation,

\[
d(h, 1) < \varepsilon, \quad (h(Z_1 \setminus T), h(S_1 \setminus T)) \leq (A^1_t, \mathcal{A}^1_t) \quad \text{and} \quad h|T = 1.
\]

Thus \( 2 \) and \( t \geq m \) show that \( h \) and \( \tilde{m} = t \) have the desired properties. \( \square \)

This Lemma has some nontrivial consequences.

3.4. Lemma. Let \( \mathcal{A} = \{ A^p_n : n, m \in \mathbb{N} \} \) be a \( 2 \)-matrix in \( Q \). Then \( \forall \varepsilon > 0 \) \( \forall m \in \mathbb{N} \exists \delta > 0 \forall 1\)-sieve \( Z_1 \supseteq S_1 \) with

\[
(A^1_m, \mathcal{A}^1_m) \leq (Z_1, S_1), \tag{1}
\]

\[\forall T \in \mathcal{T} (\mathcal{A}) \ \forall \text{homeomorphism } h: T \to T \ \forall p \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that} \]

\[
\forall i \leq m: h(A^1_i \cap T) = A^1_i \cap T \quad \text{and} \quad h(\mathcal{A}^1_i \cap T) = \mathcal{A}^1_i \cap T, \tag{2}
\]

\[
d(h, 1) < \delta, \tag{3}
\]

\[
((h(Z_1 \cap T), h(S_1 \cap T)) \leq (A^1_p, \mathcal{A}^1_p), \tag{4}
\]

there exist a homeomorphism \( H: Q \to Q \) and an \( \tilde{m} \in \mathbb{N} \) such that

\[
\forall i \leq m: H(A^1_i) = A^1_i \quad \text{and} \quad H(\mathcal{A}^1_i) = \mathcal{A}^1_i, \tag{5}
\]

\[
d(H, 1) < \varepsilon, \tag{6}
\]

\[
(H(Z_1), H(S_1)) \leq (A^1_m, \mathcal{A}^1_m), \tag{7}
\]

\[H|T = h. \tag{8}\]
Proof. Let \( \delta > 0 \). If \( m = 1 \) put \( \delta = \varepsilon \). If \( m > 1 \) let \( 0 < \delta = \delta_2 < \delta_3 < \cdots < \delta_{m+1} = \varepsilon \) be so small that

\[
(*) \quad \forall 2 \leq i \leq m \quad \forall Z, Z' \in \mathcal{Z}(A_i) \quad \forall \text{homeomorphism } f: Z \to Z' \text{ with } d(f, 1) < \delta_i \text{ there exists a homeomorphism } \tilde{f}: A_i \to A_i \text{ extending } f \text{ with } d(\tilde{f}, 1) < \frac{1}{2}\delta_{i+1}.
\]

That these \( \delta \)'s exist follows directly from Theorem 1.4. We claim that \( \delta \) is as required. Let \( Z_i \trianglelefteq S_i \) be a 1-sieve and choose an element \( T \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}) \), a homeomorphism \( h: T \to T \) and a natural number \( p \) satisfying (1) through (4). By induction we shall prove that for every \( i \leq m \) there exists a homeomorphism \( h_i: A_i \cup T \to A_i \cup T \) such that

\[
d(h_i, 1) < \delta_{i+1},
\]

\[
\forall j \leq i: h_i(A_j) = A_j \quad \text{and} \quad h_i(\mathcal{A}_j) = \mathcal{A}_j,
\]

\[
h_i|T = h \quad \text{and} \quad h_i(x) = h_{i-1}(x) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in A_{i-1}.
\]

Put \( h_1 = h \) (if \( m = 1 \) we are done already). Suppose that for \( i - 1 < m \) the homeomorphism \( h_{i-1} \) has been constructed. If \( A_i \subseteq T \cup A_{i-1} \) then define \( h_i = h_{i-1} \). If \( A_i \not\subseteq T \cup A_{i-1} \) then \( A_i \cap (T \cup A_{i-1}) \in \mathcal{Z}(A_i) \) (Lemma 3.1) from which follows that

\[
E = A_{i-1} \cup (A_i \cap T) \in \mathcal{Z}(A_i).
\]

By (2) and (10) we have \( h_{i-1}(E) = E \) and since \( d(h_{i-1}, 1) < \delta_i \), by (*) we can extend \( h_{i-1}|E \) to a homeomorphism \( \alpha: A_i \to A_i \) with \( d(\alpha, 1) < \frac{1}{2}\delta_{i+1} \).

Since \( \alpha(\mathcal{A}_i) \) and \( \mathcal{A}_i \) are both skeletoids for \( A_i \), by Theorem 1.3 there is a homeomorphism \( \beta: A_i \to A_i \) such that

\[
d(\beta, 1) < \frac{1}{2}\delta_{i+1},
\]

\[
\beta|E = 1,
\]

\[
\beta|\mathcal{A}_i\setminus E = \mathcal{A}_i\setminus E.
\]

Define \( h_i: A_i \cup T \to A_i \cup T \) by

\[
\begin{cases}
 h_i(x) = h(x) & (x \in T), \\
 h_i(x) = \beta\alpha(x) & (x \in A_i) .
\end{cases}
\]
Then $h_i$ is a homeomorphism such that

$$ i_d(h_i, 1) < \delta_{i+1}, \quad (15) $$

$$ \forall j \leq i: h_i(A^1_j) = A^1_j \quad \text{and} \quad h_i(\mathcal{A}^1_j) = \mathcal{A}^1_j, \quad (16) $$

$$ \forall h_i[T = h. \quad (17) $$

It is clear that for this we only need to verify that $h_i(\mathcal{A}^1) = \mathcal{A}^1_i$. By (14) this follows from $h_i(\mathcal{A}^1_i \cap E) = \mathcal{A}^1_i \cap E$, which is a consequence of (10), (2) and (11).

Let $F = h_m$; Then $d(F, 1) < \epsilon$ and by Theorem 1.4 we may extend $F$ to a homeomorphism $\bar{F}: Q \to Q$ with $d(\bar{F}, 1) < \epsilon$ (the straight-line homotopy in $Q$ between $1_{\mathcal{A}^1}$ and $F$ is "\(\epsilon\)-small", so the existence of $\bar{F}$ follows directly from Theorem 1.4).

Let $\bar{Z}_1 = \bar{F}(Z_1)$ and $\bar{S}_1 = \bar{F}(S_1)$, respectively. Then

$$ (A^1_m, \mathcal{A}^1_m) \leq (\bar{Z}_1, \bar{S}_1), \quad (18) $$

and

$$ (\bar{Z}_1 \cap T, \bar{S}_1 \cap T) \leq (A^1_p, \mathcal{A}^1_p). \quad (19) $$

Observe that (18) follows directly from (1) and (16). Since $\bar{F}$ extends $F$ and by (17) $F$ extends $h$, (19) follows from (4). Now put $\bar{T} = T \cup A^1_m$. We shall prove that for $q = \max(p, m)$ we have

$$ (\bar{Z}_1 \cap \bar{T}, \bar{S}_1 \cap \bar{T}) \leq (A^1_q, \mathcal{A}^1_q). \quad (20) $$

This is a triviality since by (18) and (19), $\bar{Z}_1 \cap \bar{T} = \bar{Z}_1 \cap (T \cup A^1_m) = (\bar{Z}_1 \cap T) \cup A^1_m \leq A^1_q$. Moreover,

$$ \mathcal{A}^1_q \cap \bar{Z}_1 \cap \bar{T} = (\mathcal{A}^1_q \cap (\bar{Z}_1 \cap T)) \cup (\mathcal{A}^1_q \cap A^1_m) $$

$$ = (\bar{S}_1 \cap T) \cup \mathcal{A}^1_m $$

$$ = (\bar{S}_1 \cap T) \cup (\bar{S}_1 \cap A^1_m) $$

$$ = \bar{S}_1 \cap \bar{T}. $$

By Lemma 3.3, there are a homeomorphism $\alpha: Q \to Q$ and an element
\( \bar{m} \in \mathbb{N} \) such that

\[
d(x, 1) < \varepsilon - d(F, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha|T = 1,
\]

\((\alpha(\bar{Z}_i), \alpha(\bar{S}_i)) \leq (\mathscr{A}_m^1, \mathscr{A}_m^1).\) \hfill (22)

It is easy to see that \( H = \alpha \circ F \) is as required. \( \square \)

Let us now consider the following statement, where \( \mathscr{A} \) is a 2-matrix.

\[
S(\mathscr{A}, i_1 \geq \cdots \geq i_n, \varepsilon): \exists \delta > 0 \ \forall n \text{-sieve } Z_1 \supseteq S_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq Z_n \supseteq S_n \text{ such that}
\]

1. \( \forall k \leq n: (A_{ik}^k, \mathscr{A}_{ik}^k) \leq (Z_k, S_k), \forall T \in \mathcal{T}(\mathscr{A}) \forall \text{homeomorphism } h: T \to T \quad \forall \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with} \)

2. \( d(h, 1) < \delta, \)

3. \( \forall k \leq n \forall l \leq i_k: h(A_{kl}^k \cap T) = A_{kl}^k \cap T \text{ and } h(\mathscr{A}_{kl}^k \cap T) = \mathscr{A}_{kl}^k \cap T, \)

4. \( \forall k \leq n: (h(Z_k \cap T), h(S_k \cap T)) \leq (A_{\xi_k}^k, \mathscr{A}_{\xi_k}^k), \) there exist a homeomorphism \( H: Q \to Q \) and elements \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with} \)

5. \( d(H, 1) < \varepsilon \text{ and } H|T = h, \)

6. \( \forall k \leq n \forall l \leq i_k: H(A_{kl}^k) = A_{kl}^k \text{ and } H(\mathscr{A}_{kl}^k) = \mathscr{A}_{kl}^k, \)

7. \( \forall k \leq n: (H(Z_k), H(S_k)) \leq (A_{\xi_k}^k, \mathscr{A}_{\xi_k}^k). \)

The number \( \delta \) shall also be denoted by \( \delta(\mathscr{A}, i_1 \ldots i_n, \varepsilon). \)

3.5. Lemma. \( \forall 2 \text{-matrix } \mathscr{A} \forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \ \forall \text{decreasing sequence } i_1 \geq \cdots \geq i_n: S(\mathscr{A}, i_1 \geq \cdots \geq i_n, \varepsilon). \)

\textbf{Proof.} For \( n = 1, \) simply apply Lemma 3.4. Suppose therefore that \( S(\mathscr{A}, k_1 \geq \cdots \geq k_i, \varepsilon) \) is true for every 2-matrix \( \mathscr{A}, \) for every \( \varepsilon > 0, \) for every \( i \leq n - 1 \) \((n \geq 2)\) and for every decreasing sequence \( k_1 \geq \cdots \geq k_i \) of natural numbers.

Let \( \mathscr{A} = \{A_m^i: n, m \in \mathbb{N}\} \) be a 2-matrix, \( \varepsilon > 0, i_1 \geq \cdots \geq i_n, \) \( Z_1 \supseteq S_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq Z_n \supseteq S_n, T \in \mathcal{T}(\mathscr{A}), h: T \to T \) and \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \in \mathbb{N} \) be as in the definition of \( S(\mathscr{A}, i_1 \geq \cdots \geq i_n, \varepsilon) \) (we shall specify \( \delta \) later of course). For every \( 2 \leq k \leq i_1 \) let \( \mathcal{B}_k = \{A_k^1 \cap A_{m}^{i_1}: n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}. \) Then \( \mathcal{B}_k \) is a 2-matrix in the Hilbert cube \( A_k^1, \) Lemma 3.2. In addition, put \( \mathcal{E} = \{A_m^{i_1}: n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}. \) Then \( \mathcal{E} \) is a 2-matrix, Lemma 3.2. Let \( \gamma = \delta(\mathcal{E}, i_2 \geq \cdots \geq i_n, \varepsilon). \) Applying our induction hypothesis, by downward induction it is easy to find for every
It will be convenient to write $\delta(i_1 + 1) = \gamma$. We have to find a $\delta > 0$ witnessing $S(\mathcal{A}, i_1 \geq \cdots \geq i_n, \varepsilon)$. We put

$$\delta = \delta(2)$$

and we shall show that $\delta$ is as required.

For every $k \leq i_1$ we shall construct a homeomorphism $h_k : A_k^l \to A_k^l$ such that

$$h_k|(A_k^l \cap T) = h|(A_k^l \cap T),$$

$$d(h_k, 1) < \delta(k + 1),$$

if $l \leq k$ then $h_k$ extends $h_l$,

$$\forall x \leq n \forall y \leq i_x : h_k(A_y^x \cap A_k^l) = A_y^x \cap A_k^l$$

and

$$h_k(\mathcal{A}_y^x \cap A_k^l) = \mathcal{A}_y^x \cap A_k^l,$$

there are natural numbers $\eta_2, \ldots, \eta_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $2 \leq l \leq n$ we have $(h_k(Z_l \cap A_k^l), h_k(S_l \cap A_k^l)) \leq (A_n^l, \mathcal{A}_n^l)$.}

Since $A_1^l = 0$ we let $h_1$ be the empty function. Then obviously (4), through (8), are satisfied. Suppose therefore that for some $k \leq i_1(k \geq 1)$ the homeomorphism $h_{k-1}$ has been defined properly.

Put $E = A_k^{l-1} \cup (T \cap A_k^l)$ and define $\varphi : E \to E$ by $\varphi = h \cup h_{k-1}$; by (4)$_{k-1} \varphi$ is a well-defined homeomorphism.

**Claim 1.** $d(\varphi, 1) < \delta(k)$ and if $l \leq k - 1$ then $\varphi$ extends $h_l$.

This follows directly from (3), (5)$_{k-1}$ and (6)$_{k-1}$.

**Claim 2.** $\forall 2 \leq x \leq n \forall y \leq i_x : \varphi(A_y^x \cap E) = A_y^x \cap E$ and $\varphi(\mathcal{A}_y^x \cap E) = \mathcal{A}_y^x \cap E$.
This follows from \((7)_{k-1}\) and \((3)\) in the definition of \(S(\mathcal{A}, i_1 \geq \cdots \geq i_n, \varepsilon)\).

**Claim 3.** There exist \(\gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_n \in \mathbb{N}\) such that for every \(2 \leq x \leq n\) we have 
\[
(\varphi(Z_x \cap E), \varphi(S_x \cap E)) \leq (A_{ix}^x \cap A_k^x, \mathcal{A}_{ix}^x \cap A_k^x).
\]
This follows from \((8)_{k-1}\) and \((4)\) in the definition of \(S(\mathcal{A}, i_1 \geq \cdots \geq i_n, \varepsilon)\).

**Claim 4.** \(\varphi(B_k(1) \cap E) = B_k(1) \cap E.\)

First observe that \(B_k(1) = \mathcal{A}_k^1\) and \(B_k(1) \cap E = \mathcal{A}^1_{k-1} \cup (\mathcal{A}_k^1 \cap T)\). Consequently, the claim follows from \((7)_{k-1}\) and \((3)\) in the definition of \(S(\mathcal{A}, i_1 \geq \cdots \geq i_n, \varepsilon)\).

**Case 1.** \(A_k^1 \subseteq T \cup A_{k-1}^1.\)

Then \(A_k^1 = A_k^1 \cap (T \cup A_{k-1}^1) = (A_k^1 \cap T) \cup A_{k-1}^1 = E.\) Define \(h_k = \varphi.\) The easy proof that \(h_k\) and the numbers \(\gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_n \in \mathbb{N}\) found in Claim 3 are as required, is left to the reader.

**Case 2.** \(A_k^1 \not\subseteq T \cup A_{k-1}^1.\)

**Claim 5.** \(E \in \mathcal{F}(B_k).\)

By Lemma 3.1, \(E \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})\) so the claim follows from our definitions.

**Claim 6.** \(Z_2 \cap A_k^1 \supseteq S_2 \cap A_k^1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq S_n \cap A_k^1\) is an \((n - 1)\) sieve in the Hilbert cube \(A_k^1\) such that
a) \(\forall 2 \leq x \leq n:\ (A_{ix}^x \cap A_k^x, \mathcal{A}_{ix}^x \cap A_k^x) \leq (Z_x \cap A_k^1, S_x \cap A_k^1),\)
b) \(Z_2 \cap A_k^1 \leq B_k(1) = \mathcal{A}_k^1.\)

Notice that b) implies that \(Z_2 \cap A_k^1 \in \mathcal{F}(A_k^1)\) since \(\mathcal{A}_k^1\) is a skeletoid in \(A_k^1\). In addition, a) follows directly from \((1)\) in the definition of \(S(\mathcal{A}, i_1 \geq \cdots \geq i_n, \varepsilon)\). Since \(Z_2 \subseteq S_1\) we also have by \((1)\) in the definition of \(S(\mathcal{A}, i_1 \geq \cdots \geq i_n, \varepsilon)\),
\[
Z_2 \cap A_k^1 \subseteq S_1 \cap A_{i_1}^1 \cap A_k^1 = \mathcal{A}_{i_1}^1 \cap A_k^1 = \mathcal{A}_k^1 = B_k(1).
\]
By Claims 1, 2, 3, 5 and 6 and by $S(B_k, i_2 \cdots i_n, \delta(k + 1))$ there exist a homeomorphism $f: A^l_k \to A^l_k$ and elements $\bar{\eta}_2, \ldots, \bar{\eta}_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d(f, 1) < \delta(k + 1) \quad \text{and} \quad f|E = \varphi,$$

(9)

$$\forall 2 \leq x \leq n \forall y \leq i_x : f(A^l_k \cap A^x_y) = A^l_k \cap A^x_y$$

and

$$f(A^l_k \cap \mathcal{A}^x_y) = A^l_k \cap \mathcal{A}^x_y,$$

(10)

$$\forall 2 \leq x \leq n : (f(Z_x \cap A^l_k), f(S_x \cap A^l_k)) \subseteq (A^x_{\bar{\eta}_x} \cap A^l_k, \mathcal{A}^x_{\bar{\eta}_x} \cap A^l_k).$$

(11)

Let $F = f(Z_2 \cap A^l_k) \cup E$. Since both $\mathcal{A}^l_k$ and $f(\mathcal{A}^l_k)$ are skeletoids for $A^l_k$ there exists by Theorem 1.3 a homeomorphism $x: A^l_k \to A^l_k$ such that

$$d(x, 1) < \delta(k + 1) - d(f, 1),$$

(12)

$$x|F = 1,$$

(13)

$$xf(\mathcal{A}^l_k)\backslash F = \mathcal{A}^l_k\backslash F.$$  

(14)

Put $h_k = x \circ f$. We claim that $h_k$ and the elements $\bar{\eta}_2, \ldots, \bar{\eta}_n$ are as required.

That (4)$_k$, (5)$_k$ (6)$_k$ and (8)$_k$ hold is a triviality (use that $E = (T \cap A^l_k) \cup A^{l-1}_k$ and (9)–(13)). Take $2 \leq x \leq n$ ad $y \leq i_x$ arbitrarily. By (10), $f(A^l_k \cap A^x_y) = A^l_k \cap A^x_y$ and $f(A^l_k \cap \mathcal{A}^x_y) = A^l_k \cap \mathcal{A}^x_y$. Since

$$A^x_y \subseteq A^{l,x}_y \subseteq Z_2 \quad \text{and} \quad x|f(Z_2 \cap A^l_k) = 1$$

we conclude that

$$h_k(A^l_k \cap A^x_y) = A^l_k \cap A^x_y \quad \text{and} \quad h_k(A^l_k \cap \mathcal{A}^x_y) = A^l_k \cap \mathcal{A}^x_y.$$

Now take $l \leq i_1$ arbitrarily. If $l < k$ then $h_k(A^l_1 \cap A^l_k) = h_k(A^l_1) = A^l_1 = A^l_1 \cap A^l_k$ since $h_k$ extends $h_l$. If $k \leq l$ then $h_k(A^l_1 \cap A^l_k) = h_k(A^l_k) = A^l_k = A^l_1 \cap A^l_k$. Again, assume that $l < k$. Then $h_k(\mathcal{A}^l_1 \cap A^l_k) = h_k(\mathcal{A}^l_1) = h_l(\mathcal{A}^l_1) = \mathcal{A}^l_1 = \mathcal{A}^l_1 \cap A^l_k$ by (6)$_k$. If $k \leq l$ then $h_k(\mathcal{A}^l_1 \cap A^l_k) = h_k(\mathcal{A}^l_1) = \mathcal{A}^l_1 = \mathcal{A}^l_1 \cap A^l_k$ in case ($\ast$) holds. This is shown in the following:

Claim 7. $h_k(\mathcal{A}^l_1) = \mathcal{A}^l_1$. 

Indeed, by (14) and (13) we have
\[ h_k(\mathcal{A}_k^l \cap F) = f(\mathcal{A}_k^l) \cap (f(Z_2 \cap A_1^k) \cup E) \]
\[ = f(\mathcal{A}_k^l) \cap E \cup (f(\mathcal{A}_k^l \cap Z_2 \cap A_1^k)) \quad \text{(by (9))} \]
\[ = \varphi(\mathcal{A}_k^l \cap E) \cup (f(\mathcal{A}_k^l \cap Z_2 \cap A_1^k)) \quad \text{(by Claims 4 and 6(b))} \]
\[ = (\mathcal{A}_k^l \cap E) \cup f(Z_2 \cap A_1^k). \]

By (11), \( f(Z_2 \cap A_1^k) \subseteq A_1^k \cap A_1^k \subseteq \mathcal{A}_k^l \). From this we conclude that
\[ h_k(\mathcal{A}_k^l \cap F) = (\mathcal{A}_k^l \cap E) \cup (\mathcal{A}_k^l \cap f(Z_2 \cap A_1^k)) = \mathcal{A}_k^l \cap F. \]

Thus the claim follows from (14) and (13).

Now let \( f = h_{i_1} \cup h \) and \( \tilde{T} = A_{i_1}^l \cup T \). By (4), \( f: \tilde{T} \to \tilde{T} \) is a homeomorphism. Moreover, \( f \) has clearly the following properties:
\[ d(f, 1) < \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad f|T = h, \quad (15) \]
\[ \forall x \leq n \quad \forall y \leq i_x: f(A_y^x) = A_y^x \quad \text{and} \quad f(\mathcal{A}_y^x) = \mathcal{A}_y^x, \quad (16) \]
\[ \text{there are natural numbers } \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that for every } 2 \leq l \leq n \text{ we have } (f(Z_l \cap \tilde{T}), f(S_l \cap \tilde{T})) \leq (A_{i_1}^l, \mathcal{A}_{i_1}^l). \quad (17) \]

(For (17) observe that if \((A, B) \leq (E, F)\) and \((C, D) \leq (E, F)\) then \((A \cap C, B \cap D) \leq (E, F)\)). Now consider the \( 2 \)-matrix \( \mathcal{E} = \{ A_{m+1}^n : n, m \in \mathbb{N} \} \). Clearly \( \tilde{T} \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{E}) \). By \( S(\mathcal{E}, i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_n, \varepsilon) \) there exist a homeomorphism \( \tilde{f}: Q \to Q \) and elements \( \tilde{\xi}_2, \ldots, \tilde{\xi}_n \in \mathbb{N} \) such that
\[ d(\tilde{f}, 1) < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{f}|\tilde{T} = f, \quad (18) \]
\[ \forall 2 \leq k \leq n: (\tilde{f}(Z_k), \tilde{f}(S_k)) \leq (A_{i_k}^k, \mathcal{A}_{i_k}^k). \quad (19) \]

Now put \( T^* = \tilde{T} \cup f(Z_2) \).

**Claim 8.** \( \exists p \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( T^* \cap A_q^l \in \mathcal{X}(A_q^l) \) for every \( q \geq p \).

This follows from (19) and Lemma 3.1 (notice that \( T \cup A_{i_2}^l \in \mathcal{X}(Q) \), hence is nowhere dense, and \( \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i_m}^l \) is dense in \( Q \)).
Claim 9. \( \exists m \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \((\mathcal{f}(Z_1) \cap T^*, \mathcal{f}(S_1) \cap T^*) \leq (A^1_m, \mathcal{A}^1_m)\).

Indeed, let \( m = \max (\xi_2, \xi_1, i) \). Then

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{f}(Z_1) \cap T^* &= (\mathcal{f}(Z_1) \cap T) \cup \mathcal{f}(Z_2) \\
&= (\mathcal{f}(Z_1) \cap A^1_i) \cup (\mathcal{f}(Z_1) \cap T) \cup \mathcal{f}(Z_2) \\
&\subseteq A^1_i \cup A^1_{i_1} \cup A^2_{i_2} \subseteq A^1_m.
\end{align*}
\]

In addition,

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}^1_m \cap \mathcal{f}(Z_1) \cap T^* &= (\mathcal{A}^1_m \cap \mathcal{f}(Z_1) \cap A^1_i) \cup (\mathcal{A}^1_m \cap \mathcal{f}(Z_1) \cap T) \\
&\quad \cup (\mathcal{A}^1_m \cap \mathcal{f}(Z_2)) \quad \text{(by (16) and (15))} \\
&= (\mathcal{A}^1_m \cap \mathcal{f}(Z_1 \cap A^1_i)) \cup (\mathcal{A}^1_m \cap h(Z_1 \cap T)) \cup \mathcal{f}(Z_2) \\
&= (\mathcal{A}^1_m \cap \mathcal{f}(A^1_i)) \cup h(S_1 \cap T) \cup \mathcal{f}(Z_2) \quad \text{(by (16))} \\
&= \mathcal{A}^1_i \cup h(S_1 \cap T) \cup \mathcal{f}(Z_2).
\end{align*}
\]

Also,

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{f}(S_1) \cap T^* &= (\mathcal{f}(S_1) \cap A^1_i) \cup (\mathcal{f}(S_1) \cap T) \cup (\mathcal{f}(S_1) \\
&\quad \cap \mathcal{f}(Z_2)) \quad \text{(by (16))} \\
&= (\mathcal{f}(S_1 \cap A^1_i) \cup (\mathcal{f}(S_1) \cap T) \cup (\mathcal{f}(S_1 \cap Z_2)) \\
&= \mathcal{f}(\mathcal{A}^1_i) \cup h(S_1 \cap T) \cup \mathcal{f}(Z_2) \quad \text{(by (16))} \\
&= \mathcal{A}^1_i \cup h(S_1 \cap T) \cup \mathcal{f}(Z_2),
\end{align*}
\]

which proves the claim.

By Lemma 3.4 there exist a homeomorphism \( \psi : Q \to Q \) and an element \( \xi_1 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
d(\alpha, 1) < \varepsilon - d(\mathcal{f}, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha|T^* &= 1, \\
(\alpha \mathcal{f}(Z_1), \alpha \mathcal{f}(S_1)) &\leq (A^1_{i_1}, \mathcal{A}^1_{i_1}).
\end{align*}
\]
Now put $H = \alpha \circ \tilde{f}$. We claim that $H$ is as required. Clearly, $d(H, 1) < \varepsilon$ and since $x|T^* = 1$ we have $H|T = h$ by (18) and (15). That condition (6) of the definition holds and that

$$\forall 2 \leq k \leq n: (H(Z_k), H(S_k)) \leq (A_{k1}^k, \mathcal{A}_{k1}^k)$$

follows from (16), (19) and (20) since $A_{y}^x \cup \tilde{f}(Z_k) \subseteq A_{y}^1 \cup \tilde{f}(Z_2) \subseteq T^*$ and $x|T^* = 1$.

Finally, that $(H(Z_1), H(S_1)) \leq (A_{1i}^1, \mathcal{A}_{1i}^1)$ follows directly from (21). □

We now come to the main result in this section.

3.6. THEOREM. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_m^n : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a $\mathcal{B}$-matrix in $Q$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is an $\mathbb{N}$-skeleton.

Proof. Take $T = \emptyset$ in $S(\mathcal{A}, i_1 \geq \cdots \geq i_n, \varepsilon)$ and apply Lemma 3.5. □

4. Some $\mathbb{N}$-skeletons

In this section we shall prove that $\mathcal{B}$-matrices exist. Compared to all the work we did so far this turns out to be surprisingly simple.

Let us fix some notation. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A_n$ be as in Theorem 1.2, i.e.

$$A_n = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[ -1 + \frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right].$$

Let $\hat{Q} = \Pi_i Q_i$, where $Q_i = Q$ for every $i$. Clearly, $\hat{Q} \approx Q$. For every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ define $A_m^n \subseteq \hat{Q}$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} A_1^n = \emptyset & \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ A_m^n = A_m \times A_m \times \cdots \times A_m \times Q \times Q \times Q \times \ldots & \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } m \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

4.1. THEOREM. $\mathcal{A} = \{A_m^n : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a $\mathcal{B}$-matrix in $\hat{Q}$.

Proof. To see that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}: \{A_m^n\}_{m>1}$$

is a skeleton, use Theorem 1.2 and Chapman [8].
That

\[ \forall n_1 < \cdots < n_m \in \mathbb{N} \ \exists i_1, \ldots, i_m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}: \bigcap_{k=1}^{m} A_{i_k}^{n_k} \approx Q \]  

(2)

is easy since choose indices \( n_1 < \cdots < n_m \in \mathbb{N} \), \( i_1, \ldots, i_m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} \) and define natural numbers \( p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \cdots \leq p_m \) by

\[ p_k = \min \{ i_k, \ldots, i_m \} \quad (1 \leq k \leq m). \]

Then

\[
\bigcap_{k=1}^{m} A_{i_k}^{n_k} = A_{p_m}^{n_m} \times \cdots \times A_{p_m}^{n_{m-1}} \times A_{p_{m-1}}^{n_{m-1}} \times \cdots \times A_{p_1}^{n_1} \times A_{p_1}^{n_1} \times Q \times Q \times \cdots \times Q \times \cdots \quad (*)
\]

is a product of Hilbert cubes and hence is a Hilbert cube itself. That

\[
\forall n_1 < \cdots < n_m \in \mathbb{N} \ \forall i_1, \ldots, i_m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} \ \forall p \in \mathbb{N}: \left\{ \bigcap_{k=1}^{m} A_{i_k}^{n_k} \cap A_{i_k}^{n_k+p}: i \in \mathbb{N} \right\}
\]

(3)

is a skeleton in \( \bigcap_{k=1}^{m} A_{i_k}^{n_k} \) follows easily from Chapman [8] and the formula (*)

We shall prove that

\[
\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}: A_{m}^{n} \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}). \quad (4)
\]

So take \( n_1 < \cdots < n_m \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( i_1, \ldots, i_m \in \mathbb{N} \) arbitrarily and suppose that

\[
\bigcap_{k=1}^{m} A_{i_k}^{n_k} \not\subseteq A_{m}^{n}.
\]

By the above formulas we can write

\[
\bigcap_{k=1}^{m} A_{i_k}^{n_k} = \prod_{1}^{\infty} E_k \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcap_{k=1}^{m} A_{i_k}^{n_k} \cap A_{m}^{n} = \prod_{1}^{\infty} F_k,
\]
where all but finitely many $E_k$'s (resp. $F_k$'s) are equal to $Q$ and the remaining finitely many $E_k$'s) are elements of $\{A_n\}_{n>1}$. Since $\Pi_1^\infty F_k$ is a proper subset of $\Pi_1^\infty E_k$ we have

$$F_k \subseteq E_k \text{ for every } k \text{ and there exists } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } F_k \text{ is a proper subset of } E_k.$$  \hfill (5)

Then clearly $F_k \in Z(E_k)$ from which easily follows that $\Pi_1^\infty F_k \in Z(\Pi_1^\infty E_k)$. \hfill \Box

4.2. COROLLARY. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an $\mathbb{N}$-skeleton in $Q$. Then $\ker(\mathcal{A})$ is homeomorphic to $\sigma_\infty$.

**Proof.** Let $\Sigma = \bigcup_1^\infty A_n$, where $A_n$ is defined as above. The $\mathcal{Z}$-matrix $\mathcal{A}$ constructed in Theorem 4.1 is an $\mathbb{N}$-skeleton by Theorem 3.6 and $\ker(\mathcal{A})$ obviously equals $\Sigma^\infty$. It is known that $\Sigma$ is homeomorphic to

$$l_2^1 \times Q.$$  

For details see [6]. Consequently,

$$\sigma_\infty = (l_2^1)^\infty \approx (l_2^1 \times I)^\infty \approx (l_2^1)^\infty \times Q \approx (l_2^1)^\infty$$

$$\times Q^\infty \approx (l_2^1 \times Q)^\infty \approx \Sigma^\infty.$$  

So the desired result now follows from Corollary 2.3. \hfill \Box

We shall now give a different example of an $\mathbb{N}$-skeleton in $Q$. This example will be of importance in the announced “applications” to function spaces.

It will be convenient to fix some notation. For $x \in Q$ write $||x|| = \sup_i |x_i|$. Denote points of $\hat{Q}$ by $x = (x(i))$, where $x(i) \in Q$ for all $i$. In addition, write $B_m^n = \{x \in \hat{Q}: ||x(i)|| \leq 1 - 1/m \text{ if } i \leq m \text{ and } ||x(i)|| \leq 2^{-n} \text{ otherwise}\}$.

It is clear that $\mathcal{B} = \{B_m^n; n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a $\mathcal{Z}$-matrix in $Q$.

4.3. LEMMA. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$: $\{B_m^n\}_{m>1}$ is a skeleton

**Proof.** The standard proof that $\{A_n\}_1^\infty$ is a skeleton, where each $A_n$ is as in Theorem 1.2, can easily be adapted to show that $\{B_m^n\}_{m>1}$ is a skeleton. For details, see [6], Proposition 3.1 on page 156. \hfill \Box

4.4. LEMMA. $\forall n_1 < \cdots < n_m \in \mathbb{N}$ $\forall i_1, \ldots, i_m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$: $\bigcap_{k=1}^m B_{i_k}^{n_k} \approx Q$. \hfill \Box
4.5. Lemma. \( \forall p, q \in \mathbb{N} : B^p_q \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{B}) \).

**Proof.** Take \( n_1 < \cdots < n_m \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( p, q, i_1, \ldots, i_n \in \mathbb{N} \) and write \( B = \bigcap_{k=1}^m B_{n_k}^{i_k} \). With \( A(\varepsilon) = \{ x \in \mathbb{Q} : \| x \| \leq \varepsilon \} \) there are sequences \((\varepsilon_i)\) and \((\delta_i)\) of positive numbers such that \( B = \prod_i A(\varepsilon_i) \), \( B \cap B_{q}^p = \prod_i A(\delta_i) \). Observe that \( \delta < \varepsilon \) implies that \( A(\delta) \subseteq L(A(\varepsilon)) \). Therefore, if \( B \cap B_{q}^p \notin \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{B}) \) then \( \varepsilon_i \geq \delta_i \) for each \( i \), i.e., \( B \subseteq B \cap B_{q}^p \).

4.6. Lemma. \( \forall n_1 < \cdots < n_m \in \mathbb{N} \ \forall i_1, \ldots, i_m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} \ \forall p \in \mathbb{N} : \{ \bigcap_{k=1}^m B_{n_k}^{i_k} \cap B_{n_m+p}^{i_m+p} \}_{i>1} \) is a skeleton in \( \bigcap_{k=1}^m B_{n_k}^{i_k} \).

**Proof.** Write \( B = \bigcap_{k=1}^m B_{n_k}^{i_k} \). By lemma 4.5, \( B \cap B_{n_m+p}^{i_m+p} \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{B}) \) for each \( i \).

A straightforward adaptation of the standard proof that \( \{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) is a skeleton, where each \( A_n \) is as in Theorem 1.2, now also shows that \( \{ \bigcap_{k=1}^m B_{n_k}^{i_k} \cap B_{n_m+p}^{i_m+p} \}_{i>1} \) is a skeleton in \( \bigcap_{k=1}^m B_{n_k}^{i_k} \).

4.7. Corollary. \( \mathcal{B} = \{ B_n^m : n, m \in \mathbb{N} \} \) is an \( \mathbb{N} \)-skeleton in \( \mathcal{Q} \).

**Proof.** Apply Lemmas 4.3–4.6 and Theorem 3.6.

We shall now try to find a description of \( \ker(\mathcal{B}) \) in terms of function spaces. To this end we shall first introduce a test space \( T \). The underlying set of \( T \) is \( (\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}) \cup \{\infty\} \).

The points of \( \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \) are isolated and a basic neighborhood of \( \infty \) has the form

\[
\left( \{n, n + 1, \ldots \} \times \mathbb{N} \right) \cup \{\infty\}, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).
\]

Clearly, \( T \) is a countable metric space. Define

\[
C_{p,0}^*(T) = \{ f \in C^*(T) : f(\infty) = 0 \}.
\]

4.8. Lemma.

\[
C_p^*(T) \approx C_{p,0}^*(T) \times \mathbb{R}, \quad (1)
\]

\[
C_{p,0}^*(T) \approx \ker(\mathcal{B}) \approx \sigma_{\omega} \quad (2)
\]
Proof. For (1), simply observe that the function \( \varphi: C_p^*(T) \to C_{p,0}^*(T) \times \mathbb{R} \) defined by
\[
\varphi(f) = (f - f(\infty), f(\infty))
\]
is a homeomorphism.

Define
\[
B = \{ x \in \hat{Q}: \sup_k \| x(k) \| < 1 \},
\]
and
\[
Z = \{ x \in B: \lim_{k \to \infty} \| x(k) \| = 0 \},
\]
respectively. Define a function \( \Psi: C_{p,0}^*(T) \to Z \) by
\[
\Psi(f)_n = \frac{2}{\pi} (\arctan f(1, n), \arctan f(2, n), \ldots, \arctan f(m, n), \ldots).
\]
it is easy to see that \( \Psi \) is a homeomorphism. So in order to prove the first part of (2), it suffices to show that \( Z = \ker (\mathcal{A}) \). This follows from the following observation:
\[
Z = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\{ x \in \hat{Q}: \| x(k) \| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{m} \text{ and } \| x(k) \| \leq 2^{-m} \text{ for } k \geq m \right\}
\]
\[
= \ker (\mathcal{A}). \quad \Box
\]

5. The space \( \sigma_\omega \)

In this section we shall derive some topological properties of the space \( \sigma_\omega \) which are probably known already since they follow easily and rather mechanically from known results.

As noticed in the proof of Corollary 4.2, \( \sigma_\omega \) is homeomorphic to \( \Sigma^\infty = \Pi_{i=1}^{\infty} \Sigma_i \), where each \( \Sigma_i \) is a copy of the space
\[
\Sigma = \left\{ x \in Q: \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \ \forall i \in \mathbb{N}: |x_i| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right\}.
\]
5.1. LEMMA. Let $X$ be a $\sigma$-compact space. Then $X$ admits a closed imbedding in the space $\Sigma$.

Proof. Let $\gamma X$ be a compactification of $X$. Without loss of generality, $\gamma X \subseteq Q$ and $\gamma X \in \mathcal{P}(Q)$ (apply for example Theorem 1.7; easier proofs are known of course). By Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 it follows that $\Sigma$ is an absorber in $Q$. By Lemma 3.3 there exists a homeomorphism $\alpha : Q \to Q$ such that $\alpha(\gamma X) \cap \Sigma = \alpha(X)$. Consequently, $\alpha(X)$ is a closed copy of $X$ in $\Sigma$. □

5.2. COROLLARY. Let $X$ be an absolute $F_{\sigma\delta}$. Then $X$ admits a closed imbedding in $\Sigma^\infty \approx \sigma_\omega$.

Proof. Assume that $X \subseteq Q$ and let $F_i \subseteq Q$ be $\sigma$-compact for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $X = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$. By Lemma 5.1 there exists for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ a closed imbedding $f_i : F_i \to \Sigma$. Now define $f : X \to \Sigma^\infty$ by

$$f(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x), \ldots).$$

It is well-known, and easy to prove, that $f$ is a closed imbedding. □

By a linear space we mean a topological vector space over the reals. Let $E$ be a linear space and put

$$\Sigma E = \{x \in E^\infty : x_i = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } i\}.$$ 

In [23], Toruńczyk proved that for every locally convex (metric) linear space $E$ we have

$$\Sigma E^\infty \approx \Sigma \mathbb{R} \times E^\infty \approx l_\infty^2 \times E^\infty.$$ 

Consequently, if we take $E = \sigma_\omega$ we obtain

$$\Sigma \sigma_\omega \approx \Sigma (\sigma_\omega)^\infty \approx l_\infty^2 \times (\sigma_\omega)^\infty \approx \sigma_\omega.$$ 

This consequence of Toruńczyk's result can also be derived by the apparatus developed in this paper since it is easy to represent $\Sigma \sigma_\omega$ as the kernel of some $\mathcal{B}$-matrix, see §4.

For information on absolute (neighborhood) retracts (abbreviated: A(N)R's), see Borsuk [7].

5.3. THEOREM. Let $X$ be a space. The following statements are equivalent:
1. $X \times \sigma_\omega \approx \sigma_\omega$,
2. $X$ is an absolute $F_{\sigma\delta}$ and an AR.
Proof. 1 ⇒ 2 is a triviality since $\sigma_\omega$ is an AR by Dugundji's Theorem [7, 7.1], and $\sigma_\omega$ is clearly an absolute $F_{\omega}$. For 2 ⇒ 1, let $X$ be an AR and an absolute $F_{\omega}$. By Corollary 5.2, $X$ admits a closed imbedding in $\sigma_\omega$. By Toruńczyk [20] we may conclude that $X \times \Sigma_{\sigma_\omega} \approx \Sigma_{\sigma_\omega}$. Since, as was observed above, $\Sigma_{\sigma_\omega} \approx \sigma_\omega$ the desired conclusion follows.

Let $X$ be a space $Y$ is called an $X$-manifold if $Y$ admits an open covering by sets homeomorphic to open subsets of $X$. The above theorem generalizes without much difficulty to the following result: For any space $X$ the following statements are equivalent: 1) $X \times \sigma_\omega$ is a $\sigma_\omega$-manifold, and 2) $X$ is an absolute $F_{\omega}$ and an ANR. Let us also mention that by results of Henderson, $\sigma_\omega$-manifolds are characterized by homotopy type, i.e. if $X$ and $Y$ are $\sigma_\omega$-manifolds then $X$ is homeomorphic to $Y$ if and only if $X$ and $Y$ have the same homotopy type. For details see [6], chapter 9.

6. Function spaces

If $X$ is a space then, as noted in the introduction, $C^\ast(X)$ denotes the set of continuous bounded real-valued functions on $X$ endowed with the topology of uniform convergence. It is known that if $X$ and $Y$ are uncountable compact (metric) spaces then $C^\ast(C)$ and $C^\ast(Y)$ are linearly homeomorphic ([18], 21.5.10). If $X$ and $Y$ are countably infinite compact (metric) spaces then $C^\ast(X)$ and $C^\ast(Y)$ need not be linearly homeomorphic, ([18], 21.5.13(A)). In fact, there are uncountably many types among the spaces $C^\ast(X)$, where $X$ is countable and compact (metric) [5].

The Anderson–Kadec Theorem that all separable infinite-dimensional Fréchet spaces are homeomorphic (in the topological sense), see [6], chapter 6, for background information, shows that all function spaces of the form $C^\ast(X)$, where $X$ is any infinite compact space, are homeomorphic. In fact, a more general result can be formulated by applying results from [22].

There is another topology on function spaces that is of interest in abstract functional analysis, namely the topology of point-wise convergence. To avoid confusion, for any space $X$ the set of continuous real-valued bounded functions on $X$ endowed with the topology of point-wise convergence shall be denoted by $C_p^\ast(X)$. By [3], if $X$ and $Y$ are compact Hausdorff and $C_p^\ast(X)$ is linearly homeomorphic to $C_p^\ast(Y)$ then $X$ and $Y$ have the same dimension (for $X$ and $Y$ compact metric this was first proved in [16]). From this we see that for example the function spaces $C_p^\ast([0, 1])$ and $C_p^\ast([0, 1]^2)$ are not linearly homeomorphic. There even exist countable infinite compact metric spaces $X$ and $Y$ for which $C_p^\ast(X)$ and $C_p^\ast(Y)$ are not linearly homeomorphic.
This can be seen by combining [18], 21.5.13(A), and the result due to Pavlowskii (see [4] for details) that for compact Hausdorff spaces $X$ and $Y$ each linear homeomorphism $f: C_p^*(X) \rightarrow C_p^*(Y)$ is also a linear homeomorphism from $C^*(X)$ onto $C^*(Y)$. These results indicate that a classification result for the spaces $C_p^*(X)$ in the linear world promises to be extremely complicated.

Trying to get a topological classification result for the spaces $C_p^*(X)$ seems to be more promising since no "obstacles" such as in [3] and [16] for topological homeomorphism are known. On the other hand, recent results in [11] show that $C_p^*(X)$ for non-trivial $X$ is always a rather complex subspace of $\mathbb{R}^X$ which indicates that topological homeomorphisms may be hard to get.

In this section we shall consider spaces $C_p^*(X)$ for spaces $X$ of the simplest possible non-trivial form, namely countable infinite (metric) spaces. It is easy to show that if $X$ is discrete then $C_p^*(X) \approx \Sigma$, where $\Sigma$ is the space defined in the proof of Corollary 4.2. We conjecture the following:

6.1. CONJECTURE. If $X$ is countable infinite and not discrete then $C_p^*(X)$ is homeomorphic to $\sigma_{\omega}$.

The aim of this section is to verify this conjecture under the extra assumption that $X$ is not locally compact. Our main result is:

6.2. THEOREM. If $X$ is countable infinite (metric) and fails to be locally compact then $C_p^*(X) \approx \sigma_{\omega}$.

6.3. COROLLARY. Let $\mathbb{D}$ denote the space of rational numbers. Then $C_p^*(\mathbb{Q}) \approx \sigma_{\omega}$.

That $X$ is required to be metric in Theorem 6.2 is essential. In [14] it was shown that $C_p^*(X)$ need not be a Borel subset of $\mathbb{R}^X$, even for countable non-metric $X$. Let $T$ be the test space introduced in §4.

6.4. LEMMA. Let $X$ be a (metric) space. The following statements are equivalent:
1. $X$ is not locally compact,
2. $X$ contains a closed homeomorph of $T$.

Proof. Observe that $2 \Rightarrow 1$ is a triviality. For $1 \Rightarrow 2$, let $x \in X$ be a point at which $X$ fails to be locally compact.
We can find a family \( \{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \) of open subsets of \( X \) such that
1. \( \forall n \in \mathbb{N}: x \in U_n \),
2. \( \forall n \in \mathbb{N}: \text{diam } U_n < 2^{-n} \).

Fix \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) arbitrarily. Since \( U_n \) is not compact, it contains an infinite closed discrete subset, say \( D_n \). Without loss of generality, \( x \notin D_n \). It is clear that we can moreover choose the \( D_n \)'s to be disjoint. Put

\[
S = \{x\} \cup \bigcup_{1}^{\infty} D_n.
\]

Obviously, \( S \) is closed in \( X \) and homeomorphic to \( T \).

6.5. **Lemma.** Let \( X \) be a countable space. Then \( C_p^*(X) \) is an \( F_{\sigma} \)-subset of \( \mathbb{R}^X \).

**Proof:** Let

\[
C_p(X) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^X : f \text{ is continuous}\}
\]

and

\[
B = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^X : f \text{ is bounded}\}.
\]

Clearly, \( B = \bigcup_{1}^{\infty} [-n, n]^X \) is an \( F_{\sigma} \)-subset of \( \mathbb{R}^X \). In [11] it was shown that \( C_p(X) \) is an \( F_{\sigma} \)-subset of \( \mathbb{R}^X \). Since clearly \( C_p^*(X) = C_p(X) \cap B \), it follows that \( C_p^*(X) \) is also an \( F_{\sigma} \)-subset of \( \mathbb{R}^X \).

6.6. **Proof of Theorem 6.2.** We may assume that \( T \subseteq X \) and that \( T \) is closed, Lemma 6.4. Define \( \varrho: C_p^*(X) \to C_p^*(T) \) by \( \varrho(f) = f|T \). Then \( \varrho \) is clearly a continuous linear operator. By [13], there exists a retraction \( r: X \to T \). Define \( \xi: C_p^*(T) \to C_p^*(X) \) by \( \xi(f) = f \circ r \). Then \( \xi \) is clearly well-defined and continuous. Obviously,

\[
\varrho \circ \xi = 1_{C_p^*(T)}.
\]

As in the proof of the Bartle and Graves Theorem, define a function \( h: C_p^*(X) \to \ker \varrho \times C_p^*(T) \) by

\[
h(f) = (f - \xi \varrho(f), \varrho(f)).
\]

A straightforward check shows that \( h \) is a homeomorphism.

Since \( \ker \varrho \) is a linear subspace of the locally convex space \( C_p^*(X) \) we
conclude that \( \ker q \) is locally convex. From Dugundji's Theorem, [7], 7.1, we infer that \( \ker q \) is an AR. Since \( \ker q \) is closed in \( C^\bullet_p(X) \) and \( C^\bullet_p(X) \) is an absolute \( F_{\sigma\delta} \) by Lemma 6.5, \( \ker q \) is an absolute \( F_{\sigma\delta} \) as well. Now observe that by Lemma 4.9 we have

\[
C^\bullet_p(T) \approx C^\bullet_{p,0} \times \mathbb{R} \approx \sigma_{\omega} \times \mathbb{R} \approx \sigma_{\omega}
\]

from which, by the above and Theorem 5.3, follows that

\[
C^\bullet_p(X) \approx \ker q \times C^\bullet_p(T) \approx \ker q \times \sigma_{\omega} \approx \sigma_{\omega}.
\]

7. Remarks

There are beautiful topological characterizations of Hilbert space and Hilbert cube manifolds due to Toruńczyk [21], [22]. In the proofs of these results the topological completeness of the spaces involved is used in an essential way. Due to this, finding topological characterizations of certain incomplete interesting spaces, such as \( \sigma_{\omega} \), seems complicated. Mogilski [15] has recently found a characterization of all \( l^2_j \)-manifolds. Of course, \( l^2_j \) is not complete. However, being \( \sigma \)-compact, it is the union of countably many sets of “smaller complexity” and this was used essentially in Mogilski's proof. Since \( \sigma_{\omega} \) is not a \( G_{\delta\alpha} \), it is not a countable union of “nice” sets which makes convergence procedures delicate. We were able to pass by these complications by approximating \( \sigma_{\omega} \) from the outside. In this way we did not characterize \( \sigma_{\omega} \) topologically but we characterized the way canonical copies of \( \sigma_{\omega} \) are placed in \( Q \). This is interesting in its own right but will probably not be of help in solving the problem of finding good usable topological characterizations of \( \sigma_{\omega} \). Recently, Bestvina and Mogilski in their paper “Characterizing certain incomplete infinite-dimensional Absolute Retracts” found an interesting topological characterization of \( \sigma_{\omega} \) (and many other spaces). This characterization, however, is in terms of a difficult to verify mapping replacement condition. It seems not clear how to get our results from theirs in a direct way. I can only verify their condition in the function spaces \( C^\bullet_p(X) \) by going through similar constructions as in this paper. Results from Steel [19] can be used to show that \( Q^\omega \), the product of countably many copies of the space of rational numbers, is (topologically) the unique zero-dimensional \( F_{\sigma\delta} \) which is nowhere a \( G_{\delta\alpha} \). Recently, van Engelen [12] independently found a more elementary proof of this particularly consequence of Steel's result. A step in van Engelen's proof more or less motivated us to consider \( \mathcal{Z} \)-matrices and to formulate the concept of an \( \mathbb{N} \)-skeleton.
Remarks added in December 1986

J. Pelant has shown that the spaces $C_p^*(T)$ and $C_p^*(\mathbb{Q})$ are not linearly homeomorphic. J. Baars, J. de Groot and J. van Mill have shown that if $X$ is any countable, metric space which is not locally compact then the function space $C_p(X)$ is homeomorphic to $\sigma_u$.
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