### Continuous Time Finance

### Stochastic Control Theory

Ch 19

Tomas Björk

Stheory of Stochastic control Financial applications<br>in lecture 9 (Optimal)

# **Contents**

- 1. Dynamic programming.
- 2. Investment theory.

# 1. Dynamic Programming

- The basic idea.
- Deriving the HJB equation.
- The verification theorem.
- The linear quadratic regulator.

(classic example from

#### Problem Formulation

$$
\begin{array}{lll}\n\max_{u} & E\left[\int_{0}^{T} F(t, X_{t}, u_{t})dt + \Phi(X_{T})\right] \\
\text{subject to} & \text{Max law } \text{process of } \text{fix force } u, \text{X}_{t} = \mathcal{X}_{t} \\
dX_{t} &= \mu(t, X_{t}, u_{t}) dt + \sigma(t, X_{t}, u_{t}) dW_{t} \\
X_{0} &= x_{0}, \\
u_{t} \in U(t, X_{t}), \quad \forall t. \quad \text{often} \equiv \mathcal{U} \text{ (for all } t \times \end{array}
$$

We will only consider feedback control laws, i.e. controls of the form

$$
u_t = \mathbf{u}(t, X_t) \quad \int_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{U}} \mathbf{f}(t) \, dt
$$

justified by Markov property

 $X_t \in \mathbb{R}$ 

 $\mu_{E}$ RK

 $U \subset \mathbb{R}^K$ 

Terminology:

 $X =$  state variable  $u =$  control variable  $U =$  control constraint

Note: No state space constraints.  $e.g. x_t$ 

# Main idea

- Embedd the problem above in a family of problems indexed by starting point in time and space. Seep<sup>328</sup>
- Tie all these problems together by a PDE: the Hamilton Jacobi Bellman equation. See p. 338
- The control problem is reduced to the problem of solving the deterministic HJB equation.

#### Some notation

• For any fixed vector  $u \in R^k$ , the functions  $\mu^u$ ,  $\sigma^u$ and  $C^u$  are defined by

$$
\mu^{u}(t, x) = \mu(t, x, u),
$$
  
\n
$$
\sigma^{u}(t, x) = \sigma(t, x, u),
$$
  
\n
$$
C^{u}(t, x) = \sigma(t, x, u)\sigma(t, x, u).
$$
  
\n
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} e \cdot u_{i} \sigma(t, x, u)
$$

• For any control law u, the functions  $\mu^{\bf u}$ ,  $\sigma^{\bf u}$ ,  $C^{\bf u}(t,x)$ and  $F^{\mathbf{u}}(t,x)$  are defined by

$$
\mu^{\mathbf{u}}(t,x) = \mu(t,x,\mathbf{u}(t,x)),
$$
  
\n
$$
\sigma^{\mathbf{u}}(t,x) = \sigma(t,x,\mathbf{u}(t,x)),
$$
  
\n
$$
C^{\mathbf{u}}(t,x) = \sigma(t,x,\mathbf{u}(t,x))\sigma(t,x,\mathbf{u}(t,x))',
$$
  
\n
$$
F^{\mathbf{u}}(t,x) = F(t,x,\mathbf{u}(t,x)).
$$

#### More notation

• For any fixed vector  $u \in R^k$ , the partial differential operator  $\mathcal{A}^u$  is defined by

$$
\mathcal{A}^{u} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i}^{u}(t, x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} C_{ij}^{u}(t, x) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}.
$$
  
(*Geugs*at *or Yf*  $\times$ <sup>u</sup>)

• For any control law u, the partial differential operator  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbf{u}}$  is defined by

$$
\mathcal{A}^{\mathbf{u}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i^{\mathbf{u}}(t, x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} C_{ij}^{\mathbf{u}}(t, x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}.
$$

• For any control law  $\mathbf{u}$ , the process  $X^{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{A}}$  is the solution of the SDE V notation ,

$$
dX_t^{\mathbf{u}} = \mu(t, X_t^{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{u}_t) dt + \sigma(t, X_t^{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{u}_t) dW_t,
$$

where

$$
u_{t} = u(t, X_{t}^{u})
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}_{t} = \mu \left( \mathbf{t}, X_{t}^{u}, u(t, X_{t}^{u}) \right) dt + \mathcal{F}(\cdot \cdot) dW
$$
\n
$$
= \mathcal{Y}_{t} + \mathcal{R} \mathcal{L} \quad \text{from}
$$
\n
$$
= \mathcal{X} \left( \mathbf{t}, X_{t}^{u} \right) dX + \mathcal{F}(\cdot \cdot) dW_{t} + \mathcal{Y}_{t} \quad \text{where}
$$

Embedding the problem  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$   $\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial y \partial y}$ 

For every fixed  $(t, x)$  the control problem  $\mathcal{P}_{t, x}$  is defined as the problem to maximize

$$
E_{t,x}\left[\int_t^T F(s,X_s^{\mathbf{u}},u_s)ds + \Phi(X_T^{\mathbf{u}})\right],
$$
  
\n
$$
= \mathbf{E}\left[\int_t^T \mathbf{F}ds + \oint \mathbf{F}\right] \mathbf{F}_t\mathbf{F}_s \mathbf{F}_t = \mathbf{E}\left[\int_t^T \mathbf{F}ds + \mathbf{F}\right] \mathbf{X}_t^{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{F}_s
$$
  
\ngiven the dynamics  
\n
$$
dX_s^{\mathbf{u}} = \mu(s,X_s^{\mathbf{u}},\mathbf{u}_s) ds + \sigma(s,X_s^{\mathbf{u}},\mathbf{u}_s) dW_s,
$$

$$
X_t = x,
$$

and the constraints

$$
\mathbf{u}(s,y) \in U, \ \ \forall (s,y) \in [t,T] \times R^n.
$$

The original problem was  $P_{0,x_0}$  as special instance

#### The optimal value function

#### • The value function

$$
\mathcal{J}: R_+ \times R^n \times \mathcal{U} \to R
$$

is defined by  $\mathcal{J}(t,x,\textbf{u})=E$  $\int f^T$ t  $F(s, X_s^{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{u}_s)ds + \Phi(X_T^{\mathbf{u}})$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

given the dynamics above.

• The optimal value function

$$
V:R_+\times R^n\to R
$$

is defined by

$$
V(t, x) = \sup_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{J}(t, x, \mathbf{u}).
$$

 $\bullet\,$  We want to derive a P/DE for  $V.$ 

Tomas Björk, 2017  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}$  sup is attained, then  $f$ for  $u=u=u$  then

 $V(T,x)=\oint(x)$ 

note

# **Assumptions**

We assume:

- There exists an optimal control law  $\hat{u}$ .  $\leftarrow$   $\hat{u}$   $\leftarrow$   $\hat{u}$
- The optimal value function  $V$  is regular in the sense that  $V \in C^{1,2}$ .  $ii$   $I$ b,  $x$ <sub> $t$ </sub>
- A number of limiting procedures in the following arguments can be justified. (we will make big stops

#### Bellman Optimality Principle

**Theorem:** If a control law  $\hat{u}$  is optimal for the time interval  $[t, T]$  then it is also optimal for all smaller intervals  $[s, T]$  where  $s \geq t$ .

Proof: Exercise. 1 (use a Concatenation argument sther trajectory 七 5 T

end of lecture da

# Basic strategy

To derive the PDE do as follows:

• Fix 
$$
(t, x) \in (0, T) \times R^n
$$
.

- Choose a real number  $h$  (interpreted as a "small" time increment).
- $\bullet$  Choose an arbitrary control law  ${\bf u}$  on the time inerval  $[t, t+h].$

Now define the control law  $u^*$  by

$$
\mathbf{u}^{\star}(s,y) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{u}(s,y), & (s,y) \in [t,t+h] \times R^n \\ \hat{\mathbf{u}}(s,y), & (s,y) \in (t+h,T] \times R^n. \end{cases}
$$

In other words, if we use  $u^*$  then we use the arbitrary control u during the time interval  $[t, t + h]$ , and then we switch to the optimal control law during the rest of the time period.

# Basic idea

The whole idea of DynP boils down to the following m procedure.

• Given the point  $(t, x)$  above, we consider the following two strategies over the time interval  $[t, T]$ :

I: Use the optimal law  $\hat{u}$ .

**II:** Use the control law  $\mathbf{u}^*$  defined abovers  $\mathbf{v} \cdot 332$ 

 $[$ if you can

- Compute the expected utilities obtained by the respective strategies.
- Using the obvious fact that  $\hat{u}$  is least as good as  $\mathbf{u}^*$ , and letting h tend to zero, we obtain our fundamental PDE.

#### Strategy values

 $\mathbf{E}$ : Expected utility for  $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$ :

$$
\mathcal{J}(t,x,\hat{\mathbf{u}}) = V(t,x) \quad \left(\mathfrak{p}\cdot329\right) \xrightarrow{\text{defini}} \mathbf{K}\hat{\mathbf{m}}
$$

Expected utility for  $u^*$ : I

• The expected utility for  $[t, t+h)$  is given by

$$
E_{t,x}\left[\int_t^{t+h} F\left(s,X_s^{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{u}_s\right) ds\right].
$$

- Conditional expected utility over  $[t + h, T]$ , given  $(t, x)$ :  $E_{t,x}\left[V(t+h,\hat{X}_{t+h}^{\mathrm{u}})\right]$ . Starting point |
|
| at time  $t^1$
- Total expected utility for Strategy II is

$$
\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{L}} = E_{t,x} \left[ \int_{t}^{t+h} F(s, X_s^{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{u}_s) ds + V(t+h, X_{t+h}^{\mathbf{u}}) \right].
$$
 (k)

### Comparing strategies

We have trivially 
$$
(V
$$
 *results from optimal*  $\hat{a}$ , *strategy*  
 $V(t, x) \ge E_{t,x} \left[ \int_t^{t+h} F(s, X_s^{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{u}_s) ds + V(t+h, X_{t+h}^{\mathbf{u}}) \right] =$   
**Remark**  $(\oint \hat{a} \times \hat{a} ds)$ 

Remark (trivial We have equality above if and only if the control law  $u$  is the optimal law  $\hat{u}$ .

Now use Itô to obtain

$$
V(t+h, X_{t+h}^{\mathbf{u}}) = V(t, x)
$$

$$
+ \int_{t}^{t+h} \left\{ \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(s, X_{s}^{\mathbf{u}}) + \mathcal{A}^{\mathbf{u}} V(s, X_{s}^{\mathbf{u}}) \right\} ds
$$

$$
+\int_{t}^{t+h} \nabla_{x}V(s,X_{s}^{u})\sigma^{u}dW_{s},
$$
  
and plug into the formula above.  
  
Thus the formula above.  
  
Toms Björk, 2017  

$$
\int_{t}^{t+h} a \frac{t_{\text{one}u}(\sigma_{\text{non}}t)u_{\text{one}}}{\sqrt{n}+a} dW_{\text{one}u}dW_{\text{one}}dW_{\text
$$

We obtain also using (<del>KR</del>

$$
E_{t,x}\left[\int_t^{t+h}\left\{F\left(s,X_s^{\mathbf{u}},\mathbf{u}_s\right)+\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(s,X_s^{\mathbf{u}})+\mathcal{A}^{\mathbf{u}}V(s,X_s^{\mathbf{u}})\right\}ds\right]\leq 0.
$$

#### Going to the limit:

Divide by  $h$ , move  $h$  within the expectation and let  $h$  tend to zero. We get  $F(t, x, u) + \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}$  $\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(t,x) + \mathcal{A}^u V(t,x) \leq 0,$  $\begin{array}{l} \text{1} \ \text{6} \ \text{4} \ \text{4} \ \text{4} \ \text{9} \ \text{(s)} \ \text{4} \ \text{5} \ \text{6} \ \text{$ continuous g

Recall from previous slide:

$$
F(t, x, u) + \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(t, x) + \mathcal{A}^u V(t, x) \le 0,
$$

This holds for all  $u = \mathbf{u}(t, x)$ , with equality if and only if  $\mathbf{u} = \hat{\mathbf{u}}$ .

We thus obtain the HJB equation

$$
\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(t, x) + \sup_{u \in U} \{ F(t, x, u) + \mathcal{A}^u V(t, x) \} = 0.
$$

# The HJB equation

#### Theorem:

Under suitable regularity assumptions the follwing hold:

I: V satisfies the Hamilton–Jacobi–Bellman equation

$$
\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(t, x) + \sup_{u \in U} \{ F(t, x, u) + A^u V(t, x) \} = 0,
$$
  

$$
V(T, x) = \Phi(x),
$$

II: For each  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times R^n$  the supremum in the HJB equation above is attained by  $u = \hat{u}(t, x)$ , i.e. by the optimal control.

# Logic and problem

**Note:** We have shown that if  $V$  is the optimal value function, and if  $V$  is regular enough, then  $V$  satisfies the HJB equation. The HJB eqn is thus derived as a **necessary** condition, and requires strong ad hoc regularity assumptions, alternatively the use of viscosity solutions techniques.

Problem: Suppose we have solved the HJB equation. Have we then found the optimal value function and the optimal control law? In other words, is HJB a sufficient condition for optimality.

Answer: Yes! This follows from the Verification Theorem.

end of lecture 8b

### The Verification Theorem

Suppose that we have two functions  $H(t, x)$  and  $g(t, x)$ , such that

 $\bullet$   $H$  is sufficiently integrable, and solves the HJB equation

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}(t,x) + \sup_{u \in U} \{ F(t,x,u) + A^u H(t,x) \} & = & 0, \\
H(T,x) & = & \Phi(x),\n\end{cases}
$$

• For each fixed  $(t, x)$ , the supremum in the expression

$$
\sup_{u \in U} \{ F(t, x, u) + A^u H(t, x) \} \leftarrow \text{Problem 1:} \text{Problem 2:} \text{Problem 3:} \text{Example 4:} \text{Example 5:} \text{Example 6:} \text{Example 7:} \text{Example 8:} \text{Example 8:} \text{Example 8:} \text{Example 8:} \text{Example 9:} \text{Example 9:} \text{Example 1:} \text{Example 1:} \text{Example 1:} \text{Example 1:} \text{Example 2:} \text{Example 3:} \text{Example 3:} \text{Example 4:} \text{Example 5:} \text{Example 5:} \text{Example 6:} \text{Example 6:} \text{Example 7:} \text{Example 7:} \text{Example 8:} \text{Example 8:} \text{Example 8:} \text{Example 9:} \text{Example 1:} \text{Example 1:} \text{Example 1:} \text{Example 1:} \text{Example 1:} \text{Example 2:} \text{Example 2:} \text{Example 3:} \text{Example 3:} \text{Example 4:} \text{Example 4:} \text{Example 5:} \text{Example 5:} \text{Example 6:} \text{Example 6:} \text{Example 7:} \text{Example 7:} \text{Example 8:} \text{Example 8:} \text{Example 8:} \text{Example 9:} \text{Example 1:} \text{Example 1:} \text{Example 1:} \text{Example 1:} \text{Example 2:} \text{Example 2:} \text{Example 3:} \text{Example 3:} \text{Example 4:} \text{Example 4:} \text{Example 5:} \text{Example 5:} \text{Example 6:} \text{Example 6:} \text{Example 7:} \text{Example 7:} \text{Example 8:} \text{Example 8:} \text{Example 1:} \text{Example 1:} \text{Example 1:} \text{Example 1:} \text{Example 2:} \text{Example 1:} \text{Example 3:} \text{Example 1:} \text{Example 2:} \text{Example 1:} \text{Example 1:} \text{Example 1:} \text{Example 2:} \
$$

Then the following hold.

1. The optimal value function  $V$  to the control problem is given by

$$
V(t,x) = H(t,x) \text{, } \text{frr (t)} \text{, and show}
$$

initial

2. There exists an optimal control law  $\hat{u}$ , and in fact

$$
\hat{u}(t,x) = g(t,x)
$$

# Handling the HJB equation  $\left\{ \mathcal{L}^{off}$  in 19.4

- 1. Consider the HJB equation for  $V$ .
- 2. Fix  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times R^n$  and solve, the static optimization problem
- $\max_{u\in U}\;\; \left[F(t,x,u)+\mathcal{A}^{u}V(t,x)\right]$ Here  $u$  is the only variable, whereas  $t$  and  $x$  are fixed parameters. The functions  $F$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\sigma$  and V are considered as given.
	- 3. The optimal  $\hat{u}$ , will depend on t and x, and on the function  $V$  and its partial derivatives. We thus write  $\hat{u}$  as

$$
\hat{\mathbf{u}} = \hat{\mathbf{u}}\left(t, x; V\right). \tag{4}
$$

4. The function  $\hat{u}$   $(t, x; V)$  is our candidate for the optimal control law, but since we do not know  $V$  this description is incomplete. Therefore we substitute the expression for  $\hat{u}$  into the PDE , giving us the highly nonlinear (why?) PDE

$$
\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(t, x) + F^{\hat{u}}(t, x) + A^{\hat{u}}(t, x) V(t, x) = 0,
$$
  
where  $V(T, x) = \Phi(x)$ .  
Now we solve the PDF should. Then we put the solution I

5. Now we solve the PDE above! Then we put the solution  $V$ into expression (4). Using the verification theorem we can identify  $V$  as the optimal value function, and  $\hat{u}$  as the optimal control law.

Tomas Bj¨ork, 2017 341 Does this work in concrete situations

# Making an Ansatz

- The hard work of dynamic programming consists in solving the highly nonlinear HJB equation
- There are no general analytic methods available for this, so the number of known optimal control problems with an analytic solution is very small indeed.
- In an actual case one usually tries to guess a solution, i.e. we typically make a parameterized **Ansatz** for  $V$  then use the PDE in order to identify the parameters.
- $\bullet$  Hint:  $V$  often inherits some structural properties from the boundary function  $\Phi$  as well as from the instantaneous utility function  $F$ . (this is experience
- Most of the known solved control problems have, to some extent, been "rigged" in order to be analytically solvable.

LAMPLE standard, classical problem in systems contr The Linear Quadratic Regulator section 1g <sup>5</sup>  $\begin{matrix} n & m \\ m & m \end{matrix}$  $\int f^T$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $X_t \in R^1$  $\left\{QX_t^2 + Ru_t^2\right\}$  $\left\{ dt + H X_T^2 \right\}$ min E , u∈R 0 with dynamics

 $dX_t = \{AX_t + Bu_t\} dt + CdW_t$ . multion mensional Example We want to control a vehicle in such a way that it stays for each fixed  $u_{t_1}$  this gives Gaussian Xt, OU process,

close to the origin (the terms  $Qx^2$  and  $Hx^2$ ) while at the same time keeping the "energy"  $Ru^2$  small.

Here  $X_t \in R$  and  $\mathbf{u}_t \in R$ , and we impose no control constraints on  $u$ .

The real numbers  $Q, R, H, A, B$  and  $C$  are assumed to be known. We assume that  $R$  is strictly positive.

#### Handling the Problem

The HJB equation becomes (use flue generator  $AF = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{$ 

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(t,x) &+ \inf_{u \in R} \left\{ Qx^2 + Ru^2 + V_x(t,x) \left[ Ax + Bu \right] \right\} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2}(t,x) C^2 = 0, \\
V(T,x) &= Hx^2.\n\end{cases}
$$

For each fixed choice of  $(t, x)$  we now have to solve the static unconstrained optimization problem to minimize

$$
Qx^2 + Ru^2 + V_x(t, x) [Ax + Bu].
$$

The problem was:

$$
\min_{u} \quad Qx^2 + Ru^2 + V_x(t, x) \left[ Ax + Bu \right].
$$

Since  $R > 0$  we set the *u*-derivative to zero and obtain

$$
2Ru = -V_xB,
$$

which gives us the optimal  $u$  as

$$
\hat{u} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{B}{R} V_x.
$$

Note: This is our candidate of optimal control law, but it depends on the unkown function  $V$ .

We now make an educated guess about the structure of  $V$ .

From the boundary function  $Hx^2$  and the term  $Qx^2$  in the cost function we make the Ansatz Note  $VCT_1x$ ) =  $Hx^2$ 

$$
V(t,x) = P(t)x^2 + Q(t),
$$

where  $P(t)$  and  $q(t)$  are deterministic functions. With this trial solution we have, I family later

$$
\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(t, x) = \dot{P}x^2 + \hat{z}.
$$
\n
$$
V_x(t, x) = 2Px, \qquad (\mathbf{P} = P\mathbf{H}) \text{ etc.})
$$
\n
$$
V_{xx}(t, x) = 2P
$$
\n
$$
\hat{u} = -\frac{B}{R}Px. \qquad (\text{see } \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{3}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{S})
$$

Inserting these expressions into the HJB equation we get

$$
x^{2}\left\{\dot{P}+Q-\frac{B^{2}}{R}P^{2}+2AP\right\}\n+ \frac{1}{Q+P}C=0, \frac{1}{Z}
$$

We thus get the following ODE for  $P$ 

$$
\begin{cases}\n\dot{P} = \frac{B^2}{R}P^2 - 2AP - Q, \\
P(T) = H.\n\end{cases}
$$
\nand we can integrate directly for  $Q$ :  
\n
$$
\begin{cases}\n\dot{Q} & = -C^2 P, \\
Q(T) = 0.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{cases}\n\dot{Q} = -C^2 P, \\
Q(T) = 0.\n\end{cases}
$$

The  $\clubsuit$  ODE for P is a **Riccati equation**. The equation for  $Q$  can then be integrated directly,  $M \alpha$  you have P

Final Result for LQ: (note that P is not given  $V(t, x) = P(t)x^{2} +$  $\int_0^T$ t  $C^2P(s)ds,$  $\mathbf{\hat{u}}(t,x) = -\frac{B}{R}$  $P(t)x_j$  this is a linear feedback law

end of lecture 8C