

Errata and comments for the the Lecture Notes  
*Orthogonal polynomials and special functions* by R. Askey  
 collected by Tom Koornwinder, T.H.Koornwinder@uva.nl  
 last modified: August 21, 2015

These are errata and comments for the book

R. Askey, *Special Functions and orthogonal polynomials*, Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics 21, SIAM, 1975.

**p.1, (1.4):** On the right replace the summation sign by a product sign.

**p.3, line above (1.14):** Replace “equivalent to” by “implied by”.

**p.7, 1.–1:** We can rewrite (2.2) as

$$P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)_k (\alpha + k + 1)_{n-k}}{k! (n - k)!} \left( \frac{x - 1}{2} \right)^k,$$

which makes sense for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**p.8, (2.16):** The argument of the  ${}_2F_1$  should be  $\frac{1+x}{2}$  instead of  $\frac{1-x}{2}$ .

**p.14, 1.11,12:** The argument in Askey and Gasper [4, pp. 66–67] that the generalized translation operator for Hahn polynomials  $Q_n(x; \alpha, \beta, N)$  is not a positive operator is only given for  $\alpha, \beta > -1$ . As shown in

C. F. Dunkl, *Spherical functions on compact groups and applications to special functions*, Symposia Mathematica 22, Academic Press, 1977, 145–161 ,

and

M. Rahman, *A positive kernel for Hahn-Eberlein polynomials*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 9 (1978), 891–905,

there are many instances for  $\alpha, \beta < -N$  where this operator is nonnegative.

**p.15, (2.42a):** On the right replace  $a^n$  by  $a^{-n}$ .

**p.16, (2.47):** This formula was first obtained in

J. Meixner, *Erzeugende Funktionen der Charlierschen Polynome*, Math. Z. 44 (1938), 531–535.

**p.20, (3.6):** Write  $R_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) := P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)/P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(1)$ . For positive integers  $p, q, r$  with  $q > r$  and  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}p - 1$ ,  $\beta = \frac{1}{2}q - 1$ ,  $\mu = \frac{1}{2}r$  formula (3.6) also follows from the characterization of

$$(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{q+p}^2)^n R_n^{(\frac{1}{2}p-1, \frac{1}{2}q-1)} \left( \frac{(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_q^2) - (x_{q+1}^2 + \cdots + x_{q+p}^2)}{x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{q+p}^2} \right)$$

as an  $O(q) \times O(p)$ -invariant spherical harmonics of degree  $2n$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{q+p}$  which is equal to 1 at  $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Then (3.6) means symmetrization of this expression with respect to  $O(q-r) \times O(p+r)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_1^2 + \dots + x_{q+p}^2)^n R_n^{\left(\frac{1}{2}(p+r)-1, \frac{1}{2}(q-r)-1\right)} \left( \frac{(x_1^2 + \dots + x_{q-r}^2) - (x_{q-r+1}^2 + \dots + x_{q+p}^2)}{x_1^2 + \dots + x_{q+p}^2} \right) \\ &= \int_0^{\pi/2} (x_1^2 + \dots + x_{q+p}^2)^n R_n^{\left(\frac{1}{2}p-1, \frac{1}{2}q-1\right)} \left( \frac{(x_1^2 + \dots + x_{q-r}^2) - \cos(2\phi)(x_{q-r+1}^2 + \dots + x_{q+p}^2)}{x_1^2 + \dots + x_{q+p}^2} \right) \\ & \quad \times (\sin \phi)^{r-1} (\cos \phi)^{p-1} d\phi / \int_0^{\pi/2} (\sin \phi)^{r-1} (\cos \phi)^{p-1} d\phi. \end{aligned}$$

Formula (3.7) can be interpreted in a similar way by symmetrization with respect to  $O(q+r) \times O(p-r)$ .

**p.22, line after (3.23):** Replace (3.22) by (3.19). The formal limiting case can be seen by rewriting (3.19) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{m} \sum_{t \in m^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \mu + mt)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1 + mt)(mt)^{\mu-1}} e^{-yt} \frac{1}{(mt)^\alpha} P_{mt}^{(\alpha, \mu-1)} \left( 1 - \frac{(xt)^2}{2(mt)^2} \right) \left( \frac{xt}{2} \right)^\alpha t^{\mu-1} \\ &= \left( \frac{m^{-1}y}{1 - e^{-m^{-1}y}} \right)^{\alpha+\mu} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}x\right)^\alpha}{y^{\alpha+\mu}} {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu), \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \mu + 1) \\ \alpha + 1 \end{matrix}; -\frac{x^2}{y^2} e^{-y/m} \left( \frac{m^{-1}y}{1 - e^{-m^{-1}y}} \right)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then let  $m \rightarrow \infty$  and use (3.24) in the form

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-\alpha} P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} \left( 1 - \frac{x^2}{2n^2} \right) \left( \frac{x}{2} \right)^\alpha = J_\alpha(x).$$

The generating function (3.20) is the special case  $\beta = -1$  of (3.17) by DLMF, (15.4.17). In a similar way as above we see that this has limiting case (3.20), which is the special case  $\mu = 0$  of (3.19), again by DLMF, (15.4.17).

A common special case of (3.17) and (3.18) for  $\beta = 0$  is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n^{(\alpha, 0)}(x) r^n = 2^\alpha R^{-1} (1 - r + R)^{-\alpha}.$$

In a similar way as above we see that this has limiting case (3.21), which is the special case  $\mu = 1$  of (3.19) by DLMF, (15.4.18).

In a similar way as above we see that (3.16), i.e., the special case  $\beta = \alpha$  of (3.17), has limiting case (3.23), i.e., the special case  $\mu = \alpha + 1$  of (3.19).

In a similar way as above we see that the special case  $\beta = \alpha + 1$  of (3.17) has limiting case (3.24), i.e., the special case  $\mu = \alpha + 2$  of (3.19).

Since (3.20)–(3.23) all correspond to specializations of  $\mu$  in (3.19), i.e., specializations of  $\beta$  in (3.17), there is no need to find other generating functions of Jacobi polynomials for general  $\alpha, \beta$  having (3.20)–(3.23) as limiting cases.

**p.24, (3.30):** As for Vilenkin [1], MR0095986 quotes formula (3.30) and mentions a connection with a group theoretic interpretation. The formula also occurs in Vilenkin [2, Ch. 9, 4.11(5)], where it is derived from the interpretation of Gegenbauer polynomials  $C_n^{\frac{1}{2}p-1}$  as zonal spherical harmonics on  $S^{p-1}$ . By using this interpretation, a proof of (3.30) even simpler than in Vilenkin [2] can be given for  $q > p \geq 3$  integers and  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}q - 1$ ,  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}p - 1$  (the general case then probably follows by analytic continuation). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_p^2)^{\frac{1}{2}n} C_n^{\frac{1}{2}q-1} (x_1 / (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_p^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) / C_n^{\frac{1}{2}q-1}(1) \\ &= \int_0^{\pi/2} (x_1^2 + \sin^2 \phi (x_2^2 + \cdots + x_p^2))^{\frac{1}{2}n} C_n^{\frac{1}{2}p-1} (x_1 / (x_1^2 + \sin^2 \phi (x_2^2 + \cdots + x_p^2))^{\frac{1}{2}}) / C_n^{\frac{1}{2}p-1}(1) \\ & \quad \times (\sin \phi)^{p-2} (\cos \phi)^{q-p-1} d\phi \Big/ \int_0^{\pi/2} (\sin \phi)^{p-2} (\cos \phi)^{q-p-1} d\phi, \end{aligned}$$

because  $(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_p^2)^{\frac{1}{2}n} C_n^{\frac{1}{2}p-1} (x_1 / (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_p^2)^{\frac{1}{2}})$  is a homogeneous harmonic polynomial of degree  $n$  on  $\mathbb{R}^p$ , and therefore also on  $\mathbb{R}^q$  if considered as a polynomial in  $x_1, \dots, x_p, x_{p+1}, \dots, x_q$ . Then we symmetrize this with respect to the group  $SO(q-1)$ , i.e., the group of rotations of  $\mathbb{R}^q$  which leave  $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$  fixed.

**p.27, 1.1:** Replace “Theorem 3.3” by “Theorem 3.4”.

**p.37, 6th line after (4.43):** Replace Boursma by Boersma.

**p.42, 1.–11:** This is a limit case of (5.7).

**p.45, 1. 4–6:** On 1.4 multiply  $p_m(x)p_{n-1}(x) - p_{m-1}(x)p_n(x)$  by a coefficient  $b_n$ .

On 1.5 multiply  $p_1(x)p_{n-m}(x) - p_{n-m+1}(x)$  by a coefficient  $b_n \dots b_{n-m+1}$ .

On 1.6 multiply  $a_{n-m}p_{n-m}(x) + b_{n-m}p_{n-m-1}(x)$  by a coefficient  $b_n \dots b_{n-m+1}$ .

**p.63, (7.34):** In the fraction on the right, before the summation sign, replace the denominator by  $(2\gamma + 1)_n$ .

**p.66, Theorem 7.1, 1.6:** Replace (7.19) by (7.23).

**p.76–77:** These formulas and positivity results also occur in Askey & Gasper [5], formula (1.16), and in

G. Gasper, *Positivity and special functions*, in: *Theory and application of special functions*, Academic Press, 1975, pp. 375–433, formula (8.12).

Gasper also observes a limit case (see (8.14) in Gasper’s paper) for Bessel functions of this positivity result. Indeed, the positivity result implies the positivity (for  $\alpha > -1$ ) of

$$m^{-1} \sum_{t \in m^{-1}\{0,1,\dots,[mx]\}} P_{mt}^{(\alpha,0)} \left( 1 - \frac{t^2}{2(mt)^2} \right) (mt)^{-\alpha} (t/2)^\alpha,$$

which formally tends, as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , to

$$\int_0^x J_\alpha(t) dt.$$

The positivity of the right-hand side of (8.21), which is implied by the positivity of the right-hand side of (8.28) plays a crucial role in the proof of the Bieberbach conjecture, see p.150 in

L. de Branges, *A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture*, Acta Math. 154 (1985), 137–152.

**p.109, Askey and Gasper [4]:** This has appeared in J. Analyse Math. 31 (1977), 48–68.

**p.109, Askey and Gasper [5]:** This has appeared in Amer. J. Math. 98 (1976), 709–737.