## Introduction to stochastic finance in continuous time

Additional exercises

this version: October 4, 2017

**1** Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  be independent random variables with  $\mathbb{E} X_i = 1$  for all *i*. Define  $P_n = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$  and let  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$   $(n \ge 1)$ . Show that the  $P_n$  form a martingale sequence.

**2** Suppose a continuous model for the stock price is such that  $\log(S(T)/S(t))$  has a normal  $N((r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T - t), \sigma^2(T - t))$  distribution (under  $\mathbb{Q}$ ). Assume that at time t the price S(t) is known to be equal to s. Then the price of the usual European call option at time t is known to be

$$e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}(s \frac{S(T)}{S(t)} - K)^+$$

Show by computation of an integral that the explicit expression of this price is given by the Black-Scholes formula of Equation (1.27).

**3** Give a direct proof of Proposition 1.5.

**4** Suppose one doesn't use the risk-neutral probabilities  $q_u(N)$  and  $q_d(N)$  in Theorem 1.4, but instead  $p_u(N) = \frac{1}{2} + (\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{2\sigma}$  and the corresponding  $p_d(N)$  for some  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and sufficiently small positive  $\Delta = \frac{T}{N}$ . What would then be the limit laws of  $\log S_N(t)$  and  $\log S_N(t) - \log S_N(s)$  (for t > s)?

**5** Consider the interval [0, 1]. A partition  $\Pi$  of [0, 1] is a set  $\Pi = \{t_0, \ldots, t_n\}$  with  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_n = 1$ . The mesh  $\mu(\Pi)$  of  $\Pi$  is defined as the biggest 'gap':  $\mu(\Pi) = \max\{t_j - t_{j-1} : j = 1, \ldots, n\}$ .

For p > 0 the *p*-th order variation of a function  $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  over a partition  $\Pi = \{t_0, \ldots, t_n\}$  of [0,1] with  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_n = 1$  is defined as  $V^p(f;\Pi) = \sum_{i=1}^n |f(t_i) - f(t_{i-1})|^p$ . Put  $V^p(f) = \lim V^p(f;\Pi)$ , where the limit is taken over (sequences of) partitions with mesh tending to zero.

- (a) Let p = 1 and  $f \in C^1[0, 1]$ , so with bounded (left/right in the endpoints) derivative. Argue (use Riemann sums) that  $V^1(f) = \int_0^1 |f'(t)| dt$ .
- (b) Let p = 2 and  $f \in C^1[0, 1]$ . Show first that there exists C > 0 such that  $|f(t) f(s)| \le C|t s|$  for all  $s, t \in [0, 1]$ , then  $\sum_j (t_j t_{j-1})^2 \le \mu(\Pi)$  for  $t_j \in \Pi$  and finally that  $V^2(f) = 0$ .

**6** Let X have the standard normal distribution and  $\phi(\lambda) = \mathbb{E} \exp(i\lambda X)$ , for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- (a) Argue that  $\phi'(\lambda) = i\mathbb{E}(X \exp(i\lambda X))$ .
- (b) Show (use integration by parts) that  $\phi'(\lambda) = -\lambda \phi(\lambda)$ .
- (c) Conclude that  $\phi(\lambda) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2)$ .

**7** Let X be a random variable with  $\mathbb{P}(X \ge 0) = 1$  and  $\mathbb{P}(X > 0) > 0$ .

- (a) Show that there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathbb{P}(X > 1/n) > 0$ . (Reason by contradiction, assume that  $\mathbb{P}(X > 1/n) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .)
- (b) Show that  $\mathbb{E} X > 0$ .
- (c) Suppose X is such that  $\mathbb{P}(X \ge 0) = 1$  and  $\mathbb{E} X = 0$ . Show that it follows that  $\mathbb{P}(X > 0) = 0$ , equivalently  $\mathbb{P}(X = 0) = 1$ .

8 Consider a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  and that X is random variable having the N(0, 1) distribution. Let  $\phi$  denote the density of the N(0, 1) distribution and let  $\phi_a$  denote the density of the N(a, 1) distribution. Let  $z(x) = \frac{\phi_a(x)}{\phi(x)}$ . Define  $\mathbb{Q}(F) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_F Z)$  for  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ , where Z = z(X).

- (a) Compute  $\mathbb{E} Z = 1$  (use an integral).
- (b) Show that  $\mathbb{Q}(X \leq x) = \Phi(x a)$ , where  $\Phi$  is the distribution function of N(0, 1).
- (c) Conclude that  $X^{\mathbb{Q}} := X a$  has the standard normal distribution under  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
- (d) Show that  $z(x) = \exp(ax \frac{1}{2}a^2)$  and that Z has the same distribution as  $\exp(aW(1) \frac{1}{2}a^2)$ , where W is a Brownian motion.

We see that the change of measure from  $\mathbb{P}$  to  $\mathbb{Q}$  is 'neutralized' by replacing X by X - a in the sense that X - a has, under  $\mathbb{Q}$ , the same distribution as X under  $\mathbb{P}$ .

**9** Show that (the value of) the Itô integral of (6.3) doesn't depend on the specific representation of the simple process *a*. Hint: If  $a(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i \mathbf{1}_{(s_{i-1},s_i]}(t)$  is another representation, consider  $a(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_i \mathbf{1}_{(s_{i-1},s_i]} \cap (t_{j-1},t_j](t)$ .

10 Here are some consequences of Example 6.2.

- (a) Show Equality (6.4).
- (b) What is the limit of its right hand side when the  $t_i$  come from a sequence of partitions of [0, T] with mesh tending to zero? What is in the same situation the limit of  $W^n(t)$ ?
- (c) What is the 'reasonable' value of  $\int_0^T W(s) \, \mathrm{d} W(s) ?$

11 Prove Proposition 7.2.

12 Here you prove the converse of Theorem 7.6. Suppose (the context of the theorem applies) that a claim F(S(T), Z(T)) can be hedged by a self-financing portfolio with value process V if the type V(t) = v(t, S(t), Z(t)), where v is sufficiently differentiable. Show that v satisfies Equation (7.7).