

Introduction to Modal Logic

Exercise class 5

October 4, 2018

Examples.

- In the formula $\neg p$, the proposition p appears *negatively* because it appears under the scope of a negation;
- In the formula $\neg\neg p$, the proposition p appears *positively* because it appears under the scope of an even number of negations;
- In the formula $\neg(p \vee \neg p)$, the left occurrence of proposition p appears *negatively*, while the right occurrence appears *positively*.

Exercise 1. Consider as primitive connectives \vee , \neg , \perp and \diamond . Let p be a propositional letter that occurs in φ . Define by induction on φ : The occurrence of p is positive (negative).

Definition 1. A formula φ is called positive (negative) in p if all occurrences of p are positive (negative).

A formula φ is called upward monotone (respectively downward monotone) in p if for every frame \mathbb{F} , every point w and every pair of assignments V and V' such that

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} V(p) \subseteq V'(p) \\ V(q) = V'(q) \quad \text{for } q \neq p \end{array} \right\}$$

it holds

$$\begin{array}{l} (\mathbb{F}, V), w \Vdash \varphi \Rightarrow (\mathbb{F}, V'), w \Vdash \varphi \\ \text{(resp. } (\mathbb{F}, V'), w \Vdash \varphi \Rightarrow (\mathbb{F}, V), w \Vdash \varphi) \end{array}$$

Exercise 2 (Blackburn et al. 3.5.3).

- Show that if φ is positive in p then it is upward monotone in p , and if it is negative in p then it is downward monotone in p .
- What about the converse? If φ upward (downward) monotone in p does it follow that φ is positive (negative) in p ?

Exercise 3. Compute the first order correspondents of the following closed formulas.

- (1) $\Box\perp$;

- (2) $\Box\Diamond\top \rightarrow \Diamond\Box\top$;
- (3) $\Diamond\Box\perp \rightarrow \Box\Diamond\perp$;
- (4) $\Box\Diamond\top \rightarrow \Box\perp$;
- (5) $\Diamond_P(\Diamond_F\Box_P\perp \rightarrow \Box_F\perp)$.

Exercise 4. Recall that a *partial order* on a set W is a binary relation $R \subseteq W^2$ which is reflexive, transitive and anti-symmetric.

- (1) Are partial orders modally definable?
- (2) Are partial orders modally definable within the class of finite Kripke frames?

Exercise 5 (Blackburn et al. 3.3.3). Consider the language with three diamonds, \Diamond_1 , \Diamond_2 and \Diamond_3 . For each of the frame conditions on the corresponding accessibility relations below, find out whether it is modally definable or not:

- (1) R_1 is the union of R_2 and R_3 ,
- (2) R_1 is the intersection of R_2 and R_3 ,
- (3) R_1 is the complement of R_2 .

Exercise 6 (Blackburn et al. 3.2.3). Consider the basic temporal language. Recall that a frame $\mathbb{F} = (W, R_F, R_P)$ for this language is called *bidirectional* if R_P is the converse of R_F .

- (1) Show that among the finite bidirectional frames, the formula $\Box_F(\Box_Fq \rightarrow q) \rightarrow \Box_Fq$ together with its converse $\Box_P(\Box_Pq \rightarrow q) \rightarrow \Box_Pq$ defines the transitive and irreflexive frames.
- (2) Show that among the bidirectional frames that are transitive, irreflexive, and satisfy $\forall xy(R_Fxy \vee x = y \vee R_Pxy)$, these same formulas define the finite frames.
- (3) Is there a finite set of formulas in the basic modal language that has these same definability properties?