NEW + OLD SURPRISES IN THERMODYNAMICS FAR FROM EQUILIBRIUM

- On the history of glass thermodynamics
- On my history of glass thermodynamics
- On toy models: p-spin and simpler
- Two-temperature thermodynamics
- Systems coupled to two heat baths
- Particle in quantum bath
- Black holes

On the history of glass thermodynamics

- Vogel, Fulcher, Tammann-Hesse 1921-26: viscosity $\eta \sim \exp{\frac{A}{T-T_0}}$
- Prigogine-Defay 1944-46

specific heat
$$C_p = \partial_T U$$

compressibility $\kappa = -\partial_p \ln V$
expansivity $\alpha = \partial_T \ln V$

Ehrenfest I:
$$\Delta \alpha = \Delta \kappa \frac{\mathrm{d} p_g}{\mathrm{d} T}$$
 glass at $p = p_g(T)$

Ehrenfest II:
$$\frac{\Delta C_p}{TV} = \Delta \alpha \frac{\mathrm{d} p_g}{\mathrm{d} T}$$

PdF-ratio:
$$\Pi = \frac{\Delta C_p \Delta \kappa}{TV(\Delta \alpha)^2} = 1$$

- Tool 1946: fictive (effective) temperature useful to describe glass transition $U(t) = U(T_e(t)) \rightarrow C = \frac{\dot{U}}{\dot{T}} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial T_e} \frac{\dot{T}_e}{\dot{T}} \sim \frac{\partial T_e}{\partial T}$
- Kauzmann 1948: "Entropy crisis":

$$S_{\text{configuration}} = 0 \text{ at } T = T_K \qquad T_K = T_0$$

- Davies-Jones 1953: At glass transition an unspecified number of order parameters undergoes a thermodynamic phase transition.
- $\Pi \geq 1$ in theory and experiment
- Gibbs-DiMarzio 1958: lattice model for polymer glasses. Equilibrium theory.
- Adam-Gibbs 1965: $au \sim \eta \sim \exp{A \over T S_{conf}}$
- Goldstein 1977-Jäckle 1989: κ has configurational part (due to pressure of formation)
- Rehage-Oels 1977: careful experiments on polystyrene. $\Pi \approx 1$

Then confusion hath found its masterpiece...

"The most fundamental problem is to understand Ehrenfest I"

1981 DiMarzio: "An equilibrium theory of glasses is absolutely necessary"

"Thermodynamics does not work for glasses, since there is no equilibrium" ↔ Thermostatics

BUT

McKenna '89; N'97: Ehrenfest I is tautology. Also κ must be measured on long timescale. (in spin glasses: $\chi_{ZFC} < \chi_{FC}$; for 1-step Replica Symmetry Breaking: χ_{ZFC} is discontinuous)

On my history of glass thermodynamics

- Sompolinski '81 + (.., Horner, Cugliandolo-Kurchan): Violation of FDT
- 1988 Kirkpatrick-Thirumalai: dynamical results described by replica's with marginality
- 1992 Crisanti-Sommers: statics p-spin model

$$\mathcal{H} = -\sum_{i_1 < i_2 ... < i_p} J_{i_1 i_2 ... i_p} S_{i_1} S_{i_2} ... S_{i_p} - H \sum_i S_i$$

- \bullet '93 Crisanti-Horner-Sommers p-spin dynamics 1993 Cugliandolo-Kurchan
- q=self-overlap=plateau correlation function
- x = breakpoint = fluctuation-dissipation ratio
- → dynamics "talks" to marginal replica's.
- N '95: has marginal replica free energy a physical meaning?

Our friend: the p-spin model

TAP-states
$$a: m_i^a = \langle S_i \rangle_a$$
 minima of $F_{TAP}(m_i, T) = \mathcal{H}(m_i) - TS_{ep}(m_i, T)$

Crisanti-Sommers '95: config. entropy I = log # TAP-states

Simple check:
$$F \neq U - TS = F_{dyn} = F_{exp}$$

 $F_{\text{marginal replicas}} = U - TS_{\text{equilibrium processes}} - \frac{TI}{x}$

Question: Is this a physical quantity?

N' 95 (cond-mat): TAP at two temperatures: $Z_{TAP} = \sum_{a} \exp[-\beta u F_{TAP}(m_i^a)].$

TAP-replicas with 1RSB parameter
$$x_1$$
:
$$F = -\frac{1}{n\beta u} \ln[(Z_{TAP})^n]_{\text{disorder}} = U - TS_{\text{ep}} - \frac{T\mathcal{I}}{u x_1}$$

marginality $\rightarrow x_1 = \frac{x}{u}$ QED Ackn. J.M. Luck

Cugliandolo-Kurchan-Peliti '97: effective temperatures: zeroth law, thermometer,..

N PRL '97, JPA '98: Two-temperature thermodynamical relations:

$$F = U - TS_{ep} - T_e \mathcal{I} = U - T_1 S_1 - T_2 S_2$$

 $T_e = \frac{T}{x}$ is Tool's effective temperature

$$dQ = TdS_{ep} + T_edI = T_1dS_1 + T_2dS_2$$

$$dF = -S_{ep}dT - \mathcal{I}dT_e - MdH$$

Modified Maxwell relation since $T_e = T_e(T, p)$

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial p}|_{T} + p\frac{\partial V}{\partial p}|_{T} + T\frac{\partial V}{\partial T}|_{p} = T\frac{\partial \mathcal{I}}{\partial T}|_{p} \frac{\partial T_{e}}{\partial p}|_{T} + (T_{e} - T\frac{\partial T_{e}}{\partial T}|_{p})\frac{\partial \mathcal{I}}{\partial p}|_{T}$$

Modified Ehrenfest II

$$\frac{\Delta C_p}{TV} = \Delta \alpha \frac{\mathrm{d}p_g}{\mathrm{d}T} + \frac{1}{V} \left(1 - \frac{\partial T_e}{\partial T}|_p \right) \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{I}}{\partial T}|_p + \frac{\mathrm{d}p_g}{\mathrm{d}T} \frac{\partial \mathcal{I}}{\partial p}|_T \right)$$

Modified PdF:
$$\Pi = 1 + \frac{1}{V\Delta\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{\partial T_e}{\partial T}|_p\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{I}}{\mathrm{d}p}$$

- Re-evaluation polystyrene data: $\Pi = 0.77$ Contadicts all previous theories; supports present
- Franz-Virasoro:

$$F = U - TS_{ep} - T_e \mathcal{I} = F_{TAP} - T_e \mathcal{I}$$

$$T, H$$
 constant: $dF = -\mathcal{I}dT_e \rightarrow \frac{d\mathcal{I}}{dF_{TAP}} = \frac{1}{T_e}$

Other friends: exactly solvable models with slow Monte Carlo dynamics

• Bonilla, Padilla, Ritort '97: $\mathcal{H} = \frac{K}{2} \sum x_i^2$

N harmonic oscillators statically uncoupled; dynamically coupled (parallel Monte Carlo)

Arrhenius law \to at T=0 and $T\ll K$ logarithmic time-dependence. Interpretation with $T_e\sim \frac{1}{\ln t}$ works

- N '98,'00: $\mathcal{H} = -\sum_i (H + \Gamma_i) S_i$ Free spherical spins in random field. Allow check of Modified Ehrenfest II relation. Both $\Pi > 1$ and $\Pi < 1$ possible
- N '99 (cond-mat); Poster L. Leuzzi

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i} \left[\frac{K}{2} x_i^2 - H x_i - J x_i S_i - L S_i \right]$$

- fast spins S; slow oscillators x
- Constraint $\frac{1}{N}\sum x_i^2 \frac{1}{N^2}(\sum x_i)^2 \geq m_0$
- → Kauzmann transition

N '98, '00: Study of correlations and responses yields thermodynamic picture for (class of) glassy systems

Well separated timescale \rightarrow self-generated $T_e(t)$

 T_e occurs in

- thermodynamic: $dQ = T dS_{ep} + T_e dI$
- fluctuations: $\chi^{\rm fluct} = \frac{\langle \delta M^2 \rangle_{\rm fast}}{T} + \frac{\langle \delta M^2 \rangle_{\rm slow}}{T_e^{\rm fluct}}$

$$\chi = \frac{\partial M}{\partial H}|_{T} = \chi^{\rm fluct} + \underbrace{\chi^{\rm conf}}_{\rm Goldstein-Jackle} + \underbrace{\chi^{\rm loss}}_{\rm aging}$$

• fluctuation-dissipation: $T_e^{FD}(t,t') = \frac{\partial_{t'}C(t,t')}{G(t,t')}$

To leading order in $1/\ln t$: universal T_e :

$$T_e(t) = T_e^{\text{fluct}}(t) = T_e^{FD}(t'', t)$$

Systems coupled to two heat baths

Coolen, Penney, Sherrington 1990;

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{couplings}} \sim \sum_{i_1 < i_2 ... < i_p} J^2_{i_1 i_2 ... i_p}$$

Couplings at temperature T_J .

Three temperatures:

 $T_1 = T$: bath

 $T_2 = \frac{T}{x(T)}$: self-generated effective temp.

 $T_3 = T_j$: couplings

Uncorrelated disorder $\leftrightarrow T_3 = \infty$

Crisanti, Hertz, N, Sherrington, in prep. Poster van Mourik & Coolen:

 $au_1 \ll au_2 \ll au_3 o$ General arguments: Parisistructure

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathrm{d}x_3 \left(\int \mathrm{d}x_2 \left(\int \mathrm{d}x_1 \, e^{-\mathcal{H}/T_1} \right)^{T_1/T_2} \right)^{T_2/T_3}$$

 $x_1 = S$: within TAP-state

 $x_2 = m_i^a$: TAP states

 x_3 : couplings

$$n = \frac{T_2}{T_3} = \frac{T}{x(T)T_3} \neq 0$$
 if T_3 finite

$$\chi_{\text{fluct}} = \chi_{\text{fluct}}^{(1)} + \chi_{\text{fluct}}^{(2)} + \chi_{\text{fluct}}^{(3)} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\langle \delta_i M^2 \rangle}{T_i}$$

Hertz, N, Sherrington '00: p-spin with ferromagnetic coupling J_0

•
$$\chi_{\text{fluct}}^{(1)} = \frac{M}{(p-1)H + (p-2)J_0M} \to \frac{1}{(p-2)J_0}$$

 \bullet eq. for χ_{fluct} verified

Breakdown of thermodynamics for quantum brownian motion

Allahverdyan+N 1-4-00

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(x) + \sum_i \left[\frac{p_i^2}{2m_i} + \frac{m_i \omega_i^2}{2} (x_i - \frac{c_i x}{m_i \omega_i^2})^2 \right]$$

particle+oscillator bath+interaction $x_i x + x^2$

"Caldeira-Leggett" model; Hamiltonian; bath 1981/83/85 → P. Ullersma (Utrecht), 4 papers in Physica 1966

• Drude-Ullersma coupling $J(\omega) = \frac{\Gamma^2}{\Gamma^2 + \omega^2} \gamma \omega$

 γ : strength of interaction (damping constant)

\Gamma: Debye frequency: cut-off of interaction with bath

Equivalent Langevin equation (operator-valued)

$$\underline{\dot{p}}_{\text{acceleration}} = \underline{-V'(x)} - \underbrace{\frac{\gamma \Gamma}{m} \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t' e^{-\Gamma(t-t')} p(t')}_{\text{force}} + \underbrace{\eta(t)}_{\text{noise}}$$

- timescale of friction $1/\Gamma$; of noise: $\max(\hbar/T, 1/\Gamma)$
- ightarrow non-Gibbsian behavior for $T < \hbar \Gamma$

- At t = 0: coupling γ switched on rapidly
- Density matrices of particle and bath factorize
- Work $\sim \gamma$ has to be done for the switching (The large energy of bath + particle is increased by this)
- Von Neumann entropy $tr(-\rho_0 \ln \rho_0)$ conserved
- → system goes to non-Gibbsian equilibrium

Results:

- Harmonic potential, adiabatic change of m $dQ(T=0)=\frac{\hbar\gamma}{2\pi m^2}dm$ violates Clausius $dQ\leq TdS=0$
- By periodic change of system parameter work can be extracted from bath

Reason:

Bath does not thermalize \rightarrow it is mechanical part of system. Thermodynamics need not (and does not) apply.

Surprise:

The same occurs at large T, but then the Gibbs distribution enforces thermodynamics

Thermodynamics of black holes

BH has: mass M, charge Q, ang. momentum J.

Bekenstein 1973: BH entropy $S_{BH}=\frac{A}{4L_P^2}$ A= area; $L_P=$ Planck length= $\sqrt{\hbar G/c^3}=10^{-34}$ cm

Hawking 1975: Black holes have temperature $T_H=\frac{\hbar G}{2\pi c^3}\kappa$ ($\kappa=$ surface tension) $T_H\sim\frac{1}{M}$ Solar mass BH: $T_H=10^{-8}\,K$.

The four laws of black hole dynamics (Bardeen, Carter, Hawking, 1975)

0: T_H is constant at the horizon

1:
$$dU = \frac{\kappa}{8\pi} dA + dW = T_H dS_{BH} + dW$$
 $dW \sim dQ + dJ$

- 2: Total entropy $S = S_{BH} + S_m$ cannot decrease
- 3: Extremal (maximally charged/rotating) black holes, with $T_H=0$, unattainable

Are these the laws of black hole thermodynamics?

• Thermal bath = cosmic microwave background $T_H = 3 \text{ K} \rightarrow \text{mass BH} = 1 \text{ mountain}$

First law:System=black hole +Gedanken sphere slow fast

Try:
$$dQ = T_H dS_{BH} + T_{cmb} \underbrace{dS_m^{\text{Gedanken sphere}}_{=0}}$$

$$dU = dQ + dW = T_H dS_{BH} + dW QED$$

Second law Clausius: $dQ \leq T_{cmb}dS$ $S = S_{BH} + S_m^{\text{Gedanken sphere}}$

Yes, because there is also absorption of CMB

small BH : $T_H > T_{cmb}$, more evaporation large BH : $T_H < T_{cmb}$, more absorption

- ightarrow heat goes from high T to low T QED
- entropy production

Third law: System = Universe with BH in it.

$$\rightarrow S = 0$$
 if $T_{cmb} = 0$, not $T_H = 0$.

$$T_{cmb} < t^{-1/3} \rightarrow$$
 all BH's finally evaporate $\rightarrow S = 0$ at $T_{cmb} = 0$ QED

(deviates from third law of BH dynamics)

CONCLUSION + OUTLOOK

"Thermodynamics does not work for glasses, since there is no equilibrium"

becomes

"Thermodynamics does work for glasses. Since there is no equilibrium at least one effective temperature and/or pressure (field) must introduced."

Systems coupled to two heat baths + 2 timescales

- violation of Onsager relations for heat flow
- p-spin model + heat bath for couplings: fluctuations = inside TAP + between TAP + sample-to-sample

Thermodynamics does not apply to quantum baths

It does apply to Black holes, star clusters,...

But: Godrèche & Luck: x < 1 at T_c , but no effective temperatures