## EXERCISE CLASS 08-11-2017: CANONICAL MODELS AND COMPLETENESS-VIA-CANONICITY

- (1) Let L be a normal modal logic and let  $\Gamma$  be an L-MCS. Show that
  - (i) If  $\varphi \in \Gamma$  and  $\varphi \to \psi \in \Gamma$  then  $\psi \in \Gamma$ ;
  - (ii)  $L \subseteq \Gamma$ ;
  - (iii) For every formula  $\varphi$  either  $\varphi \in \Gamma$  or  $\neg \varphi \in \Gamma$ ;
  - (iv) For every pair of formulas  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  we have that  $\varphi \lor \psi \in \Gamma$  iff  $\varphi \in \Gamma$  or  $\psi \in \Gamma$ .
  - (v) For every pair of formulas  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  we have that  $\varphi \land \psi \in \Gamma$  iff  $\varphi \in \Gamma$  and  $\psi \in \Gamma$ ;
- (2) Let L be a normal modal logic and define a relation R'' on the canonical model for L by

 $R''(\Gamma, \Delta)$  iff  $\forall \varphi (\varphi \in \Delta \implies \Diamond \varphi \in \Gamma),$ 

where  $\Gamma$  and  $\Delta$  are *L*-MCSs. Show that R'' = R', where R' is the relation

 $R'(\Gamma, \Delta)$  iff  $\forall \varphi (\Box \varphi \in \Gamma \implies \varphi \in \Delta),$ 

where  $\Gamma$  and  $\Delta$  are *L*-MCSs. Thus, for any normal modal logic *L*, we may define the canonical relation  $R^L$  as either R' or R''.

- (3) (a) Show that the normal modal logic  $\mathbf{KD} \coloneqq \mathbf{K} + (\Diamond \top)$  is sound and complete with respect to the class of serial Kripke frames, i.e., Kripke frames satisfying the first-order condition  $\forall x \exists y (xRy)$ .
  - (b) Show that the normal modal logic  $\mathbf{KT} \coloneqq \mathbf{K} + (\Box p \to p)$  is sound and complete with respect to the class of reflexive Kripke frames.
  - (c) Show that the normal modal logic  $\mathbf{S4} \coloneqq \mathbf{K} + (\Box p \rightarrow p) + (\Box p \rightarrow \Box \Box p)$  is sound a complete with respect to the class of reflexive and transitive Kripke frames.
  - (d) Show that the normal modal logic  $\mathbf{KB} \coloneqq \mathbf{K} + (p \to \Box \Diamond p)$  is sound and complete with respect to the class of symmetric Kripke frames.
  - (e) Show that the normal modal logic **Den** :=  $\mathbf{K} + (\Diamond p \rightarrow \Diamond \Diamond p)$  is sound and complete with respect to the class of dense Kripke frames, i.e., Kripke frames satisfying the first-order condition  $\forall x \forall z (xRz \implies \exists y (xRy \land yRz))$ . *Hint: This is not so easy*<sup>1</sup>.
- (4) Let Γ be a set of formulas (say, in the language of basic modal logic). Prove that if Γ is satisfiable then it is consistent. Can you generalise this to cover L-consistency for an arbitrary normal modal logic L?
- (5) Let L be a normal modal logic. Given a world w in an L-model M, show that the set of formulas  $\{\varphi \colon \mathbb{M}, w \Vdash \varphi\}$  is an L-MCS.
- (6) Show that in the canonical model for **K** (or any other consistent normal modal logic L) there exist (L)MCSs  $\Gamma$  and  $\Delta$  that are incomparable (i.e., we have neither  $R^{L}(\Gamma, \Delta)$  nor  $R^{L}(\Delta, \Gamma)$ ).
- (7) (a) Let  $\Gamma := \{p, q, p \land q, \Box p, \Box q, \Box (p \land q)\}, \Delta := \{p, \neg q, \Box p\}$ , and  $\Delta' := \{\Box p, \Box q, \Box (p \land q)\}$  be sets of formulas.
  - (b) Are these sets maximal consistent (in some language)?
  - (c) Let the relation R' on  $\{\Gamma, \Delta, \Delta'\}$  and the valuation V' on  $\{\Gamma, \Delta, \Delta'\}$  be as defined on the canonical model. Draw the resulting Kripke model.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Given **Den**-MCSs  $\Gamma$  and  $\Delta$  such that  $\Gamma R^{\mathbf{Den}} \Delta$  You need to show that the set of formulas  $\Sigma_0^- \cup \Sigma_1^-$  is **Den**-consistent, where  $\Sigma_0^- \coloneqq \{\varphi \colon \Box \varphi \in \Gamma\}$  and  $\Sigma_1^- \coloneqq \{\Diamond \psi \colon \psi \in \Delta\}$ . To that end you might find it helpful to show that  $\vdash_{\mathbf{K}} \Diamond (p \land q) \to \Diamond p \land \Diamond q$  and that  $((p \land q) \to r) \to (p \to (q \to r))$  is a propositional tautology.

## Additional exercises

Here are a few additional exercises for those of you who want to know more about the canonical model. They are not part of the core curiculum.

- (8) Let  $\mathfrak{M} = (W, R, V)$  be a Kripke model we say that
  - (i) The Kripke model  $\mathfrak{M}$  is *tight* if

$$\forall w, w' \in W((\{\varphi \colon \mathfrak{M}, w \Vdash \Box \varphi\} \subseteq \{\varphi \colon \mathfrak{M}, w' \Vdash \varphi\}) \implies wRw');$$

(ii) The Kripke model  $\mathfrak{M}$  is *differentiated* if

$$\forall w, w' \in W((\{\varphi \colon \mathfrak{M}, w \Vdash \varphi\}) = \{\varphi \colon \mathfrak{M}, w' \Vdash \varphi\}) \implies w = w');$$

(iii) The Kripke model  $\mathfrak{M}$  is *compact* if for every set of formulas  $\Sigma$  have that

$$\exists w(\mathfrak{M}, w \Vdash \Sigma) \quad \text{iff} \quad \forall \Sigma_0 \subseteq_\omega \Sigma \exists w(\mathfrak{M}, w \Vdash \Sigma_0)$$

(iv) The Kripke model  ${\mathfrak M}$  is refined if it is both tight and differentiated.

Let L be a consistent normal modal logic. Show that the canonical model  $\mathfrak{M}^L$  for L is a refined and compact Kripke model.

(9) (For those that know a bit of topology:) Let L be a consistent normal modal logic and let  $\mathfrak{M}^L$  be the canonical model for L. Show that the collection of sets

$$V^{L}(\varphi) = \{ \Gamma \in W^{L} \colon \mathfrak{M}^{L}, \Gamma \Vdash \varphi \},\$$

with  $\varphi$  ranging over the set of formulas in the language of basic modal logic, generates a topology on the set  $W^L$  which is compact, Hausdorff and zero-dimensional.