

# Is $\omega^* - \{u\}$ Absolutely Countably Compact?

JAN VAN MILL<sup>a</sup> AND JERRY E. VAUGHAN<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Mathematics  
Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam  
Amsterdam, The Netherlands*

*and*  
<sup>b</sup>*Department of Mathematical Sciences  
University of North Carolina at Greensboro  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27412*

**ABSTRACT:** We construct an ultrafilter  $u \in \omega^* = \beta\omega - \omega$  such that the subspace  $\omega^* - \{u\}$  is not absolutely countably compact, and we show that under the continuum hypothesis, for every  $u \in \beta\omega - \omega$ , the subspace  $\omega^* - \{u\}$  is not absolutely countably compact.

## INTRODUCTION

We consider the following concept.

**DEFINITION 1.1:** (M.V. Matveev [3]) A space  $X$  is called *absolutely countably compact* (*acc*) provided for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  and every dense  $D \subseteq X$ , there exists a finite set  $F \subseteq D$  such that

$$\text{St}(F, \mathcal{U}) = \bigcup \{U \in \mathcal{U} : U \cap F \neq \emptyset\} = X.$$

Matveev proved (among other things) that

$$\text{compact} \Rightarrow \text{acc} \Rightarrow \text{countably compact}$$

and that neither arrow can be reversed. It is well-known that removing one point from  $\omega^*$ , the remainder of the Čech-Stone compactification of the integers, results in a countably compact subspace. Thus it is natural to ask the question: Are the spaces  $\omega^* - \{u\}$  *acc* for all  $u \in \omega^*$ ? In this paper we prove the following two results:

**THEOREM 1.2:** There exists  $u \in \omega^*$  such that the subspace  $\omega^* - \{u\}$  is not *acc*.

**THEOREM 1.3:** [CH] For every  $u \in \omega^*$ , the subspace  $\omega^* - \{u\}$  is not *acc*.

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* 54D20, 54A35, 54G05.

*Keywords and phrases:* countably compact, absolutely countably compact, ultrafilters,  $\beta\omega - \omega$ ,  $\mathfrak{c}$  independent matrix of clopen sets, irreducible maps.

Still open is the question of whether the statement in Theorem 1.3 is a theorem of ZFC.

## 2. SOME LEMMAS

We begin with deriving a few general lemmas that will be important later. Let  $X$  be a space with dense subset  $D$ . We say that a closed subset  $T \subseteq X$  avoids  $D$  if there is a family  $\mathcal{U}$  of open subsets of  $X$  such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $\bigcap \mathcal{U} = T$ ,
- (2)  $|\mathcal{U}| = |D|$ , and
- (3) for every  $d \in D$  we have  $|\{U \in \mathcal{U} : d \in U\}| < |\mathcal{U}|$ .

Observe that if  $T \subseteq X$  avoids  $D$ , then  $T \cap D = \emptyset$ .

Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal. A subset  $P$  of a space  $X$  is called a  $P_\kappa$ -set if the intersection of fewer than  $\kappa$  neighborhoods of  $P$  is again a neighborhood of  $P$ . A  $P$ -set is a  $P_{\omega_1}$ -set and a  $P$ -point is a  $P$ -set singleton. We omit the simple proof of the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1: Suppose that  $X$  is a compact space with weight  $\kappa$ . If  $D \subseteq X$  is a dense subset of cardinality  $\kappa$  and if  $T \subseteq X - D$  is a closed  $P_\kappa$ -set then  $T$  avoids  $D$ .

We now formulate and prove our main tool for recognizing spaces that are not acc. Recall that if  $X$  is a space and if  $p \in X$  then  $\chi(p, X)$  is the *character* of  $p$  in  $X$ , i.e., the smallest cardinality of a neighborhood base of  $p$ .

LEMMA 2.2: Let  $X$  be a compact  $T_2$ -space. If  $D \subseteq X$  is dense,  $T \subseteq X$  avoids  $D$  and  $p \in T$  is such that  $\chi(p, T) = |D|$ , then  $X - \{p\}$  is not acc.

*Proof:* Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a family of open neighborhoods of  $T$  that witnesses the fact that  $T$  avoids  $D$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a neighborhood base for  $p$  with  $|\mathcal{V}| = |D|$ . List  $\mathcal{V}$  as  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  and put

$$\mathcal{W} = \{X - T\} \cup \{U - \bar{V}_U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{W}$  is clearly an open cover of  $X - \{p\}$ . Pick an arbitrary finite  $F \subseteq D$ . The family

$$\mathcal{U}_F = \{U \in \mathcal{U} : F \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$$

has cardinality less than  $|D|$ . Pick an arbitrary point

$$x \in \bigcap \{V_U \cap T : U \in \mathcal{U}_F\} - \{p\}.$$

Observe that such a point exists because  $T$  is compact and character and pseudocharacter agree in compact spaces. We claim that  $x \notin \text{St}(F, \mathcal{W})$ . To this end, pick an arbitrary element  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  that intersects  $F$ . Since  $x \in T$ , we may clearly assume that  $W$  is of the form  $U - \bar{V}_U$  for certain  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . Then  $U \in \mathcal{U}_F$  since  $W$  meets  $F$ . But since  $x \in V_U$  we have  $x \notin W = U - \bar{V}_U$ .  $\square$

Let  $X$  be compact,  $D \subseteq X$  be dense and  $p \in X - D$ . Lemma 2.2 suggests the natural question of whether  $X - \{p\}$  is not acc provided that  $\{p\}$  avoids  $D$ . But this

is not true. Because countably compact spaces of countable tightness are acc, Matveev [3, Theorem 1.8], it follows that the ordinal space  $\omega_1$  is acc. (Alternatively, use the Pressing Down Lemma.) Now simply observe that by Lemma 2.1,  $\{\omega_1\}$  avoids  $\omega_1$  in the compact space  $\omega_1 + 1$ .

DEFINITION 2.3: A continuous function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of  $X$  onto  $Y$  is called *irreducible* provided  $f(A) \neq Y$  for every proper closed subset  $A \subseteq X$ .

The next lemma is well known (see [1, Exercise 3.1C(a)]).

LEMMA 2.4: If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of  $X$  onto  $Y$  is continuous and  $X$  is compact, then there exists a closed set  $X_0 \subseteq X$  such that  $f(X_0) = Y$  and  $f \upharpoonright X_0: X_0 \rightarrow Y$  is irreducible.

Recall that the  $\pi$ -character of a point  $x$  in a space  $X$  (denoted  $\pi\chi(x, X)$ ) is the smallest cardinality of a family  $\mathcal{U}$  of open subset of  $X$  such that every neighborhood of  $x$  contains a member of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

The next lemma is also well known; see, e.g., Juhász [2, p.64].

LEMMA 2.5: If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is irreducible, and  $X$  is compact, then for all  $x \in X$ ,  $\pi\chi(x, X) \geq \pi\chi(f(x), Y)$ .

DEFINITION 2.6: An indexed family  $\{A^i_j: i \in I, j \in J\}$  of clopen subsets of  $\omega^*$  is called a  $J$  by  $I$  independent matrix if:

- (1) the rows of the matrix are pairwise disjoint, i.e., for all distinct  $j_0, j_1 \in J$  and  $i \in I$  we have that  $A^i_{j_0} \cap A^i_{j_1} = \emptyset$ ,
- (2) if  $F$  is a finite subset of  $I$  and  $f \in J^F$  then

$$\bigcap \{A^i_{f(i)}: i \in F\} \neq \emptyset.$$

K. Kunen proved that there exists a  $\mathfrak{c}$  by  $\mathfrak{c}$  independent matrix of clopen subsets of  $\omega^*$  (see [4, Lemma 3.3.2]).

## PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Let  $D$  be a dense subset of  $\omega^*$  having cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$ , and put  $D = \{d_\alpha: \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ . Let  $\{A^\alpha_\beta: \alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{c}\}$  be a  $\mathfrak{c}$  by  $\mathfrak{c}$  independent matrix of clopen subsets of  $\omega^*$ . For each row  $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ , pick two sets  $A^\alpha_{\beta_0}, A^\alpha_{\beta_1}$ , so that

$$(A^\alpha_{\beta_0} \cup A^\alpha_{\beta_1}) \cap \{d_\beta: \beta < \alpha\} = \emptyset,$$

and define  $B^\alpha_0 = A^\alpha_{\beta_0}$  and  $B^\alpha_1 = A^\alpha_{\beta_1}$ . Thus  $\{B^\alpha_i: \alpha \in \mathfrak{c}, i \in 2\}$  is a  $\mathfrak{c}$  by 2 independent matrix. Let

$$T = \bigcap \{(B^\alpha_0 \cup B^\alpha_1): \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}.$$

Then  $T$  avoids  $D$ . By compactness, for every  $x \in 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ , we have  $\bigcap \{B^\alpha_{x(\alpha)}: \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} \neq \emptyset$ , hence there is a natural mapping  $f: T \rightarrow 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$  which is easily seen to be continuous and onto. By Lemma 2.4, there exists  $S \subseteq T$  such that  $f \upharpoonright S: T \rightarrow 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$  is onto and irreducible. By Lemma 2.5 every point in  $S$  has character  $\mathfrak{c}$  in  $S$  and hence in

*T.* An application of Lemma 2.2 now shows that for  $u \in S$  we have  $\omega^* - \{u\}$  is not acc.

### PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Assume CH, and let  $u \in \omega^*$ .

We first prove the theorem in the special case that  $u$  is a  $P$ -point. Since all  $P$ -points in  $\omega^*$  are topologically equivalent [5, p.171], and since the density of  $\omega^*$  is  $\omega_1$ , by Lemma 2.2 it suffices to construct a  $P$ -point  $p \in \omega^*$  which is a nonisolated point in some nowhere dense closed  $P$ -set  $P \subseteq \omega^*$ . By [4, Lemma 1.4.3] there is a nowhere dense closed  $P$ -set  $P$  in  $\omega^*$  which is homeomorphic to  $\omega^*$ . We can therefore let  $p$  be any  $P$ -point of  $P$ .

We now use the special case to prove the general case. By [5, p.79], it follows that we can write  $\omega^* - \{u\}$  as the disjoint union of two nonempty open sets  $U$  and  $V$  each having  $u$  in their closure. Since  $\omega^*$  has no  $(\omega, \omega)$ -gaps, we may without loss of generality assume that  $u$  is a  $P$ -point in  $U \cup \{u\}$ . By Parovičenko's characterization of  $\omega^*$  [4, Corollary 1.2.4], it easily follows that  $U \cup \{u\}$  is homeomorphic to  $\omega^*$ . By the previous case it now follows that  $U$  is not acc. But then clearly  $\omega^* - \{u\} = U \cup V$  is not acc as well.

### REFERENCES

1. ENGELKING, R. 1977. *General Topology*. PWN-Polish Scientific Publications. Warszawa.
2. JUHÁSZ, I. 1980. Cardinal functions in topology—ten years later. MC tracts 123. Amsterdam.
3. MATVEEV, M.V. 1994. Absolutely countably compact spaces. *Topology and Appl.* **58**: 81–92.
4. VAN MILL, J. 1984. An introduction to  $\beta\omega$ . In *Handbook of Set-theoretic Topology* (K. Kunen & J. E. Vaughan, Eds.). North-Holland. Amsterdam.
5. WALKER, R.C. 1974. *The Stone-Čech Compactification*. Springer. Berlin.