

Bath generated work extraction in two-level systems

Claudia Pombo, Amsterdam

Armen E. Allahverdyan, Yerevan/Amsterdam/Saclay

Theo M. Nieuwenhuizen, Amsterdam

Hamiltonian for spin $\frac{1}{2}$ in bosonic bath

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\Delta) = \mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B + \mathcal{H}_I,$$

$$\mathcal{H}_S = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \hat{\sigma}_z + \frac{\Delta}{2} \hat{\sigma}_x,$$

$$\mathcal{H}_B = \sum_k \hbar \omega_k \hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{a}_k, \quad \mathcal{H}_I = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k g_k (\hat{a}_k^\dagger + \hat{a}_k) \hat{\sigma}_z.$$

$\hat{\sigma}_z, \hat{\sigma}_x$: Pauli-matrices (2×2)

$\hat{a}_k^\dagger, \hat{a}_k$: creation/annihilation operators for phonons/photons.

$\varepsilon = \bar{g} \mu_B H$, H = field in z -direction

Δ field in x -direction. If $\Delta = 0$: exactly solvable.

$\Delta = 0$ except in pulse.

Quasi-Ohmic bath with Debye cutoff frequency Γ

$$J(\omega) = \sum_k \frac{g_k^2}{\hbar \omega_k} \delta(\omega_k - \omega) = \frac{g \hbar}{\pi} e^{-\omega/\Gamma}$$

g dimensionless coupling, $\frac{1}{g}$ ‘quality factor’

Separated initial state: $\rho(0) = \rho_S(0) \otimes \frac{\exp(-\beta \mathcal{H}_B)}{Z_B}$

Decay of transverse components

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{\sigma}_x(t) \rangle &= [\cos \omega_0 t \langle \hat{\sigma}_x(0) \rangle - \sin \omega_0 t \langle \hat{\sigma}_y(0) \rangle] e^{-\xi(t)}, \\ \langle \hat{\sigma}_y(t) \rangle &= [\sin \omega_0 t \langle \hat{\sigma}_x(0) \rangle + \cos \omega_0 t \langle \hat{\sigma}_y(0) \rangle] e^{-\xi(t)} \end{aligned} \quad \omega_0 = \frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar}$$

For $t \gg 1/\Gamma$: the T_2 -timescale (exponential decay)

$$\xi(t) \approx \frac{t}{T_2}, \quad T_2 = \frac{1}{g} \frac{\hbar}{T}$$

picoseconds $\leq T_2 \leq$ minutes $\rightarrow 1 \geq g \geq 10^{-14}$

Entropy increases (second law during relaxation),

$$S_{\text{vN}} = -\frac{1+|\langle \vec{\sigma} \rangle|}{2} \ln \frac{1+|\langle \vec{\sigma} \rangle|}{2} - \frac{1-|\langle \vec{\sigma} \rangle|}{2} \ln \frac{1-|\langle \vec{\sigma} \rangle|}{2}.$$

because it only depends on

$$|\langle \vec{\sigma}(t) \rangle| = \sqrt{\langle \hat{\sigma}_x(t) \rangle^2 + \langle \hat{\sigma}_y(t) \rangle^2 + \langle \hat{\sigma}_z(t) \rangle^2}$$

Pulse = fast rotation around x -axis, angle θ

$$U_1^{-1} \hat{\sigma}_y U_1 = \hat{\sigma}_z \sin \theta + \hat{\sigma}_y \cos \theta,$$

$$U_1^{-1} \hat{\sigma}_z U_1 = \hat{\sigma}_z \cos \theta - \hat{\sigma}_y \sin \theta$$

For $\theta = -\frac{1}{2}\pi$:

$$W_1 = \frac{g \hbar \Gamma}{2\pi} - \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sin \omega_0 t + \frac{gT}{2} \cos \omega_0 t \right] \tanh \frac{\beta \varepsilon}{2} e^{-t/T_2}$$

Heat taken from bath:

$$\Delta Q = \frac{g}{2} \left[-\frac{\hbar \Gamma}{\pi} + T \cos \omega_0 t \tanh \frac{\beta \varepsilon}{2} e^{-t/T_2} \right]$$

Violation of Clausius inequality in pulse

During pulse: $\Delta S = 0$, since pulse = rotation

$\Delta Q > 0$ occurs when t not too large, T intermediate.

Two pulses

If many weakly interacting spins, each in different field:
inhomogeneous broadening of $\omega_0 = \frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar}$: line

$$p(\omega_0) = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{[T_2^*]^{-1}}{(\omega_0 - \bar{\omega}_0)^2 + [T_2^*]^{-2}}$$

average $\bar{\omega}_0$, inverse width $T_2^* \ll T_2$.

- Single pulse: only loss of work

- First $-\frac{1}{2}\pi$ pulse around the x -axis at time t_1 and a
second $\frac{1}{2}\pi$ pulse at time $t_2 = t_1 + \Delta t$

Total work W

$$W = \frac{g \hbar \Gamma}{\pi} - \frac{\hbar}{4} e^{-t_2/T_2} e^{-|\Delta t|/T_2^*} \tanh \frac{\beta \hbar \bar{\omega}_0}{2} \{ \bar{\omega}_0 \sin \bar{\omega}_0 \Delta t$$

$$+ \left[\frac{1}{T_2} - \frac{\text{sg}(\Delta t)}{T_2^*} \left(1 + \frac{\beta \hbar \bar{\omega}_0}{\sinh \beta \hbar \bar{\omega}_0} \right) \right] \cos \bar{\omega}_0 \Delta t \}$$

For Δt near $2\pi n/\bar{\omega}_0$ such that odd terms cancel, this
again exhibits work extracted solely from the bath.

Feasibility

- 1) Many quantum system act as two-level systems;
- 2) Detection is relatively easy, only $\langle \vec{\sigma}(t) \rangle$ needed;
- 3) The harmonic oscillator bath is universal;
- 4) Work and heat measured in NMR 35 years ago;
- 5) many-spin measurements allowed;
- 6) quantum computing: quite long \mathcal{T}_2 times, admit external variations on times smaller than \mathcal{T}_2 ;
 - (i) for atoms in optical traps $\mathcal{T}_2 \sim 1\text{s}$, $1/\Gamma \sim 10^{-8}$;
 - (ii) electron injected in semiconductor $\mathcal{T}_2 \sim 1\ \mu\text{s}$;
 - (iii) exciton created in a quantum dot $\mathcal{T}_2 \sim 10^{-9}\text{s}$.

Conclusion

- Clausius inequality and Thomson formulation violated, (pulse = cycle in transverse field Δ).
- The mechanism exploits the quantum nature of spins: transverse components.
- ‘Classical’ pulses with $\theta = \pm\pi$ do not lead to violations, (no transversal components created).
- no work extraction from equilibrium initial state