



On topological groups with a first-countable remainder, III

A.V. Arhangel'skii^a, J. van Mill^{b,c,*}

^a *Moscow, 121165, Russia*

^b *Faculty of Sciences, Department of Mathematics, VU University Amsterdam, De Boelelaan 1081^a, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands*

^c *Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mathematics and Computer Science, TU Delft, Postbus 5031, 2600 GA Delft, The Netherlands*

Received 20 September 2012; received in revised form 8 July 2013; accepted 10 July 2013

Communicated by H.W. Broer

Abstract

We prove a general theorem that allows us to conclude that under CH, the free topological group over a nontrivial convergent sequence S has a first-countable remainder. It is also shown that any separable non-metrizable topological group with a first-countable remainder is Rajkov complete.

© 2013 Royal Dutch Mathematical Society (KWG). Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Character; Remainder; Compactification; Topological group; First-countable; Metrizable; Rajkov complete; Continuum hypothesis

1. Introduction

In a series of papers, Arhangel'skii studied topological spaces having a compactification with a first-countable remainder. Specific attention was paid to topological groups that belong to this class. For details, and references, see e.g., [1–4].

Recently, the authors continued this study in [7,8] and obtained among other things the following results: a topological group with a first-countable remainder has character at most ω_1 ,

* Corresponding author at: Faculty of Sciences, Department of Mathematics, VU University Amsterdam, De Boelelaan 1081^a, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands. Tel.: +31 35 6938094.

E-mail addresses: arhangel.alex@gmail.com (A.V. Arhangel'skii), j.van.mill@vu.nl (J. van Mill).

0019-3577/\$ - see front matter © 2013 Royal Dutch Mathematical Society (KWG). Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.indag.2013.07.002>

has weight at most 2^ω , and is metrizable in case it is pre-compact. It was shown that moreover there exists a non-metrizable topological group with a first-countable remainder in ZFC, and a countable such space under CH (it was known by Arhangel'skii [2] that such a space cannot be countable under $MA + \neg CH$).

In this paper we continue these investigations. We prove a general theorem about spaces having a compactification with first-countable remainder that allows us to conclude that under CH, the free topological group over a nontrivial convergent sequence has a first-countable remainder. We also solve a problem in Juhász, van Mill and Weiss [14] in the negative. Spaces that have a strongly ω -bounded remainder play an important role in our investigations. We prove that a separable topological group with an ω -bounded remainder is Rajkov complete; this implies that any separable non-metrizable topological group with a first-countable remainder is Rajkov complete.

2. Preliminaries

Let \mathcal{P} be any topological property. It is natural to call a space \mathcal{P} -bounded if every subset with property \mathcal{P} has compact closure. In particular, if $P \equiv$ ‘countable’ then we obtain the well-known class of ω -bounded spaces, and if $\mathcal{P} \equiv$ ‘ σ -compact’ then we obtain the class that is called *strongly ω -bounded* in Nyikos [16]. For other concepts that are in the same spirit, see e.g. Juhász, van Mill and Weiss [14].

A space X has *countable type* if every compact subspace of X is contained in a compact subspace of X which has countable character in X . By a well-known result in Henriksen and Isbell [13], a space X is of countable type if and only if the remainder in any (or in some) compactification of X is Lindelöf.

If X is a space, then βX denotes its Čech–Stone compactification, and $X^* = \beta X \setminus X$. It follows by standard methods that a topological space X has an ω -bounded remainder if and only if every remainder of it is ω -bounded. Similarly for strongly ω -bounded. These well-known facts are left as exercises to the reader. A point $p \in X^*$ is said to be a *remote point* of X if $p \notin \overline{D}$, where D is any nowhere dense subset of X (here closure means closure in βX).

For all undefined notions, see Engelking [11]. For information on topological groups, see Arhangel'skii and Tkachenko [6].

3. The theorem

It is a well-known result by Franklin and Rajagopalan [12] that the space $X = \omega \cup \{p\}$, where $p \in \omega^*$ is a P -point, has a compactification bX such that $bX \setminus X$ is $W(\omega_1)$, the space of all countable ordinal numbers. Hence $bX \setminus X$ is first countable. We will generalize this result to **Theorem 3.1** below, which is our main tool for constructing spaces with a first-countable remainder.

Theorem 3.1 (CH). *Let X be a strongly ω -bounded space of countable type with a compactification bX such that $w(bX) \leq 2^\omega$. Then there are a compact space Z and a continuous surjection $f: bX \rightarrow Z$ having the following properties:*

- (1) *The restriction of f to $Y = bX \setminus X$ is a homeomorphism from Y onto $f(Y)$,*
- (2) *$f(Y) \cap f(X) = \emptyset$,*
- (3) *Z is first-countable at all points of $f(X)$.*

Proof. We may assume that $bX \subseteq [\frac{1}{2}, 1]^{\omega_1}$. For every $\alpha < \omega_1$, let $\pi_\alpha: \mathbb{I}^{\omega_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ be the projection onto the α -th coordinate, and let $p_\alpha: X \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ be the restriction of π_α to X .

Let \mathcal{E} be the family of all compact subspaces F of X such that $\chi(F, X) \leq \omega$. Observe that if $F \in \mathcal{E}$ then $\chi(F, bX) \leq \omega$ since X is dense in bX . The next three statements are obvious from our assumptions.

(C₁) $|\mathcal{E}| \leq \omega_1$.

(C₂) $\bigcup \mathcal{E} = X$.

(C₃) There exists an increasing ω_1 -sequence $\{F_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ of members of \mathcal{E} such that $\bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} F_\alpha = X$.

For each $\alpha < \omega_1$ we fix a decreasing sequence $\{W_{\alpha,n} : n < \omega\}$ of open neighborhoods of F_α in bX which is a base at F_α in bX . Fix a continuous function $g_{\alpha,n} : bX \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ satisfying the following conditions for $\alpha < \omega_1$ and $n < \omega$:

(s₁) $g_{\alpha,n}(F_\alpha) = \{0\}$.

(s₂) $g_{\alpha,n}(bX \setminus W_{\alpha,n}) = \{1\}$.

For every $\alpha < \omega_1$, put

$$\mathcal{H}_\alpha = \{p_\alpha \cdot g_{\alpha,n} : n < \omega\}$$

and let

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \mathcal{H}_\alpha.$$

Let $f : bX \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^\mathcal{H}$ denote the diagonal map of the family \mathcal{H} . That is, $f(p)_h = h(p)$ for every $p \in bX$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}$. We claim that $Z = f(bX)$ and f are as required.

Claim 1. For every $\alpha < \omega_1$, $f(F_\alpha)$ is metrizable and $f^{-1}(f(F_\alpha)) = F_\alpha$.

Pick $g \in \mathcal{H}_\beta$ for some $\beta > \alpha$. Then for some n , $g = p_\beta \cdot g_{\beta,n}$. But then $g(F_\alpha) = \{0\}$ since $g_{\beta,n}$ is identically 0 on F_α . So we conclude that

$$f(F_\alpha) \subseteq \left\{ q \in \mathbb{I}^\mathcal{H} : q_h = 0 \text{ for all } h \in \bigcup_{\beta > \alpha} \mathcal{H}_\beta \right\}.$$

Hence $f(F_\alpha)$ is metrizable since $\bigcup_{\beta > \alpha} \mathcal{H}_\beta$ is countable.

We will now show that $f^{-1}(f(F_\alpha)) = F_\alpha$. To prove this, pick an arbitrary $p \in bX \setminus F_\alpha$, and let $n < \omega$ be so large that $p \notin W_{\alpha,n}$. Put $h = p_\alpha \cdot g_{\alpha,n}$. Then

$$f(p)_h = h(p) = p_\alpha \cdot g_{\alpha,n}(p) = p_\alpha(p) \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

and

$$f(x)_h = h(x) = p_\alpha \cdot g_{\alpha,n}(x) = 0$$

for every $x \in F_\alpha$. This completes the proof of the claim.

Hence for every $\alpha < \omega_1$ we have that $f(F_\alpha)$ is a metrizable closed G_δ -subset of the compact space Z . Hence Z is first-countable at all points of $f(X)$.

It also follows from the claim that $f(Y) \cap f(X) = \emptyset$.

We now claim that $f \upharpoonright Y$ is one-to-one. Indeed, if $y(0)$ and $y(1)$ are distinct elements of Y , then we may pick $\alpha < \omega_1$ such that $y(0)_\alpha \neq y(1)_\alpha$. Fix n so that $y(0), y(1) \notin W_{\alpha,n}$. Then

$$p_\alpha \cdot g_{\alpha,n}(y(0)) = p_\alpha(y(0)) = y(0)_\alpha$$

and, similarly, $p_\alpha \cdot g_{\alpha,n}(y(1)) = y(1)_\alpha$, so we are done.

From this we get that for every $y \in Y$ we have $f^{-1}(f(y)) = \{y\}$. Hence f restricts to a homeomorphism on Y . \square

Observe that in order to be in a position to apply [Theorem 3.1](#), we need a nowhere locally compact space X which has a compactification bX such that $w(bX) \leq 2^\omega$ while moreover $bX \setminus X$ is strongly ω -bounded and of countable type. Then [Theorem 3.1](#) tells us that $bX \setminus X$ can be replaced by a first-countable remainder.

Corollary 3.2 (CH). *If Y is a nowhere locally compact Lindelöf space with a strongly ω -bounded remainder and $w(Y) \leq 2^\omega$, then Y has a compactification with a first-countable remainder. Even more is true: for every compactification of Y , there exists a smaller compactification with a first-countable remainder.*

Proof. Simply use the fact that $\beta Y \setminus Y$ has countable type by the Henriksen and Isbell Theorem from [13]. \square

Corollary 3.3 (CH). *Every strongly ω -bounded space X of countable type such that $w(X) \leq 2^\omega$ can be mapped onto a first-countable space R by a perfect mapping (then automatically R is strongly ω -bounded and $w(R) \leq 2^\omega$).*

We will now discuss the assumptions in [Theorem 3.1](#). We will first show that CH is essential.

Let Seq denote the set of all finite sequences of elements from ω . Moreover, let p be a free ultrafilter on ω . Define a topology \mathcal{T} on Seq by the rule: $V \subseteq \text{Seq}$ is open iff for every $s \in V$, the set $\{n < \omega : s \hat{\ } n \in V\} \in p$. Here $s \hat{\ } n$ denotes the concatenation of s by n . It is easy to verify that Seq with this topology is Tychonoff, zero-dimensional, perfect and extremally disconnected. For details and variations, see Arhangel'skii and Franklin [5] and Dow, Gubbi and Szymański [10].

Let $[\omega]^{<\omega}$ be the set of all finite subsets of ω . Clearly, the symmetric difference operator Δ makes $[\omega]^{<\omega}$ a Boolean group. For a free ultrafilter p on ω , define a topology \mathcal{T}_p on $[\omega]^{<\omega}$ as follows:

$$U \in \mathcal{T}_p \iff (\forall F \in U)(\{n < \omega : F \Delta n \in U\} \in p).$$

It is not difficult to see that \mathcal{T}_p is extremally disconnected.

This topology is due to Louveau [15] who proved that if p is selective, then \mathcal{T}_p is compatible with the group structure on $[\omega]^{<\omega}$. The topological group thus obtained is denoted by $L(p)$ and hence is an example of a non-discrete extremally disconnected topological group.

It was shown by Vaughan [23] that $L(p)$ and Seq (for the same ultrafilter p) are homeomorphic.

Theorem 3.4. *If p is a selective ultrafilter on ω of character greater than ω_1 , then Seq is a countable non-discrete topological group whose Čech–Stone remainder is strongly ω -bounded but none of its remainders is first-countable.*

Proof. That Seq^* is strongly ω -bounded was proved in [10, Remark 1]. It is easy to see that the character of Seq is greater than ω_1 . Just observe that the space $\omega \cup \{p\}$ is a subspace of Seq . Hence Seq does not have a first-countable remainder since any topological group with a first-countable remainder has character ω_1 by Arhangel'skii and van Mill [7, Theorem 2.1]. \square

Hence in [Theorem 3.1](#) CH is indeed essential since for example under $\text{MA} + \neg\text{CH}$ there exist selective ultrafilters on ω and they have character $2^\omega > \omega_1$ [18].

The question of whether the assumption on strong ω -boundedness is essential in [Theorem 3.1](#) is very natural. We will answer it in the negative by using a powerful recent result of Dow [9]. For basic facts on Čech–Stone compactifications, see van Mill [22].

Theorem 3.5 (\diamond). *There are a σ -compact nowhere locally compact space X and a compactification bX of X such that $bX \setminus X$ is ω -bounded (and clearly of countable type) while moreover every compactification cX of X such that $cX \leq bX$ has the property that $cX \setminus X$ is not first-countable.*

Proof. Put $K = \omega \times \omega^*$, and for every n , let $K_n = \{n\} \times \omega^*$. Dow [9] recently proved that under \diamond , K has a remote point p which is simultaneously a P -point of K^* . Put $S = \beta K \setminus \{p\}$. Since countable subsets of K are nowhere dense, it clearly follows that S is ω -bounded. It is not strongly ω -bounded though since it is not compact and contains a dense σ -compact subspace. We now put $X = (\beta K)^\omega \setminus S^\omega$ and $bX = (\beta K)^\omega$. We claim that X and bX are as required. Clearly, $bX \setminus X = S^\omega$ is ω -bounded. We let Δ denote the diagonal in the product $(\beta K)^\omega$ and, by abuse of notation, identify it with βK . Hence we consider p to also be a point of X . Assume that cX is a compactification of X such that $cX \leq bX$ and assume, striving for a contradiction, that $cX \setminus X$ is first-countable. Let $f: bX \rightarrow cX$ be a continuous surjection that restricts to the identity on X .

Fix n for a while, and let $g_n = f \upharpoonright K_n: K_n \rightarrow cX \setminus X$. Since $f(K_n)$ is first-countable, the fibers of the map g_n are all closed G_δ -subsets of K_n . But every closed G_δ -subset of ω^* has a dense interior. For every $s \in f(K_n)$, let U_s^n denote the dense interior of $g_n^{-1}(\{s\})$. Let F_n be the complement in K_n of the union of the disjoint family $\{U_s^n : s \in f(K_n)\}$. Then F_n is a closed nowhere dense subset of K_n .

Since p is a remote point of K , p is not in the closure of the nowhere dense set $F = \bigcup_{n < \omega} F_n$. Hence there is a clopen set C in K which contains p in its closure and is contained in the complement of F . The compact set $C_n = C \cap K_n$ is covered by $\{U_s^n : s \in f(K_n)\}$. Hence there is a finite subset G_n of $f(K_n)$ such that $C_n \subseteq \bigcup_{s \in G_n} U_s^n$. Since p is in the closure (in cX) of $f(C)$, we conclude that p is in the closure of the countable subset $\bigcup_{n < \omega} G_n$ of $cX \setminus X$. Since X is nowhere locally compact, the remainder $cX \setminus X$ is dense in cX . Since it is first-countable, this implies that p has countable π -character in X . Since the restriction to X of the projection $(\beta K)^\omega \rightarrow \beta K$ onto the first factor space is open, this shows that p has countable π -character in βK , which is absurd. \square

Problem 3.6. Is there in ZFC a nowhere locally compact Lindelöf space X having no first-countable remainder while X^* is ω -bounded? What if X in addition is a topological group?

4. Applications to topological groups

For topological groups with special properties, the property of having a first-countable remainder can be characterized as follows under CH:

Theorem 4.1 (CH). *Suppose that G is a Lindelöf non-locally compact topological group with a strongly ω -bounded remainder. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) G has a first-countable remainder.
- (ii) The weight of G equals ω_1 .

Proof. The implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) is a consequence of [Corollary 3.2](#). For (i) \Rightarrow (ii), we first use Arhangel'skii and van Mill [7] to conclude that the character of G is ω_1 . But a Lindelöf

topological group with character ω_1 clearly has weight ω_1 . (Observe that G does not have countable weight since it has a strongly ω -bounded remainder.) \square

The first topological group with a first-countable remainder which is countable and not metrizable, was constructed by the authors under CH in [8]. This example is not a familiar topological group. The results in this paper allow us to conclude that many familiar topological groups have the same property.

Corollary 4.2 (CH). *If G is the free (Abelian) topological group over any infinite separable compactum, then G has a first-countable remainder (clearly, G is not metrizable).*

Proof. It is known that G is a k_ω -space, see e.g. Ordman [17] and Arhangel'skii and Tkachenko [6, Theorem 7.4.1]. Moreover, by an unpublished result of van Douwen (see [14, Proposition 5.3]), the Čech–Stone remainder of any k_ω -space is strongly ω -bounded. (This was independently and unaware of van Douwen's result also established in Arhangel'skii [2].) Hence G^* is strongly ω -bounded and of countable type since G is Lindelöf being σ -compact. Since G has weight 2^ω , being separable, we are done by Theorem 4.1. \square

We finish this section by answering the first part of Questions 6.4 and 6.5 in Juhász, van Mill and Weiss [14] in the negative.

Corollary 4.3 (CH). *There is a first-countable strongly ω -bounded space which has a dense hereditarily Lindelöf subspace and is neither ccc-bounded nor compact.*

Proof. Let Y be the remainder of the compactification bG that was constructed in Corollary 4.2. It is clear that Y is not compact, G being nowhere locally compact. But Y is strongly ω -bounded and hence a Baire space. Since Y has weight 2^ω , as bG is separable, it follows that Y has a dense Luzin (hence hereditarily Lindelöf) subspace by van Douwen, Tall and Weiss [21]. Since both G and Y are dense in bG , we conclude that Y is ccc. \square

5. Rajkov completeness

A topological group G is called *Rajkov complete* if all of its Cauchy filters (with respect to the two-sided uniformity) converge. It is known that a closed subgroup of a Rajkov complete topological group is Rajkov complete, that every Čech-complete topological group is Rajkov complete and that a metrizable group is Rajkov complete if and only if it is Čech-complete. It is also known that for every topological group G there exists a unique (up to topological isomorphism) Rajkov complete topological group ρG containing (a topologically isomorphic copy of) G as a dense subgroup. For this and more information about Rajkov completeness, see Arhangel'skii and Tkachenko [6, Sections 3.6 and 4.3].

Observe that every Rajkov complete subgroup G of a topological group H is closed in H . Let us call a topological group G (a space X) *TOG-closed*, if for every topological group H and every subgroup A of H which is homeomorphic to G (homeomorphic to X) we have that A is closed in H . This property can easily be characterized, as follows:

Proposition 5.1. *A topological group G is TOG-closed if and only if every topological group H which is homeomorphic to G is Rajkov complete.*

Proof. Simply observe that if G is homeomorphic to H and H is not Rajkov complete, then it is not closed in ρH . \square

Hence there are many such groups. For example, every Čech complete topological group is TOG-closed.

It is not true that a topological group is Rajkov complete if and only if it is TOG-closed: there are many examples of homeomorphic topological groups G and H such that G is Rajkov complete, but H is not. We will prove in [Proposition 5.2](#) below that every topological group G has the property that its free topological group $F(G)$ is homeomorphic to the product of G and a nontrivial group N (similarly for $A(G)$). Hence the topological group $A(\mathbb{Q})$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{Q} \times N$, for some topological group N . Here \mathbb{Q} denotes the space of rational numbers. But $A(\mathbb{Q})$ is Rajkov complete (Arhangel'skii and Tkachenko [6, 7.9.7]), and the topological group $\mathbb{Q} \times N$ is not since \mathbb{Q} is not Čech complete.

Proposition 5.2. *Let G be a topological group. Then its free topological group $F(G)$ is homeomorphic to $G \times N$, where N is a nontrivial topological group (similarly for $A(G)$).*

Proof. There is clearly a retraction $r: F(G) \rightarrow G$ which is also a homomorphism. Let N denotes its kernel. The function $f: F(G) \rightarrow G \times N$ defined by

$$f(p) = (r(p), p \cdot r(p)^{-1})$$

is a homeomorphism. \square

This suggests the following interesting problem.

Problem 5.3. Characterize the topological spaces X for which $A(X)$ and $X \times A(X)$ are homeomorphic. Similarly for $F(X)$.

It is not true that for all spaces X the product $X \times F(X)$ is homogeneous. For example, let $X = \beta\omega$. Indeed, the projection mapping from $X \times F(X)$ to X is open and continuous. Since the cardinality of X is greater than 2^ω , it follows from Theorem 4.1(a) of van Douwen's paper [20] that no power of the space $X \times F(X)$ is homogeneous. Similarly for $X \times A(X)$. Of particular interest in [Problem 5.3](#) is the case when X is (compact) metrizable.

A topological group G will be called *Rajkov countably complete*, if every countable subset of G is contained in a Rajkov complete subgroup of G .

Theorem 5.4. *Suppose that G is a topological group with an ω -bounded remainder. Then G is Rajkov countably complete.*

Proof. Fix a countable subset A of G and consider the Rajkov completion ρG of G . Let $\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$ be the countable subgroup of G algebraically generated by A in G . Fix any compactification B of the space ρG . Then B is also a compactification of G , since G is a dense subspace of ρG . So we put $bG = B$ and $Y = bG \setminus G$. Let H denote the closure of $\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$ in ρG . Suppose that there exists an element $p \in H \setminus G$. Then $p\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$ is a countable dense subset of H which is entirely contained in Y . But this disproves the fact that Y is ω -bounded. As a consequence, the Rajkov complete subgroup H of ρG is contained in G and hence we are done. \square

Since a separable topological group is Rajkov countably complete if and only if it is Rajkov complete, the following corollary is obvious.

Corollary 5.5. *Suppose that G is a separable topological group with an ω -bounded remainder. Then G is TOG-closed. If moreover G is countable, then every closed subgroup (subspace) of G is TOG-closed.*

Thus, we have a dichotomy:

Theorem 5.6. *If G is a separable topological group with a first-countable remainder, then either G is metrizable, or G is TOG-closed.*

Proof. If G is not metrizable, then G has an ω -bounded remainder by Arhangel'skii [2]. \square

Not every countable Rajkov complete topological group has a first-countable remainder, as the following result shows.

Example 5.7. The topological group $A(\mathbb{Q})$ does not have a first-countable remainder.

Proof. Note that \mathbb{Q} is closed in G . Assume, striving for a contradiction, that G has a first-countable remainder Y in some compactification bG of G . Let $b\mathbb{Q}$ be the closure of \mathbb{Q} in bG . Put $Z = b\mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. Clearly, Z is a closed subspace of Y , since \mathbb{Q} is closed in G . Since \mathbb{Q} is not locally compact, \mathbb{Q} is not open in $b\mathbb{Q}$. Since \mathbb{Q} is first-countable, we conclude that the closure of some countable subset of Z intersects \mathbb{Q} . Hence, neither Z , nor Y is ω -bounded. However, Y is ω -bounded, since G is not metrizable and Y is dense in bG and first-countable [2]. This is a contradiction. \square

This is also true for the free topological group $F(\mathbb{Q})$ over \mathbb{Q} since $F(\mathbb{Q})$ is Rajkov complete as well [19].

It follows from this and Corollary 4.2 that, under CH, \mathbb{Q} does not embed in the free Abelian group $A(S)$ over a nontrivial convergent sequence S as a closed subset. However, more is true. We claim that \mathbb{Q} cannot be embedded in $A(S)$. Indeed, the ‘layers’ of $A(S)$ are countable compact spaces of finite Cantor–Bendixson height. Hence every compact subspace of $A(S)$ has finite Cantor–Bendixson height. But \mathbb{Q} contains compacta of arbitrarily large (countable) Cantor–Bendixson height.

This last observation implies that every metrizable subspace of $A(S)$ is scattered. Indeed, if it were not scattered then it would contain a topological copy of \mathbb{Q} .

These results suggest the following problems.

Problem 5.8. Is every closed subgroup of a separable topological group with an ω -bounded remainder TOG-closed?

Problem 5.9. Is there in ZFC a countable Rajkov complete topological group with an ω -bounded remainder and no first-countable remainder?

The following problems are also quite interesting.

Problem 5.10. Is there a non-metrizable topological group with a first countable but not strongly ω -bounded remainder?

Problem 5.11. Does there exist, under CH, a countable topological group with an ω -bounded but not a strongly ω -bounded remainder?

References

- [1] A.V. Arhangel'skii, Reminders in compactifications and generalized metrizable properties, *Topology Appl.* 150 (2005) 79–90.
- [2] A.V. Arhangel'skii, First-countability, tightness, and other cardinal invariants in remainders of topological groups, *Topology Appl.* 154 (2007) 2950–2961.

- [3] A.V. Arhangel'skii, More on remainders close to metrizable spaces, *Topology Appl.* 154 (2007) 1084–1088.
- [4] A.V. Arhangel'skii, Two types of remainders of topological groups, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* 47 (2008) 119–126.
- [5] A.V. Arhangel'skii, S.P. Franklin, Ordinal invariants for topological spaces, *Michigan Math. J.* 15 (1968) 313–320; A.V. Arhangel'skii, S.P. Franklin, Ordinal invariants for topological spaces, *Michigan Math. J.* 15 (1968) 506. Addendum.
- [6] A.V. Arhangel'skii, M.G. Tkachenko, *Topological Groups and Related Structures*, in: *Atlantis Studies in Mathematics*, vol. 1, Atlantis Press, World Scientific, Paris, 2008.
- [7] A.V. Arhangel'skii, J. van Mill, On topological groups with a first-countable remainder, *Topology Proc.* 42 (2013) 157–163.
- [8] A.V. Arhangel'skii, J. van Mill, On topological groups with a first-countable remainder, II, 2012.
- [9] A. Dow, A remote P -point from \diamond . Preprint.
- [10] A. Dow, A.V. Gubbi, A. Szymański, Rigid stone spaces in ZFC, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 102 (1988) 745–748.
- [11] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, second ed., Heldermann-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [12] S.P. Franklin, M. Rajagopalan, Some examples in topology, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 155 (1971) 305–314.
- [13] M. Henriksen, J.R. Isbell, Some properties of compactifications, *Duke Math. J.* 25 (1957) 83–105.
- [14] I. Juhász, J. van Mill, W. Weiss, Variations on ω -boundedness, *Israel J. Math.* 194 (2013) 745–766.
- [15] A. Louveau, Sur un article de S. Sirota, *Bull. Sci. Math. (2)* 96 (1972) 3–7.
- [16] P. Nyikos, First countable, countably compact, noncompact spaces, in: E. Pearl (Ed.), *Open Problems in Topology II*, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 2007, pp. 217–224.
- [17] E.T. Ordman, Free k -groups and free topological groups, *Gen. Top. Appl.* 5 (1975) 205–219.
- [18] M.E. Rudin, Lectures on Set Theoretic Topology, in: *Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*, vol. 23, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1975.
- [19] V.V. Uspenskiy, Free topological groups of metrizable spaces, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 54 (6) (1990) 1295–1319.
- [20] E.K. van Douwen, Nonhomogeneity of products of preimages and π -weight, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 69 (1978) 183–192.
- [21] E.K. van Douwen, F.D. Tall, W.A.R. Weiss, Nonmetrizable hereditarily Lindelöf spaces with point countable bases from CH, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 64 (1977) 139–145.
- [22] J. van Mill, An introduction to $\beta\omega$, in: K. Kunen, J.E. Vaughan (Eds.), *Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology*, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1984, pp. 503–567.
- [23] J.E. Vaughan, Two spaces homeomorphic to $\text{Seq}(p)$, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* 42 (2001) 209–218.