INTRODUCTION TO MODAL LOGIC 2018 HOMEWORK 5

- Deadline: November 27 at the **beginning** of class.
- Grading is from 0 to 100 points.
- Please staple and hand in your homework. Submit electronically only (!) in case of emergency.
- Good luck!
- (1) (30pt) (From the 2014 Exam) In the following exercise you can use that the canonical model for **S4.3** is reflexive and transitive.
 - (a) Show that the canonical model for the modal logic

$$\mathbf{S4.3} = \mathbf{S4} + \Box(\Box p \to q) \lor \Box(\Box q \to p)$$

has no branching to the right. Recall that a reflexive Kripke frame has no branching to the right if

 $\forall x \forall y \forall z ((Rxy \land Rxz) \rightarrow (Ryz \lor Rzy)).$

You are not allowed to use Sahlqvist completeness theorem.

- (b) Deduce that **S4.3** is sound and complete with respect to reflexive transitive frames with no branching to the right.
- (2) (30pt)
 - (a) Let Σ be a finite subformula closed set. Let $\mathfrak{M} = (W, R, V)$ be a model such that (W, R) is a rooted transitive reflexive frame with no branching to the right. Show that a transitive filtration of \mathfrak{M} through Σ is a rooted reflexive transitive frame with no branching to the right. (Hint: start by showing that if r is a root of \mathfrak{M} , then [r] is a root of the filtrated model \mathfrak{M}_{Σ} .)

Recall that a reflexive and transitive frame (W, R) is rooted if there is $x \in W$ such that for each $y \in W$ we have Rxy.

- (b) Deduce that **S4.3** has the finite model property.
- (c) Deduce that **S4.3** is decidable.
- (3) (40pt) (Item (a) is from the 2014 Exam)
 - (a) Show that for any modal formulas φ and ψ we have

 $\vdash_{\mathbf{K}} \Box \varphi \lor \Box \psi \text{ implies } \vdash_{\mathbf{K}} \varphi \text{ or } \vdash_{\mathbf{K}} \psi.$

(b) Show that for any modal formulas φ and ψ we have:

$$\vdash_{\mathbf{S5}} \varphi \to \Box \psi \text{ iff } \vdash_{\mathbf{S5}} \Diamond \varphi \to \psi.$$

(c) Show that the above properties do not hold for all normal modal logics. That is, give an example of normal modal logics L_1 and L_2 which do not satisfy (a) and (b), respectively.

(Hint: use soundness and completeness of K and S5 with respect to Kripke frames.)