ABSTRACT
We introduce pytrec_eval, a Python interface to the trec_eval information retrieval evaluation toolkit. pytrec_eval exposes the reference implementations of trec_eval within Python as a native extension. We show that pytrec_eval is around one order of magnitude faster than invoking trec_eval as a sub process from within Python. Compared to a native Python implementation of NDCG, pytrec_eval is twice as fast for practically-sized rankings. Finally, we demonstrate its effectiveness in an application where pytrec_eval is combined with Pyndri and the OpenAI Gym where query expansion is learned using Q-learning.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Evaluation is a crucial component of any information retrieval (IR) system [2]. Reusable test collections and off-line evaluation measures [7] have been the dominating paradigm for experimentally validating IR research for the last 30 years. The popularity and ubiquity of off-line IR evaluation measures is partly due to the Text REtrieval Conference (TREC) [5]. TREC led to the development of the trec_eval\(^1\) software package that is the standard tool for evaluating a collection of rankings. The trec_eval tool allows IR researchers to easily compute a large number of evaluation measures using standardized input and output formats. For a document collection, a test collection of queries with query/document relevance information (i.e., \(qrel\)) and a set of rankings generated by a particular IR system (i.e., a system run) for the test collection queries, trec_eval outputs a standardized output format containing evaluation measure values. The adoption of trec_eval as an integral part of IR research has led to the following benefits: (a) standardized formats for system rankings and query relevance information such that different research groups can exchange experimental results with minimal communication, and (b) open-source reference implementations of evaluation measures—provided by a third party (i.e., NIST)—that promotes transparent and consistent evaluation.

While the availability of trec_eval has brought many benefits to the IR community, it has the downside that it is available only as a standalone executable that is interfaced by passing files with rankings and ground truth information. In recent years, the Python programming language has risen in popularity due to its feature richness (i.e., scientific libraries and data structures) and holistic language design [3]. Research progresses at a rate proportional to the time it takes to implement an idea, and consequently, scripting languages (e.g., Python) are preferred over conventional programming languages [6]. Within IR research, retrieval systems are often implemented and optimized using Python (e.g., [4, 9]) and for their evaluation trec_eval is used. However, invoking trec_eval from Python is expensive as it involves (1) serializing the internal ranking structures to disk files, (2) invoking trec_eval through the operating system, and (3) parsing the trec_eval evaluation output from the standard output stream. This workflow is unnecessarily inefficient as it incurs (a) a double I/O cost when the ranking is first serialized by the Python script and subsequently parsed by trec_eval, and (b) a context-switching overhead as the invocation of trec_eval needs to be processed by the operating system.

We introduce pytrec_eval to counter these excessive efficiency costs and avoid a wild growth of ad-hoc Python-based evaluation measure implementations. pytrec_eval builds upon the trec_eval source code and exposes a Python-first interface to the trec_eval evaluation toolkit as a native Python extension. Rankings constructed in Python can directly be passed to the evaluation procedure, without incurring disk I/O costs; evaluation is performed using the original trec_eval implementation. Due to pytrec_eval’s implementation as a native Python extension, context-switching overheads are avoided as the evaluation procedure and its invocation reside within the same process. Next to improved efficiency, pytrec_eval brings the following benefits: (a) current and future reference trec_eval implementations of IR evaluation measures are available within Python, and (b) as the evaluation measures are implemented in C, their execution are typically faster than native Python-based alternatives. The main purpose of this paper is to describe pytrec_eval, provide empirical evidence of the speedup that pytrec_eval delivers, and showcase the use of pytrec_eval in a reinforcement learning application. We ask the following questions: (RQ1) What speedup do we obtain when using pytrec_eval over trec_eval (serialize-invoke-parse workflow)? (RQ2) How fast is pytrec_eval compared to native Python implementations of IR evaluation measures? We also present a demo application that combines Pyndri [9] and pytrec_eval in a query formulation

\(^1\)Open-source implementation is available at https://github.com/cvangysel/pytrec_eval.

\(^2\)https://github.com/usnistgov/trec_eval
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As demonstrated above, pytrec_eval library has a minimalistic design. Its main interface is the RelevanceEvaluator class. The RelevanceEvaluator class takes as arguments (1) query relevance ground truth, a dictionary of query identifiers to a dictionary of document identifiers and their integral relevance level, and (2) a set of evaluation measures to compute (e.g., ndcg, map). Code snippet 1 shows a minimal example on how pytrec_eval can be used to evaluate a ranking. Rankings are encoded by a mapping from document identifiers to their retrieval scores. Internally, pytrec_eval sorts the documents in decreasing order of retrieval score. This behavior mimics the implementation of trec_eval, which ignores the order of documents within the user-provided file, and only considers the document scores. Similar to trec_eval, document ties, which occur when two documents are assigned the same score, are broken by secondarily sorting on document identifier. Query relevance ground truth is passed to pytrec_eval in a similar way to document scores, where relevance is encoded as an integer rather than a floating point value.

Beyond measures computed over the full ranking of documents, pytrec_eval also supports measures computed up to a particular rank k. The values of k are the same as the ones used by trec_eval. For example, measures ndcg_cut and P correspond to NDCG@k and precision@k, respectively, with k = 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 100, 200, 500, 1000. The set of supported evaluation measures is stored in the pytrec_eval.supported_measures property and the identifiers are the same as used by trec_eval (i.e., running trec_eval with arguments -m ndcg_cut --help will show documentation for the NDCG@k measure). To mimic the behavior of trec_eval to compute all known evaluation measures (i.e., passing argument -m all_trec to trec_eval), just instantiate RelevanceEvaluator with pytrec_eval.supported_measures as the second argument.

3 BENCHMARK RESULTS

As demonstrated above, pytrec_eval conveniently exposes popular IR evaluation measures within Python. However, the same functionality could be exposed by invoking trec_eval in a serialize-invoke-parse workflow—or—by implementing the evaluation measure natively in Python. In this section we provide empirical benchmark results that show that pytrec_eval, beyond its convenience,
We showcase the integration of the Pyndri indexing library [9] and pytrec_eval within the OpenAI Gym [1], a reinforcement learning library, for the task of query expansion. In particular, we use Pyndri to rank documents according to a textual query and subsequently evaluate the obtained ranking using pytrec_eval. The reinforcement learning agent navigates an environment where actions correspond to adding a term to the query. Rewards are given by an increase or decrease in evaluation measure (i.e., NDCG). The goal is for the agent to learn a policy $\pi^*$ that optimizes the expected value of the total reward. For the purpose of this demonstration of software interoperability, we synthesize a test collection in order to (1) limit the computational complexity that arises from real-world collections, and (2) to give us the ability to create an unlimited number of training queries and relevance judgments.

**Document collection.** We construct a synthetic document collection $D$, of a given size $|D| = 100$, following the principles laid out by Tague et al. [8]. For a given vocabulary size $|V| = 10,000$, we construct vocabulary $V$ consisting of symbolic tokens. We sample collection-wide unigram $|V|$ parameters and bigram $|V|^2$ parameters pseudo counts from an exponential distribution ($\lambda = 1.0$). This incorporates term specificity within our synthetic collection, as only few term uni- and bigrams will be frequent and most will be infrequent. These pseudo counts will then serve as the concentration parameters of Dirichlet distributions from which we will sample a uni- and bigram language model for every document. We create $|D|$ documents as follows. For every document $d$, given the average document length $\mu_d = 200$, we sample its document size, $|d|$, from a Poisson with mean $\mu_d$. We then sample two language models—one for unigrams $P(w \mid d)$ and another for bigrams $P((x, y) \mid d)$—from a Dirichlet distribution where the concentration parameters we defined earlier for the whole collection. The document is then constructed as follows. Until we have reached $|d|$ tokens, we repeat the following: (a) sample an n-gram size from a predefined probability distribution ($P(n = 1) = 0.9, P(n = 2) = 0.1$), and subsequently, (b) sample an n-gram from the corresponding language model. We truncate a document if it exceeds its pre-defined length $|d|$.

**Query collection.** Once we obtained our synthetic document collection $D$, we proceed by constructing our query set $Q$, of a given size $|Q| = 100,000$, as follows. For every query $q$ to be constructed, we select $r = 5$ documents uniformly at random from $D$ and denote these as the set of relevant documents $R_q \subset D$ for query $q$. Given the average query length $\mu_q = 3$, the length of query $q$, $|q|$, is then sampled from a Poisson distribution with mean $\mu_q$. We write $P(w \mid R_q)$ and $P(w \mid D)$ to denote the empirical language models.

4 **EXAMPLE: Q-LEARNING**

**Figure 1:** Speedup of pytrec_eval (down-rounded speedup in each box; runtime measured as average over 20 repetitions) compared to invoking trec_eval using a serialize-invoke-parse workflow (§1) for different numbers of queries, different numbers of ranked documents per query, and using different types of storage (hard disk drive, solid state drive and random access memory) for serializing the rankings and query relevance ground truth.

**Figure 2:** Speedup of pytrec_eval over a native Python implementation of the NDCG evaluation measure (we report average speedup and its standard deviation over 20 repetitions). For practically-sized rankings, pytrec_eval is consistently faster than the native Python implementation.

**RQ2** How fast is pytrec_eval compared to native Python implementations of IR evaluation measures?

Fig. 2 shows the speedup of pytrec_eval over a Python-native implementation of NDCG for a single query and a varying number of documents. Here we see that for extremely short rankings (1–3 documents), the native implementation outperforms pytrec_eval. However, for rankings consisting of 5 documents or more, we can see that pytrec_eval provides a consistent performance boost over the native implementation. The reason for the sub-native performance of pytrec_eval for very short rankings is because—before pytrec_eval computes evaluation measures—rankings need to be converted into the internal C format used by trec_eval. The Python-native implementation does not require this transformation, and consequently, can thus be slightly faster when rankings are very short. However, it is important to note that short rankings are uncommon in IR and that the average ranking consists of around 100 to 1,000 documents. We conclude that pytrec_eval is faster than native Python implementations for practically-size rankings.
estimated from concatenating the relevant documents for query $q$ and from concatenating all documents in the collection $D$ (i.e., the collection language model), respectively. The $|q|$ terms of query $q$ are sampled with replacement from $P(w \mid R_q) \cdot (1.0 - P(w \mid D))$, such that terms specific to $R_q$ and uncommon in $D$ are selected.

Environment. For each query $q$, the environment is initialized to the state where only the query terms are present. At any given state, the agent can then choose to expand the query terms with any unigram term from the vocabulary $V$ in addition to a null operation action. Rankings are obtained by querying the Indri search engine using Pyndri, using a Dirichlet language model ($\mu = 2,500$), and obtaining a ranking of the top-10 documents. The reward of choosing an action is the $\Delta$NDCG that is obtained by expanding the query with the chosen term. As observation, the agent receives a binary vector indicating which terms of the vocabulary $V$ occur at least once in the current expanded query. After 5 actions—or a perfect NDCG (i.e., $1.0$) is achieved—the episode terminates.

Reinforcement learning agent. We learn an optimal policy tabular $\pi^*$ using Q-learning where the initial values of the $Q(\cdot)$ are initialized to zero. We set the learning rate $\alpha = 0.1$ and the discount factor $\gamma = 0.95$. During learning, we maintain an $\epsilon$-greedy strategy with $\epsilon = 0.05$. Fig. 3 shows the average reward obtained while training an agent on the reinforcement learning problem defined above. The average reward obtained by the agent increases over time. The Q-learning algorithm over time while training the reinforcement learning agent. The agent learns to select vocabulary terms that improve retrieval effectiveness for the set of 100k training queries.

In this paper, we introduced pytrec_eval, a Python interface to trec_eval. pytrec_eval builds upon the trec_eval source code and exposes a Python-first interface to the trec_eval evaluation toolkit as a native Python extension. This allows for convenient and fast invocation of IR evaluation measures directly from Python. We showed that pytrec_eval is around one order of magnitude faster than invoking trec_eval in a serialize-invoke-parse workflow as it avoids the costs associated with (1) the serialization of the rankings to storage, and (2) operation system context switching. Compared to a native Python implementation of NDCG, pytrec_eval is approximately twice as fast for practically-sized rankings (100 to 1,000 documents). In addition, we showcased the integration of Pyndri [9] and pytrec_eval within the OpenAI Gym [1] and showed that all three modules can be combined to quickly prototype ideas.

In this paper, we used a tabular function during Q-learning; other functional forms—such as a deep neural network—can also be used. Pyndri and pytrec_eval expose common IR operations through a convenient Python interface. Beyond the convenience that both modules provide, an important design principle is that expensive operations (e.g., indexing, ranking) are performed using efficient low-level languages (e.g., C), while Python takes on the role of an instructor that links the expensive operations. Future work consists of exposing more IR operations as Python libraries and allowing more interoperability amongst modules. For example, currently Pyndri converts its internal Indri structures to Python structures, which are then again converted back to internal trec_eval structures by pytrec_eval. A closer integration of Pyndri and pytrec_eval could result in even faster execution times as both can communicate directly—in cases where one is only interested in the evaluation measures and not the rankings—rather than through Python.
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