

EXERCISE CLASS 8-11-2018:
CANONICAL MODELS AND THE FINITE MODEL PROPERTY

1. MORE ON COMPLETENESS AND THE CANONICAL MODEL

- (1) (a) Show that the normal modal logic $\mathbf{KD} := \mathbf{K} + (\diamond\top)$ is sound and complete with respect to the class of serial Kripke frames, i.e., Kripke frames satisfying the first-order condition $\forall x\exists y(xRy)$.
 - (b) Show that the normal modal logic $\mathbf{KT} := \mathbf{K} + (\Box p \rightarrow p)$ is sound and complete with respect to the class of reflexive Kripke frames.
 - (c) Show that the normal modal logic $\mathbf{S4} := \mathbf{K} + (\Box p \rightarrow p) + (\Box p \rightarrow \Box\Box p)$ is sound and complete with respect to the class of reflexive and transitive Kripke frames.
 - (d) Show that the normal modal logic $\mathbf{KB} := \mathbf{K} + (p \rightarrow \Box\diamond p)$ is sound and complete with respect to the class of symmetric Kripke frames.
 - (e) (*) Show that the normal modal logic $\mathbf{Den} := \mathbf{K} + (\diamond p \rightarrow \diamond\diamond p)$ is sound and complete with respect to the class of dense Kripke frames, i.e., Kripke frames satisfying the first-order condition $\forall x\forall z(xRz \implies \exists y(xRy \wedge yRz))$. *Hint: This is not so easy*¹.
- (2) A *normal temporal logic* is a normal modal logic (in the basic temporal language) containing the axioms $q \rightarrow \Box_F \diamond_P q$ and $q \rightarrow \Box_P \diamond_F q$. The smallest normal temporal logic is called *the basic temporal logic* and is denoted by \mathbf{K}_t .

Show that the basic temporal logic \mathbf{K}_t is sound and complete with respect to bi-directional frames.

- (3) Show that if $L = \text{Log}(\mathcal{C})$ for some class of (finite) frames, then $L = \text{Log}(\mathcal{C}')$ for some class of (finite) rooted frames.

2. FINITE MODEL PROPERTY

- (1) Show that the following normal modal logics have the finite model property
 - (a) The normal modal logic \mathbf{K} ;
 - (b) The normal modal logic $\mathbf{KD} := \mathbf{K} + \diamond\top$,
 - (c) The normal modal logic $\mathbf{KT} := \mathbf{K} + p \rightarrow \diamond p$,
 - (d) The normal modal logic $\mathbf{K4} := \mathbf{K} + \diamond\diamond p \rightarrow \diamond p$,
 - (e) The normal modal logic $\mathbf{S4} := \mathbf{KT} + \diamond\diamond p \rightarrow \diamond p$,
 - (f) (*) The normal modal logic $\mathbf{S5} := \mathbf{S4} + p \rightarrow \Box\diamond p$,
 - (g) (*) The normal modal logic $\mathbf{S4.2} := \mathbf{S4} + \diamond\Box p \rightarrow \Box\diamond p$.
- (2) Which of the normal modal logics above are decidable?
- (3) (*) Let, for each $n > 0$ $\mathbf{MT}_n = \diamond((\Box p_1 \rightarrow p_1) \wedge \dots \wedge (\Box p_n \rightarrow p_n))$ and define the normal modal logic,

$$\mathbf{KMT} = \mathbf{K} + \{\mathbf{MT}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

- (a) Show that \mathbf{KMT} is sound and complete with respect to the class of Kripke frames satisfying the first-order condition $\forall x\exists y(xRy \ \& \ yRy)$. *Hint: To establish completeness show that for Γ any \mathbf{KMT} -MCS the set $\{\varphi : \Box\varphi \in \Gamma\} \cup \{\Box\psi \rightarrow \psi : \psi \in \text{Fm}\}$ is \mathbf{KMT} -consistent.*

¹Given \mathbf{Den} -MCSs Γ and Δ such that $\Gamma R^{\mathbf{Den}} \Delta$. You need to show that the set of formulas $\Sigma_0^- \cup \Sigma_1^-$ is \mathbf{Den} -consistent, where $\Sigma_0^- := \{\varphi : \Box\varphi \in \Gamma\}$ and $\Sigma_1^- := \{\diamond\psi : \psi \in \Delta\}$. To that end you might find it helpful to show that $\vdash_{\mathbf{K}} \diamond(p \wedge q) \rightarrow \diamond p \wedge \diamond q$ and that $((p \wedge q) \rightarrow r) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r))$ is a propositional tautology.

- (b) Show that **KMT** has the finite model property.
- (c) Show that **KMT** is decidable.
- (d) Show that **KMT** is not finitely axiomatizable. *Hint: For each $n > 0$ let $\mathbb{F}_n = (W, R)$ be a frame of size n with wRv iff $v \neq w$. Show that $\mathbb{F}_m \models \mathbf{MT}_n$ iff $m > n + 1$.*
- (e) Show that $(\mathbb{N}, <)$ is a frame for the logic **KMT**. Why is this not a contradiction?