

How many ω -bounded subgroups?

W.W. Comfort^{a,*}, Jan van Mill^{b,2}

^a Department of Mathematics, Wesleyan University, Middletown, CT 06459, USA

^b Vrije Universiteit, De Boelelaan 1081, 1081 HV Amsterdam, Netherlands

Received 31 July 1995; revised 15 January 1996

Abstract

A topological space is said to be ω -bounded if each of its countable subsets has compact closure. It has been shown recently by Itzkowitz and Shakhmatov that for every compact Abelian group G of uncountable weight, and for every compact connected group G of uncountable weight, the set $\Omega(G)$ of dense ω -bounded subgroups of G satisfies $|\Omega(G)| \geq |G|$. These authors asked whether their estimate $|\Omega(G)| \geq |G|$ may be improved to $|\Omega(G)| = 2^{|G|}$ for some or all such G . In the present paper we answer this question affirmatively for all compact groups G which are either Abelian or connected and which satisfy in addition the condition $w(G) = (w(G))^\omega$. We show also that every compact group G with $w(G) \geq \log((2^c)^+)$ satisfies $|\Omega(G)| > 2^c$. © 1997 Elsevier Science B.V.

Keywords: Topological group; Weight; Density character; ω -bounded subgroup; P -space; Weak- P -space

AMS classification: Primary 22A05; 54H11, Secondary 22E46

Respectfully dedicated to the memory of Maarten Maurice, 1935–1996

1. Introduction and notation

The least infinite cardinal is denoted ω , and $c = 2^\omega$. For a cardinal α and a set X , we write

$$[X]^\alpha = \{A \subseteq X : |A| = \alpha\} \quad \text{and} \quad [X]^{\leq \alpha} = \{A \subseteq X : |A| \leq \alpha\}.$$

By a *Tychonoff* space we mean a completely regular, Hausdorff space. We say that a (Hausdorff) space is *zero-dimensional* if its topology admits a base of open-and-closed

* Corresponding author. E-mail: wcomfort@wesleyan.edu.

¹ This author gratefully acknowledges hospitality and generous support received from the Department of Mathematics at Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam.

² E-mail: vanmill@es.vu.nl.

subsets. We say that a space X is ω -bounded if the closure (in X) of each countably infinite subset of X is compact.

The topological groups we consider are assumed to satisfy the Hausdorff separation axiom; as is well known (cf. [8, 8.4]), this guarantees that they are Tychonoff spaces.

Given a group G and $A \subseteq G$, we denote by $\langle A \rangle$ the smallest subgroup of G containing A .

The set of dense, ω -bounded subgroups of a topological group G is denoted $\Omega(G)$.

The Abstract contains the statement of our principal result. In Section 2 we show for certain cardinals α the existence of weak- P -spaces X such that $w(X) = \alpha < 2^\alpha = |X|$, and we apply these considerations to prove in Section 3 our principal result; we give some related results in Section 4 and some unsolved problems in Section 5.

2. Weak- P -spaces of small weight

Definition 2.1. Let X be a Hausdorff space. Then

- (a) X is a P -space if every union of countably many closed subsets of X is closed in X ; and
- (b) X is a weak- P -space if each of its countably infinite subsets is closed.

Lemma 2.2. For infinite cardinals α and γ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) There is a Hausdorff, zero-dimensional, weak- P -space of cardinality γ and weight $\leq \alpha$;
- (b) the compact space $\{0, 1\}^\alpha$ contains a subspace X such that $|X| = \gamma$ and X is a weak- P -space (in the inherited topology); and
- (c) the set $\{0, 1\}^\alpha$ contains a set X with these properties: $|X| = \gamma$, and if $C \in [X]^\omega$ and $p \in X \setminus C$ then there is $\xi < \alpha$ such that $\pi_\xi(p) = p_\xi = 1$ and $\pi_\xi|_C \equiv 0$.

Proof. A set X as in (c) evidently has the property that each $C \in [X]^\omega$ is closed in the topology on X inherited from $\{0, 1\}^\alpha$, so (c) \Rightarrow (b). That (b) \Rightarrow (a) is clear. To see that (a) \Rightarrow (c) let \mathcal{B} be a base of open-and-closed subsets of a weak- P -space X with $|X| = \gamma$ and $|\mathcal{B}| \leq \alpha$, and let e be the topological embedding $e: X \hookrightarrow \{0, 1\}^\alpha$ defined by

$$e(x)_B = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in B, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in X \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

Given C and p as in (c), since C is closed in X there is $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $p \in B \subseteq X \setminus C$ and it is then clear (identifying X with its homeomorph $e[X]$) that $p_B = 1$ and $\pi_B|_C \equiv 0$, as required. \square

Notation 2.3. Let γ and α be infinite cardinals. Then

- (a) condition $P(\gamma, \alpha)$ holds if there is a Tychonoff P -space of cardinality γ and weight $\leq \alpha$; and
- (b) condition $w-P(\gamma, \alpha)$ holds if the conditions of Lemma 2.2 are satisfied.

Remark 2.4.

(a) Condition (c) of Lemma 2.2 differs formally from the statement that some family of α -many open-and-closed subsets of X distinguishes points and countable subsets of X in the obvious sense. It is important for our application in Theorem 3.2 below that explicitly $p_\xi = 1$, while $\pi_\xi|C \equiv 0$. When condition $w\text{-}P(2^\alpha, \alpha)$ holds then according to (a) the set $\{0, 1\}^\alpha$ admits a weak- P -space topology of weight α , and according to (c) the set $\{0, 1\}^\alpha$ contains a set X with the indicated separation-by-projection property. It is nowhere asserted, of course, that in this latter case one may choose for X the set $\{0, 1\}^\alpha$ itself.

(b) As is noted in [7, Problem 4J], a cozero subset of a P -space is open-and-closed. Thus every Tychonoff P -space is zero-dimensional, so condition $P(\gamma, \alpha)$ implies condition $w\text{-}P(\gamma, \alpha)$.

Theorem 2.5. *If $1 < \alpha = \alpha^\omega$ then condition $P(2^\alpha, \alpha)$ holds.*

Proof. Let $X = \{0, 1\}^\alpha$ with its usual product topology and base \mathcal{B} (of cardinality α), let $P\mathcal{B} = \{\bigcap A : A \in [\mathcal{B}]^\omega\}$, and let PX denote the set X with the topology generated by $P\mathcal{B}$. Then $w(PX) \leq |P\mathcal{B}| = \alpha^\omega = \alpha$. Since the base $P\mathcal{B}$ is closed under countable intersections, every G_δ -subset of PX is open in PX and hence PX is a P -space. \square

Remark 2.6.

(a) We do not know if the condition $\alpha = \alpha^\omega$ is necessary for either or both of the conditions $P(2^\alpha, \alpha)$ and $w\text{-}P(2^\alpha, \alpha)$ to hold. It should be noted in any event that these conditions both fail for $\alpha = \omega$. Indeed a Tychonoff weak- P -space X of weight ω is a metrizable space without nontrivial convergent sequences, hence is discrete, hence satisfies $|X| = w(X) = \omega$.

(b) The Singular Cardinals Hypothesis (hereafter: SCH), a well-known consequence of GCH, asserts that if $\alpha \geq \mathfrak{c}$ and $\text{cf}(\alpha) > \omega$, then $\alpha^\omega = \alpha$. Assuming SCH it is immediate from Theorem 2.5 that $\text{cf}(\alpha) = \omega$ for every cardinal $\alpha \geq \mathfrak{r}$ for which condition $w\text{-}P(2^\alpha, \alpha)$ fails. Let us notice now a weaker statement, proved however in ZFC alone without assuming SCH.

Theorem 2.7. *Let α be the least cardinal such that $\alpha > \mathfrak{c}$ and condition $w\text{-}P(2^\alpha, \alpha)$ fails. Then $\text{cf}(\alpha) = \omega$ (and hence $\alpha < \alpha^\omega$).*

Proof. We assume otherwise, and for $\mathfrak{c} \leq \xi < \alpha$ we choose a base \mathcal{S}_ξ for a Hausdorff weak- P -space topology on $\{0, 1\}^\xi$ such that $|\mathcal{S}_\xi| \leq |\xi| < \alpha$; then for $C \in [\{0, 1\}^\xi]^\omega$ and $p \in \{0, 1\}^\xi \setminus C$ there is $S \in \mathcal{S}_\xi$ such that $p \in S \subseteq \{0, 1\}^\xi \setminus C$. Departing temporarily from standard notation let π_ξ be the natural projection from $\{0, 1\}^\alpha$ onto $\{0, 1\}^\xi$, and set $\mathcal{S} = \{\pi_\xi^{-1}(S) : \mathfrak{c} \leq \xi < \alpha, S \in \mathcal{S}_\xi\}$. Then $|\mathcal{S}| \leq \alpha$, and we claim that the smallest topology on $\{0, 1\}^\alpha$ in which the elements of \mathcal{S} are declared open-and-closed is a weak- P -space topology. Indeed if $D \in [\{0, 1\}^\alpha]^\omega$ and $q \in \{0, 1\}^\alpha \setminus D$ then since $\text{cf}(\alpha) > \omega$ there is $\xi < \alpha$ such that $\pi_\xi(q) \notin \pi_\xi[D]$; then with $p = \pi_\xi(q)$ and $C = \pi_\xi[D]$ and

choosing $S \in \mathcal{S}_\xi$ such that $p \in S \subseteq \{0, 1\}^\xi \setminus C$, we have $\pi_\xi^{-1}(S) \in \mathcal{S}$ and $q \in \pi_\xi^{-1}(S) \subseteq \{0, 1\}^\alpha \setminus D$, as required. \square

3. Counting the number of ω -bounded subgroups

Following Itzkowitz and Shakhmatov [14], we say that a compact group G is *product-like* if there is a continuous surjective homomorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow \prod_{\xi < \alpha} K_\xi$ with $\alpha = w(G)$ and with each K_ξ a nontrivial, metrizable (compact) group. While it is known (see the references cited below) that every compact group of uncountable weight which is either Abelian or connected is product-like, not every compact group of uncountable weight is product-like: one finds in [3, 4.10(d)] for each $\alpha \geq \omega$ examples of compact groups $G = G(\alpha)$ of weight α , which may be chosen to be totally disconnected or to satisfy $|G/C| = 2$ (with C the component of the identity in G), which admit no homomorphism onto any group $K_0 \times K_1$ with $|K_i| > 1$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a compact space and $A \subseteq X$, and set*

$$\omega(A) = \bigcup \{ \overline{D}^X : D \in [A]^\omega \}.$$

Then

- $\omega(A)$ is the smallest ω -bounded subset of X containing A ; and
- if X is a topological group and A a subgroup, then $\omega(A)$ is a subgroup of X .

Proof. (a) The existence of a smallest ω -bounded subspace of X containing A is immediate from the fact that the intersection of any family of ω -bounded subsets of X is again ω -bounded. Evidently any ω -bounded superset of A contains $\omega(A)$, so it is enough to show that $\omega(A)$ is ω -bounded. This is clear: if $E \in [\omega(A)]^\omega$ and for each $x \in E$ we choose $D(x) \in [A]^\omega$ such that $x \in \overline{D(x)}^X$, then with $D = \bigcup_{x \in E} D(x)$ we have $D \in [A]^\omega$ and $\overline{E}^X \subseteq \overline{D}^X \subseteq \omega(A)$.

(b) Since clearly every $x \in \omega(A)$ satisfies $x^{-1} \in \omega(A)$, it is enough to show that $\omega(A)$ is closed under multiplication. For every topological group G and subsets E and F of G we have $\overline{E}^G \cdot \overline{F}^G \subseteq \overline{EF}^G$. Thus in the present case, taking $x_i \in \overline{D_i}^X \subseteq \omega(A)$ with $D_i \in [A]^\omega$ ($i = 0, 1$) and setting $D = D_0 \cdot D_1$, we have $D \in [A]^\omega$ and $x_0 x_1 \in \overline{D}^X \subseteq \omega(A)$, as required. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let α and γ satisfy condition $w\text{-}P(\gamma, \alpha)$ with $\alpha > \omega$ and let $K = \prod_{\xi < \alpha} K_\xi$ with each K_ξ a nondegenerate compact group. Then*

- K admits 2^γ -many ω -bounded subgroups; and
- if there is a (fixed) group F such that each $K_\xi = F$ ($\xi < \alpha$), then the subgroups of (a) may be chosen dense in K —that is, $|\Omega(K)| \geq 2^\gamma$.

Proof. (a) Let 0_ξ denote the identity of K_ξ , let $0_\xi \neq k_\xi \in K_\xi$, and (slightly abusing notation) find

$$X \subseteq \{0, 1\}^\alpha = \prod_{\xi < \alpha} \{0_\xi, k_\xi\} \subseteq K$$

such that $|X| = \gamma$ and X has the property of Lemma 2.2(c): if $C \in [X]^\omega$ and $p \in X \setminus C$ then there is $\xi < \alpha$ such that $p_\xi = k_\xi$ and $x_\xi = 0_\xi$ for each $x \in C$. For $A \subseteq X$ let

$$K(A) = \omega(\langle A \rangle) = \bigcup \{ \overline{D}^K : D \in [\langle A \rangle]^\omega \};$$

then by Lemma 3.1 each $K(A)$ is an ω -bounded subgroup of K , so to complete the proof of (a) it is enough to show for $A \subseteq X$ that $K(A) \cap X = A$. We will show that if $p \in X$ satisfies $p \in \overline{D}^K \subseteq K(A)$ with $D \in [\langle A \rangle]^\omega$, then $p \in A$. Since each $x \in D$ satisfies $x \in \langle C_x \rangle$ for some finite $C_x \subseteq A$, there is a countable subset $C = \bigcup_{x \in D} C_x$ of A such that $p \in \overline{\langle C \rangle}$. If $p \notin C$ then there is $\xi < \alpha$ such that $p_\xi = k_\xi$ and $x_\xi = 0_\xi$ for each $x \in C$, and for such ξ we have $x_\xi = 0_\xi$ for each $x \in \langle C \rangle$ and hence for each $x \in \overline{\langle C \rangle}$, so $0_\xi \neq k_\xi = p_\xi = 0_\xi$. This contradiction shows $p \in C \subseteq A$.

(b) The groups $K = F^\alpha$ and $K^\alpha = (F^\alpha)^\alpha$ are isomorphic and homeomorphic as topological groups. Let Δ denote the diagonal copy of $K = F^\alpha$ inside the group K^α . Since Δ and F^α are isomorphic and homeomorphic as topological groups there is by part (a) applied to Δ a faithfully indexed family $\{\Delta(\eta) : \eta < 2^\gamma\}$ of ω -bounded subgroups of Δ . Let Σ denote the Σ -product of K^α —that is, let

$$\Sigma = \{x \in K^\alpha : |\{\xi < \alpha : x_\xi \neq 0\}| \leq \omega\}$$

—note that Σ is a normal subgroup of K^α , and for $\eta < 2^\gamma$ let $D(\eta) = \langle \Sigma + \Delta(\eta) \rangle = \Sigma + \Delta(\eta)$. Since Σ and $\Delta(\eta)$ are ω -bounded the product space $\Sigma \times \Delta(\eta)$ is ω -bounded; hence its continuous image $D(\eta)$ is an ω -bounded subgroup of K .

It is clear from $\alpha > \omega$ that if p and q are distinct points of Δ then $(p + \Sigma) \cap (q + \Sigma) = \emptyset$. It follows that the family $\{D(\eta) : \eta < 2^\gamma\}$ is faithfully indexed: if η and ζ are different ordinals less than 2^γ and (say) that there is $p \in \Delta(\eta) \setminus \Delta(\zeta)$, then $p \in D(\eta)$ but $p \notin \Sigma + \Delta(\zeta) = D(\zeta)$. \square

Remark 3.3. Every compact group G of weight $\alpha > \omega$ which is either Abelian or connected is product-like in the sense of Itzkowitz and Shakhmatov [14] given above; see for example [6, 5.5] or [14, 1.11] for the Abelian case, [6, Proof of 6.5] or [13] for the connected case. In the present instance, in order that we can apply Theorem 3.2 to find 2^{2^α} -many ω -bounded dense subgroups of G , we need not only a continuous epimorphism $\psi : G \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} F_i$ with $|F_i| > 1$ and $|I| = \alpha$ but a stronger condition, namely $\psi : G \rightarrow F^\alpha$ with $|F| > 1$. The above-cited results from [6] give several instances in which this can be achieved, among them $\text{cf}(\alpha) > \omega$. Since the condition $\alpha = \alpha^\omega$, a hypothesis of Theorem 2.5 above, yields $\text{cf}(\alpha) > \omega$, we have from [6] (or from [13,14]) the following statement.

Lemma 3.4. *Let G be an infinite compact group such that $w(G) = \alpha = \alpha^\omega$ and either G is Abelian or G is connected. Then there are a (metrizable) group F with $|F| > 1$ and a continuous surjective homomorphism $\psi : G \rightarrow F^\alpha$.*

Theorem 3.5. *Let $1 < \alpha = \alpha^\omega$ and let G be a compact group, either Abelian or connected, such that $w(G) = \alpha$. Then $|\Omega(G)| = 2^{2^\alpha}$.*

Proof. With ψ and F as in Lemma 3.4, from Theorems 2.5 and 3.2(b) we have that $|\Omega(F^\alpha)| \geq 2^{2^\alpha}$. Since ψ is an open map [8, 5.29] and each $D \in \Omega(F^\alpha)$ is dense in F^α , each group $\psi^{-1}(D)$ is dense in G ; since G is compact and each $D \in \Omega(F^\alpha)$ is ω -bounded in F^α , each group $\psi^{-1}(D)$ is ω -bounded in G . This shows $|\Omega(G)| \geq 2^{2^\alpha}$. The inequality $|\Omega(G)| \leq 2^{2^\alpha}$ is clear, since $\Omega(G) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(G)$ and $|G| = 2^{w(G)} = 2^\alpha$ (cf. [9, 28.58(c)]). \square

Remark 3.6. In earlier works [1,4] we have considered (in a fixed topological group G) the concept of a family $\{H_i: i \in I\}$ of dense subgroups which is *almost disjoint* in the sense that $H_i \cap H_j = \{0\}$ for distinct $i, j \in I$, or even *independent* in the sense that

$$H_i \cap \left\langle \bigcup_{j \in I, j \neq i} H_j \right\rangle = \{0\}.$$

This notion is pursued vigorously in [13] in the context of dense pseudocompact subgroups. It is worth noting that in the present context the dense ω -bounded subgroups defined in Corollary 3.3 cannot satisfy such disjointness conditions. Our next theorem, arising from [13, 1.13] and generalizing it, makes this statement specific; see also Hodel [10, 3.3] for an earlier, related argument.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\alpha \geq \omega$, let X be a Tychonoff space with $w(X) \leq \alpha$, and let $\{D_\eta: \eta < \gamma\}$ be a faithfully indexed family of dense subsets of X with $\text{cf}(\gamma) > 2^\alpha$. Then there is $A \in [\gamma]^\gamma$ such that $\bigcap_{\eta \in A} D_\eta$ is dense in X .*

Proof. Each D_η meets each nonempty (basic) open set so for $\eta < \gamma$ there is $E_\eta \subseteq D_\eta$ such that E_η is dense in X and $|E_\eta| \leq w(X) \leq \alpha$ —that is, $E_\eta \in [X]^{\leq \alpha}$. Since $|[X]^{\leq \alpha}| \leq (2^\alpha)^\alpha = 2^\alpha$ and $\text{cf}(\gamma) > 2^\alpha$, there are $E \in [X]^{\leq \alpha}$ and $A \in [\gamma]^\gamma$ such that $E_\eta = E$ for all $\eta \in A$. \square

Since in any space X the intersection of (any family of) ω -bounded subsets is again ω -bounded, we can amalgamate Theorems 3.5 and 3.7 as follows.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\alpha \geq \omega$ and let G be a compact group, either Abelian or connected, such that $w(G) = \alpha^\omega$. Then*

- $|\Omega(G)| = 2^{2^{(\alpha^\omega)}}$; and
- if $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \Omega(G)$ satisfies $|\mathcal{D}| = \gamma$ with $\text{cf}(\gamma) > 2^{(\alpha^\omega)}$ (for example, if $\gamma = (2^{(\alpha^\omega)})^+$ or $\gamma = 2^{2^{(\alpha^\omega)}}$), then there is $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ such that $|\mathcal{E}| = \gamma$ and $\bigcap \mathcal{E} \in \Omega(G)$. \square

4. Concerning compact groups of large weight

It is known that

- every pseudocompact group of uncountable weight contains a proper dense subgroup [5];

(b) every Abelian, zero-dimensional pseudocompact group of uncountable weight contains a proper, dense, pseudocompact subgroup [3]; and

(c) every pseudocompact Abelian group G such that $|G| > \mathfrak{c}$ or $\omega_1 \leq w(G) \leq \mathfrak{c}$ has a proper, dense, pseudocompact subgroup [2].

Since the general question—does every pseudocompact group G of uncountable weight contain a proper, dense, pseudocompact subgroup?—remains unanswered, even when G is assumed compact and Abelian, the following theorems of Itzkowitz and Shakhmatov [14] assume particular interest. It will be noted that their hypothesized compact groups are not assumed to enjoy any product-like structure.

Theorem 4.1 [14].

(a) Every compact group G with $|G| > \mathfrak{c}$ has a proper, dense, countably compact subgroup; and

(b) if $2^{\omega_1} > \mathfrak{c}$ then every compact group with uncountable weight has a proper, dense, countably compact subgroup.

Informally, then, every sufficiently large compact group has a proper, dense countably compact subgroup. In what follows, using techniques suggested in part by an argument in [14], we give similar results concerning the existence of dense ω -bounded subgroups. For our stronger conclusions we need a stronger version of “sufficiently large”; thus our results do not imply Theorem 4.1. We note explicitly that in Theorem 4.2 and Corollary 4.3 below no algebraic or topological properties are imposed on the groups considered; in particular, a product-like structure is not assumed.

Theorem 4.2. Let κ , λ and α satisfy $\log \kappa \leq \lambda$, $\kappa \leq \alpha$, and $\lambda^\omega \cdot 2^{\mathfrak{c}} < 2^\kappa$, and let G be a compact group with $w(G) \geq \alpha$. Then $\Omega(G)$ contains a set \mathcal{W} which is isomorphic as an ordered set to the cardinal number $(\lambda^\omega \cdot 2^{\mathfrak{c}})^+$.

Proof. It is enough to find a continuous homomorphism $\psi: G \rightarrow F$ onto a group F such that $\Omega(F)$ contains such a well-ordered set \mathcal{W} ; for then, just as in the proof of Theorem 3.5, the family $\{\psi^{-1}(W) : W \in \mathcal{W}\}$ is as required in G .

According to [14] it is a “part of folklore”, which first came to our attention explicitly in work of Shakhmatov [15], that for every compact group K and $\omega \leq \gamma \leq w(K)$ there is a closed, normal subgroup H of K such that $w(K/H) = \gamma$. Taking here $K = G$ and $\gamma = \kappa$ and choosing such H with $w(G/H) = \kappa$, and using $d(G/H) = \log(w(G/H)) = \log \kappa$ (cf. [11]) and $|G/H| = 2^{w(G/H)} = 2^\kappa$ (cf. [9, 28.58(c)]), we have from $\log \kappa \leq \lambda < 2^\kappa$ a dense subgroup A of G/H such that $|A| = \lambda$. Referring to Lemma 3.1 and writing $W_0 = \omega(A)$ we have $W_0 \in \Omega(G/H)$ and $|W_0| \leq \lambda^\omega \cdot 2^{\mathfrak{c}} < |G/H|$. We continue recursively, supposing that $\xi < (\lambda^\omega \cdot 2^{\mathfrak{c}})^+$ and that for $\eta < \xi$ groups $W_\eta \in \Omega(G/H)$ have been defined so that the map $\eta \rightarrow W_\eta$ is an order-isomorphism from ξ into $\Omega(G/H)$, and with each $|W_\eta| \leq \lambda^\omega \cdot 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$. If ξ is a limit ordinal, set $W_\xi = \bigcup_{\eta < \xi} W_\eta$; if $\xi = \zeta + 1$ choose $x \in (G/H) \setminus W_\zeta$ and set $W_\xi = \omega(\langle W_\zeta \cup \{x \rangle \rangle)$. This definition of $W_\xi \in \Omega(G/H)$ extends the order-isomorphism and satisfies $|W_\xi| \leq \lambda^\omega \cdot 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$. It is then clear, taking

$F = G/H$ and $\psi: G \rightarrow G/H = F$ the canonical homomorphism, that the family $\mathcal{W} := \{W_\xi: \xi < (\lambda^\omega \cdot 2^\epsilon)^+\}$ is as required in $\Omega(F)$. \square

Corollary 4.3. Let $\alpha \geq \log((2^\epsilon)^+)$ and let G be a compact group with $w(G) = \alpha$. Then

- (a) $|\Omega(G)| > 2^\epsilon$; and
 (b) if every $\lambda < 2^\alpha$ satisfies $\lambda^\omega < 2^\alpha$ then $|\Omega(G)| \geq |G|$.

Proof. (a) Take $\kappa = \lambda = \log((2^\epsilon)^+)$ in Theorem 4.2.

(b) It is enough to show that every λ such that $2^\epsilon \leq \lambda < 2^\alpha$ and $\alpha \leq \lambda < 2^\alpha$ satisfies $|\Omega(G)| \geq \lambda^+$, for then $|\Omega(G)| \geq 2^\alpha = |G|$. Given such λ this follows from Theorem 4.2 upon taking $\kappa = \alpha$. \square

5. Some remaining questions

We have shown that conditions $P(2^\alpha, \alpha)$ and $w\text{-}P(2^\alpha, \alpha)$ hold when $1 < \alpha = \alpha^\omega$, that they fail for $\alpha = \omega$, and that the least cardinal $\alpha > \epsilon$ where $w\text{-}P(2^\alpha, \alpha)$ fails satisfies $\text{cf}(\alpha) > \omega$. This exhausts our knowledge about these properties. In particular, we did not settle the following questions.

Question 5.1. Do conditions $P(2^\alpha, \alpha)$ and $w\text{-}P(2^\alpha, \alpha)$ hold for all cardinals $\alpha > \omega$? For all cardinals $\alpha \geq \epsilon$? For all cardinals α such that $\text{cf}(\alpha) > \omega$?

Question 5.2. Are conditions $P(2^\alpha, \alpha)$ and $w\text{-}P(2^\alpha, \alpha)$ equivalent?

We showed $|\Omega(G)| = 2^{2^\alpha}$ for certain compact groups G with $w(G) = \alpha$ for which $w\text{-}P(2^\alpha, \alpha)$ holds, but we are not convinced of the necessity of our hypotheses. Accordingly, we ask these questions.

Question 5.3. Does $|\Omega(G)| = 2^{2^\alpha}$ hold for every compact, product-like group G with $w(G) = \alpha > \omega$? What if $\text{cf}(\alpha) > \omega$?

Question 5.4. Does $|\Omega(G)| = 2^{2^\alpha}$ hold for every compact (not necessarily product-like) group G with $w(G) = \alpha > \omega$?

Remark 5.5 (Added 1 November 1996). After reading a preliminary version of this manuscript, Alan Dow of York University (Canada) informed us in April 1996, that he can show: there are models of ZFC in which $2^{\aleph_1} \geq \aleph_3$ and condition $w\text{-}P(\aleph_3, \aleph_1)$ fails. This result gives a consistent negative answer to Question 5.1. He has also noted that (in ZFC) every cardinal α such that $\text{cf}(\alpha) > \omega$ satisfies condition $w\text{-}P(\alpha^+, \alpha)$. (Indeed, there is a set $X = \{f_\xi: \xi < \alpha^+\} \subseteq \alpha^\alpha$ such that for $\xi < \eta < \alpha$ there is $\zeta = \zeta(\xi, \eta)$ satisfying $f_\xi(\zeta') < f_\eta(\zeta')$ whenever $\zeta < \zeta' < \alpha$; not only is X a weak- P -space of cardinality α^+ and weight α , but also every countable subset of X is discrete and C -embedded in X .) We are grateful to Alan Dow for permission to cite these statements here.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to Gerald Itzkowitz and Dimitri Shakhmatov for sending us a pre-publication of [14], which suggested the question answered in Corollary 3.3 below. Our result may be compared also with the following related theorem of Itzkowitz [12]: there is a class \mathcal{C} of compact groups, containing all of those to which our theorem applies and many more, for which each $G \in \mathcal{C}$ admits $2^{|G|}$ -many dense pseudocompact subgroups.

References

- [1] W.W. Comfort, Some progress and problems in topological groups, in: Z. Frolík, ed., *General Topology and Its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra VI* (Berlin), Proc. Sixth 1986 Prague Topological Symposium (Heldermann, Berlin, 1988) 95–108.
- [2] W.W. Comfort, H. Gladdines and J. van Mill, Proper pseudocompact subgroups of pseudocompact Abelian groups, in: S. Andima, G. Itzkowitz, T.Y. Kong, R. Kopperman, P.R. Misra, L. Narici and A. Todd, eds., *Papers on General Topology and Applications*, Proc. Queens College Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications, June 1992, Ann. New York Acad. Sci. 728 (New York, 1994) 237–247.
- [3] W.W. Comfort and L.C. Robertson, Extremal phenomena in certain classes of totally bounded groups, *Dissertationes Math., Rozprawy Mat.* 272, (Polish Sci. Publ., Warszawa, 1988).
- [4] W.W. Comfort and J. van Mill, Concerning connected, pseudocompact Abelian groups, *Topology Appl.* 33 (1989) 21–45.
- [5] W.W. Comfort and J. van Mill, Some topological groups with, and some without, proper dense subgroups, *Topology Appl.* 41 (1991) 3–15.
- [6] W.W. Comfort and D. Remus, Pseudocompact refinements of compact group topologies, *Math. Z.* 215 (1994) 337–346.
- [7] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions* (Van Nostrand, New York, 1960).
- [8] E. Hewitt and K.A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis, Vol. I*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 115 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963).
- [9] E. Hewitt and K.A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis, Vol. II*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 152 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970).
- [10] R.E. Hodel, The number of metrizable spaces, *Fund. Math.* 115 (1983) 127–141.
- [11] G.L. Itzkowitz, On the density character of compact topological groups, *Fund. Math.* 75 (1972) 201–203.
- [12] G.L. Itzkowitz, Cardinal numbers associated with dense pseudocompact groups (1995), submitted.
- [13] G. Itzkowitz and D. Shakhmatov, Large families of dense pseudocompact subgroups of compact groups, *Fund. Math.* 147 (1995) 197–212.
- [14] G. Itzkowitz and D. Shakhmatov, Dense countably compact subgroups of compact groups, *Math. Japon.*, to appear.
- [15] D.B. Shakhmatov, A direct proof that every infinite compact group G contains $\{0, 1\}^{w(G)}$, in: S. Andima, G. Itzkowitz, T.Y. Kong, R. Kopperman, P.R. Misra, L. Narici and A. Todd, eds., *Papers in General Topology and Applications*, Proc. Queens College Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications, June 1992, Ann. New York Acad. Sci. 728 (New York, 1994) 276–283.