

# Hints for Exercises

## Chapter 7

**125.** Recall (Exercise 16 p. 12 and Theorem 4.18 p. 34) that  $(\mathbf{G}$  is the least class such that  $\wp(\mathbf{G}) = \mathbf{G}$ ). Show, in ZF *minus* Foundation:

1. If  $K$  is a class such that  $\wp(K) = K$ , then every ZF axiom —with the possible exception of Foundation— holds in  $K$ .
2. Foundation is true in  $\mathbf{G}$ .

Thus,  $\mathbf{G}$  is an inner model for ZF *including* Foundation in ZF *minus* Foundation. Therefore, if the latter theory is consistent, then so is the former.

*Hints.*

1. Note that  $K$  is a transitive inner model, so for most axioms it suffices to show that the set whose existence is postulated by the axiom is in  $K$ . For Separation and Substitution you have to be careful to use  $\Phi^K$  and  $\Psi^K$  rather than  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$ .
2. Assume  $a \in \mathbf{G}$ , and apply the defining condition of  $\mathbf{G}$  to  $a \cup \{a\}$ .

**127** Show that the following ZF axioms cannot be deduced from the others (modulo a consistency assumption):

1. Infinity,
2. Powerset,
3. Substitution (e.g., existence of  $\omega + \omega$  is unprovable),
4. Sunsets.

*Hints.* We construct a transitive inner model in which all the axioms of ZF hold except one we single out.

1. Consider the class  $K_1 = \{x \in \mathbf{G} \mid \forall y \in^* \{x\} [y \text{ is finite}]\}$  of hereditary finite sets.
2. Consider, for any cardinal  $\aleph_\alpha$ , the class  $K_2 = \{x \in \mathbf{G} \mid \forall y \in^* \{x\} [|y| \leq \aleph_\alpha]\}$  of hereditary  $\aleph_\alpha$ -cardinality sets.
3. Consider, for any accessible limit ordinal  $\alpha > \omega$  (and in particular, for  $\alpha = \omega + \omega$ ), the class  $K_3 = V_\alpha$ .
4. For any strong limit cardinal  $\aleph_\alpha$  (for instance,  $\aleph_\omega$  under GCH) consider the class  $K_4 = \{x \in \mathbf{G} \mid \forall y \in^* \{x\} : [|y| < \aleph_\alpha]\}$  of hereditary cardinality-less-than- $\aleph_\alpha$  sets.

**134** Prove a few items of Lemma 7.12: give bounded formulas expressing the properties mentioned.

*Hints.*

You can use formulas you defined before. But be careful: if you gave a bounded formula for (for instance)  $b = \wp(a)$ , that does *not* mean you can simply use  $\forall x \in \wp(a) \dots$ , as that evaluates to the unbounded formula  $\exists y (y = \wp(a) \wedge \forall x \in y \dots)$ . Instead, try to find some other set  $z$  relating to what you want to express such that you can write  $\forall x \in z (x \subset a \rightarrow \dots)$ .

5.  $x = 0, x = 1, x = 2, x = 3, \dots$ : use an inductive definition, i.e. for  $x = n + 1$ , give a formula which uses the (already defined) formula for  $x = n$ .
6.  $x = V_0, x = V_1, x = V_2, x = V_3, \dots$ : use an inductive definition, i.e. for  $x = V_{n+1}$ , give a formula which uses the (already defined) formula for  $x = V_n$ .
8.  $x \in \text{OR}$ : see Exercise 130.
9. “ $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal”: rewrite it as “ $\alpha$  is a non-zero, non-successor ordinal”.
10.  $x \in \omega, x = \omega$ : use that  $\omega$  is the lowest limit ordinal.
12.  $z = (x, y)$ : use that if  $z = \{u, v\}$ , then we can find these  $u, v$  by quantifying over  $z$ .
13.  $p$  is an ordered pair: use that if  $p = (x, y)$ , then we can find these  $x, y$  by quantifying over the elements of the elements of  $p$ .
15.  $f$  is an sur-/bijection: add “from  $X$  onto  $Y$ ”, otherwise the question is meaningless. Use the Domain and Range formula from the next item
16.  $X = \text{Dom}(f), Y = \text{Ran}(f)$ : express  $\subset$  and  $\supset$  separately.  
 $g = f|A$ : this is actually simpler if you do *not* use the Domain formula. Note that  $g \subset f$ .

### 136

1. Decide which ZF Axioms/Axiom schemas hold in  $V_\omega$ , and which are false.
2. Same question for  $V_{\omega+\omega}$ .
3. Same question for  $V_{\omega_1}$ .
4. Obtain some relative consistency results from 1–3.
5. What about the truth of Theorem 4.10 (p. 29) (*every well-ordering has a type*) in the above models?
6. Suppose that Theorem 4.10 holds in  $V_\alpha$  and  $\alpha > \omega$ . Can you give lower bounds for  $\alpha$ ? And if AC holds in  $V_\alpha$ ?

#### *Hints.*

See also exercise 127.

3. Note that  $V_{\omega+4}$  contains the well-ordering of all well-orderings (modulo order-isomorphism) of  $\omega$ .
4. If ZF is consistent, then so are  $\dots$ .
5. In  $V_\omega$ , every set is finite. In  $V_{\omega+\omega}$  and  $V_{\omega_1}$ , we have the aforementioned set of all well-orderings of  $\omega$ .
6. Show by induction on  $\beta$  that for all  $\beta$ ,  $V_{\omega+4, \beta+1}$  contains a well-ordering of type  $\omega_\beta$ , and that this implies that  $\omega_\alpha = \alpha$ . Construct the lowest  $\alpha$  satisfying this condition.  
 With AC, show that  $\alpha = |V_\alpha|$ , and show that for any  $\alpha$  satisfying this condition,  $V_\alpha$  satisfies Theorem 4.10. Construct the lowest  $\alpha$  satisfying this condition.