## **Problems 2**

1. Supplementary problem of the class: (a) What's the matrix form of  $\Lambda^{\nu}{}_{\mu'}$ , if  $\Lambda^{\mu'}{}_{\nu}$  is given by Eq. (1.23)

$$\Lambda^{\mu'}{}_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\theta & \sin\theta & 0 \\ 0 & -\sin\theta & \cos\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

for rotation, and by Eq. (1.24)

$$\Lambda^{\mu'}{}_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \phi & -\sinh \phi & 0 & 0 \\ -\sinh \phi & \cosh \phi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

for boost, respectively? (b) Show the right hand sides of Eqs. (1.54):

$$V_{\mu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}V^{\nu},$$
  

$$\omega^{\mu} = \eta^{\mu\nu}\omega_{\mu},$$

and Eqs. (1.55):

$$\begin{split} T^{\alpha\beta\mu}{}_{\delta} &= \eta^{\mu\gamma}T^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\gamma\delta}, \\ T^{\ \beta}{}_{\mu}{}_{\gamma\delta} &= \eta_{\mu\alpha}T^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\gamma\delta}, \\ T^{\ \mu\nu}{}_{\rho\sigma}{}^{\rho\sigma} &= \eta_{\mu\alpha}\eta_{\nu\beta}\eta^{\rho\gamma}\eta^{\sigma\delta}T^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\gamma\delta}, \end{split}$$

behave as correct tensors as indicated in their left hand sides. (c) Show explicitly  $X^{(\mu\nu)}Y_{\mu\nu} = X^{(\mu\nu)}Y_{(\mu\nu)}$ , and discuss also a similar expression for antisymmetric tensors.

2. Imagine we have a tensor  $X^{\mu\nu}$  and a vector  $V^{\mu}$ , with components

$$X^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 3 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V^{\mu} = (-1, 2, 0, -2).$$

Find the components of: (a)  $X^{\mu}{}_{\nu}$ , (b)  $X^{\mu}{}_{\mu}$ , (c)  $X^{(\mu\nu)}$ , (d)  $X_{[\mu\nu]}$ , (e)  $X^{\lambda}{}_{\lambda}$ , (f)  $V^{\mu}V_{\mu}$ , and (g)  $V_{\mu}X^{\mu\nu}$ .

- 3. Using the tensor transformation law applied to  $F_{\mu\nu}$ , show how the electric and magnetic field 3-vectors  $\vec{E}$  and  $\vec{B}$  transform under: (a) a rotation about the *y*-axis, (b) a boost along the *z*-axis.
- 4. Verify that Eq. (1.68):  $\partial_{[\mu}F_{\nu\lambda]} = 0$ , is equivalent to (1.68'):  $\partial_{\mu}F_{\nu\lambda} + \partial_{\nu}F_{\lambda\mu} + \partial_{\lambda}F_{\mu\nu} = 0$ , and that they are both equivalent to the last two equations in (1.65):

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\epsilon}^{ijk}\partial_j E_k + \partial_0 B^i &= 0, \\ \partial_i B^i &= 0. \end{split}$$