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1. INTRODUCTION

We summarize findings from [4]. Recent years show an increasing interest in vertical search: searching within a particular type of information. Understanding what people search for in these “verticals” gives direction to research and provides pointers for the search engines themselves. In this paper we analyze the search logs of one particular vertical: people search engines. Based on an extensive analysis of the logs of a search engine geared towards finding people, we propose a classification scheme for people search at three levels: (a) queries, (b) sessions, and (c) users. For queries, we identify three types, (i) event-based high-profile queries (people that become “popular” because of an event happening), (ii) regular high-profile queries (celebrities), and (iii) low-profile queries (other, less-known people). We present experiments on automatic classification of queries. On the session level, we observe five types: (i) family sessions (users looking for relatives), (ii) event sessions (querying the main players of an event), (iii) spotting sessions (trying to “spot” different celebrities online), (iv) polymorous sessions (sessions without a clear relation between queries), and (v) repetitive sessions (query refinement and copying). Finally, for users we identify four types: (i) monitors, (ii) spotters, (iii) followers, and (iv) polymers.

Our findings not only offer insight into search behavior in people search engines, but they are also useful to identify future research directions and to provide pointers for search engine improvements.

We seek to answer the following research questions: (A) What are the general usage statistics of a people search engine? (B) Can we identify different types for our information objects (queries, sessions, users)? (C) Can we automatically classify queries into the proposed types? (D) What are interesting findings in people search that indicate future research directions?

2. USAGE STATISTICS

Search system and data. Figure 1 shows the standard interface of the commercial Dutch language people search engine we study. The query log data was collected between September 1, 2010 and December 31, 2010.

Query characteristics. Table 1 lists the characteristics of the individual queries in our log data. We find that a significant amount of queries consists of one term. For these queries the distribution of queries over the number of out clicks has a longer tail than for multiple term queries. This indicates that people are exploring the search results.

Table 1: Characteristics of individual queries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of queries</td>
<td>13,331,417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of unique queries</td>
<td>4,221,556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of one term queries</td>
<td>537,365 (4.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Characteristics of sessions (time-out 40 minutes).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of sessions</td>
<td>8,125,695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of sessions with &gt; 1 query</td>
<td>1,775,880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of sessions per day</td>
<td>67,155</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Out click characteristics. Out click statistics are listed in Table 3. When we compare the percentage of queries with at least one out click to out clicks in web search, we notice that the percentages in people search are much lower. Numbers for web search vary greatly (50% in [1], 73% in [3]), but are consistently higher than the 17% for our data. We identify two reasons for the low out click ratio in people search: (i) People search is still a challenging problem, and it is not easy to find relevant results for all person queries, and (ii) the interface already displays information about the person (e.g., related news articles, images, and facts).

The search result page of the people search engine has different parts for different kinds of search results. Their popularity in terms of out clicks is listed in Table 4. Social media results are the most popular and make up 66% of all out clicks, followed by search engine results.
Analysis of the individual features shows that search distinguishing event-based from high-profile queries is harder. An analysis from high-profile queries is possible with good accuracy, but distinguishing low-profile queries. For both queries we can identify related (news) events that led to this peak: Derck Stabler was the main suspect in the murder of his mother (on October 4); Nathalie Weinreder is a murder victim (on December 12). On the other hand, the query volume for the regular high-profile query is relatively stable, with about 100 queries per day over the whole period. The low-profile query has no peaks, and search volume is very modest (one search a few days).

Automatic classification. Being able to automatically classify queries as high-profile or low-profile is useful, both for investigating sessions/users and for a people search system. Based on this classification, the system might prioritize different result types or show additional information sources.

We train a J48 decision tree algorithm on a sample of an annotated set of queries. To counter class distribution skewedness, we downsample the more common classes to the size of the least common class. Table 5 shows our results. Distinguishing low-profile from high-profile queries is possible with good accuracy, but distinguishing event-based from high-profile queries is harder. An analysis of the contribution of the individual features shows that search volume in the logs, and result counts for Wikipedia and social media are most important, while mentions in Dutch news are ignored.

4. Conclusion

We performed an analysis of query log data from a commercial people search engine, consisting of 13m queries submitted over a four month period. It is the first time a query log analysis is performed on a people search engine, in order to investigate search behavior for this particular type of information object.

We focused our analysis on four information objects: queries, sessions, users, and out clicks. The most interesting findings include (i) a significant number of users type just one term (i.e., only a first or last name) and start exploring results; (ii) we observe a much higher percentage of one query sessions in people search as compared to web search; (iii) we observe a low click-through ratio as compared to web search; (iv) social media results are the most popular result type. Furthermore, we have proposed classification schemes for queries, sessions, and users, and shown, through an initial experiment, that automatic classification of queries is doable.

Analysis of the features shows the usefulness of social media reports in identifying high-profile queries.
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