

INTRODUCTION TO MODAL LOGIC 2017

HOMEWORK 1

- Deadline: September 21 — at the **beginning** of class.
- Grading is from 0 to 100 points.
- Success!

Exercise 1. (30 points) A *flow of time* is a relational structure $\mathbb{T} = (T, <)$ such that $<$ is an unbounded strict linear order¹ on T . A flow of time is called *discrete* if it satisfies the formula $\forall x \exists y (x < y \wedge \neg \exists z (x < z \wedge z < y))$. In words, every point has an *immediate* successor. Now consider the temporal logic formula

$$\delta := (q \wedge \Box_P q) \rightarrow \Diamond_F \Box_P q.$$

Show that for any flow of time $\mathbb{T} = (T, <)$, seen as a bidirectional frame for the temporal language, it holds that \mathbb{T} is discrete iff $\mathbb{T} \models \delta$.

Exercise 2. (30 points) Consider the modality $\langle 2 \rangle$ with the following semantics

$\mathbb{M}, s \models \langle 2 \rangle \phi$ iff there are $t_0, t_1 \in R[s]$ with $t_0 \neq t_1$, $\mathbb{M}, t_0 \models \phi$ and $\mathbb{M}, t_1 \models \phi$.

- (a) Is this modality expressible in the language of basic modal logic?
- (b) Is this modality expressible in the language of basic modal logic, if we restrict attention to the flows of time of Exercise 1?

Exercise 3. (40 points) Given a finite set Φ of basic modal logic formulas, we define the formula

$$\nabla \Phi := \bigwedge \Diamond \Phi \wedge \Box \bigvee \Phi,$$

where $\Diamond \Phi$ denotes the set $\{\Diamond \phi \mid \phi \in \Phi\}$, and we understand that $\bigwedge \emptyset = \top$ and $\bigvee \emptyset = \perp$. Then we have, for any Kripke model \mathbb{M} and any state s in \mathbb{M} , that $\nabla \Phi$ holds at s iff every formula $\phi \in \Phi$ holds at some successor of s , and, conversely, every successor of s satisfies one of the formulas in Φ .

- (a) Show that, for any finite set Φ , we have that $\nabla \Phi$ is satisfiable iff every member of Φ is satisfiable.
- (b)* Give an example of two formulas ϕ_0 and ϕ_1 such that
 - (1) both ϕ_0 and ϕ_1 are satisfiable in some reflexive frame, while
 - (2) $\nabla \{\phi_0, \phi_1\}$ is not satisfiable in any reflexive frame.

¹That is, $<$ is irreflexive ($\forall x \neg x < x$), transitive ($\forall xyz (x < y < z \rightarrow x < z)$), total ($\forall xy (x < y \vee x = y \vee y < x)$), and unbounded ($\forall x \exists y x < y$ and $\forall x \exists y y < x$).