

# Formalizing and Proving Theorems in Coq — Lecture 4

Tobias Kappé

# Today's lecture

We will start with...

- ▶ some housekeeping
- ▶ a review of inductive proofs on propositions



# Today's lecture

We will start with...

- ▶ some housekeeping
- ▶ a review of inductive proofs on propositions

You will learn about...

- ▶ using Coq's `Setoid` library for rewriting tasks.
- ▶ advanced topics involving recursion and inductives.



## Induction on propositions: a review

Let  $\leq$  and  $\prec$  be the smallest relations on  $\mathbb{N}$  satisfying, for all  $n, m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$\frac{}{n \leq n} \quad \frac{n \leq m}{n \leq S(m)} \quad | \quad \frac{}{n \prec n} \quad \frac{}{n \prec S(n)} \quad \frac{n \prec k \quad k \prec m}{n \prec m}$$

## Induction on propositions: a review

Let  $\leq$  and  $\preceq$  be the smallest relations on  $\mathbb{N}$  satisfying, for all  $n, m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$\frac{}{n \leq n} \quad \frac{n \leq m}{n \leq S(m)} \quad \Bigg| \quad \frac{}{n \preceq n} \quad \frac{}{n \preceq S(n)} \quad \frac{n \preceq k \quad k \preceq m}{n \preceq m}$$

### Claim

For all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $n \leq m$  then also  $n \preceq m$ .

### Proof.

Suppose we have a *proof* of  $n \leq m$ . We proceed by induction on the height of the proof tree. If  $n \leq m$  by the first rule, then  $n = m$ , and so  $n \preceq m$  by the third rule. If  $n \leq m$  by the second rule, then  $m = S(m')$  for some  $m'$  with  $n \leq m'$ . By induction,  $n \preceq m'$ . By the fourth rule,  $m' \preceq S(m')$ ; by the last rule,  $n \preceq S(m') = m$ .  $\square$

Induction on propositions: some reflection

## Induction on propositions: some reflection

- ▶ We showed how to transform a *proof* of  $n \leq m$  into a proof of  $n \preceq m$ .

## Induction on propositions: some reflection

- ▶ We showed how to transform a *proof* of  $n \leq m$  into a proof of  $n \preceq m$ .
- ▶ This type of strategy really only makes sense in a constructive setting.



## Induction on propositions: some reflection

- ▶ We showed how to transform a *proof* of  $n \leq m$  into a proof of  $n \preceq m$ .
- ▶ This type of strategy really only makes sense in a constructive setting.
- ▶ In Coq, *proofs are data*, whose *types are propositions*.

## Group terms

Let  $X$  be a set of variables.

Group terms over  $X$  are built as follows:

- ▶ The symbol  $0$  is a group term.
- ▶ If  $x$  is a variable, then  $x$  is a term.
- ▶ If  $u$  and  $v$  are group terms, then so is  $u + v$ .
- ▶ If  $u$  is a group term, then so is the term  $-u$ .

NB: these are expressions, not numbers!



## Group terms, continued

Alternatively, group terms over  $X$  form the smallest set  $\mathbb{G}(X)$  satisfying the following rules:

$$\frac{}{0 \in \mathbb{G}(X)}$$

$$\frac{x \in X}{x \in \mathbb{G}(X)}$$

$$\frac{u, v \in \mathbb{G}(X)}{u + v \in \mathbb{G}(X)}$$

$$\frac{u \in \mathbb{G}(X)}{-u \in \mathbb{G}(X)}$$



# Group equivalence

Group terms are considered “equivalent” modulo

- ▶ Associativity of  $+$ , i.e.,

$$u + (v + w) \equiv (u + v) + w$$

- ▶  $0$  is a unit *on the right*, i.e.,  $u + 0 \equiv u$
- ▶  $-u$  is the inverse of  $u$  *on the right*, i.e.,

$$u + -u \equiv 0$$

- ▶ Equivalence is a congruence, i.e.,

$$u \equiv v \wedge u' \equiv v' \implies u + u' \equiv v + v'$$



## Groups — demonstration

We are going to

1. encode group terms
2. encode group equivalence
3. prove some interesting facts



Demo

## Groups — reflection

That was not ideal:

- ▶ Lots of applications of  $G$ Trans
- ▶ Very deeply nested proofs.
- ▶ Hard to get a good overview.



## Groups — reflection

That was not ideal:

- ▶ Lots of applications of  $G\text{Trans}$
- ▶ Very deeply nested proofs.
- ▶ Hard to get a good overview.

We can simplify by using *setoids*



## Setoids — reflection

Using `Setoids` we can

- ▶ teach Coq about properties of relations
- ▶ use those properties through `rewrite`
- ▶ encode pen-and-paper proofs more nicely.



## Propositional Dynamic Logic — Syntax

Let  $P$  and  $A$  be sets of *propositions* and *actions* respectively.

The set of *programs*  $\Pi$  is generated by the following grammar:

$$\alpha, \beta ::= a \ (a \in A) \mid \alpha + \beta \mid \alpha; \beta \mid \alpha^*$$

The set of *formulas*  $\Phi$  is generated by the following grammar:

$$\phi, \psi ::= p, \bar{p} \ (p \in P) \mid \phi \vee \psi \mid \phi \wedge \psi \mid \langle \alpha \rangle \phi \mid [\alpha] \phi$$

# Propositional Dynamic Logic — Semantics

A *frame* is a triple  $(W, \sigma, \pi)$  where

- ▶  $W$  is a set of *worlds*;
- ▶  $\sigma : A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(W \times W)$  assigns a relation to each action;
- ▶  $\pi : P \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(W)$  assigns a set of worlds to each proposition.

## Propositional Dynamic Logic — Semantics

We can extend  $\sigma : A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(W \times W)$  to act on  $\Pi$ :

$$\sigma(\alpha + \beta) = \sigma(\alpha) \cup \sigma(\beta) \quad \sigma(\alpha; \beta) = \sigma(\alpha) \circ \sigma(\beta) \quad \sigma(\alpha^*) = \sigma(\alpha)^*$$

## Propositional Dynamic Logic — Semantics

We can extend  $\sigma : A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(W \times W)$  to act on  $\Pi$ :

$$\sigma(\alpha + \beta) = \sigma(\alpha) \cup \sigma(\beta) \quad \sigma(\alpha; \beta) = \sigma(\alpha) \circ \sigma(\beta) \quad \sigma(\alpha^*) = \sigma(\alpha)^*$$

We can also extend  $\pi : P \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(W)$  to act on  $\Phi$ :

$$\pi(\bar{p}) = W \setminus \pi(p) \quad \pi(\phi \vee \psi) = \pi(\phi) \cup \pi(\psi) \quad \pi(\phi \wedge \psi) = \pi(\phi) \cap \pi(\psi)$$

$$\pi(\langle \alpha \rangle \phi) = \{w \in W : \exists w' \in W. w \sigma(\alpha) w' \wedge w' \in \pi(\phi)\}$$

$$\pi([\alpha]\phi) = \{w \in W : \forall w' \in W. w \sigma(\alpha) w' \implies w' \in \pi(\phi)\}$$

# Propositional Dynamic Logic — demonstration

We are going to

1. encode our definition of a frame
2. encode the syntax of programs
3. assign a semantics to programs
4. encode the syntax of formulas
5. assign a semantics to formulas
6. prove some elementary properties of PDL



Demo

## Propositional Dynamic Logic II — Syntax

Let  $P$  and  $A$  be sets of *propositions* and *actions* respectively.

The set of *programs*  $\Pi$  is generated by the following grammar:

$$\alpha, \beta ::= a \ (a \in A) \mid \alpha + \beta \mid \alpha; \beta \mid \alpha^*$$

The set of *formulas*  $\Phi$  is generated by the following grammar:

$$\phi, \psi ::= p \ (p \in P) \mid \phi \vee \psi \mid \neg\phi \mid \langle \alpha \rangle \phi$$

## Propositional Dynamic Logic II — Syntax

Let  $P$  and  $A$  be sets of *propositions* and *actions* respectively.

The set of *programs*  $\Pi$  is generated by the following grammar:

$$\alpha, \beta ::= a \ (a \in A) \mid \alpha + \beta \mid \alpha; \beta \mid \alpha^*$$

The set of *formulas*  $\Phi$  is generated by the following grammar:

$$\phi, \psi ::= p \ (p \in P) \mid \phi \vee \psi \mid \neg\phi \mid \langle \alpha \rangle \phi$$

NB: no more negative propositions, conjunctions, or boxes!

## Propositional Dynamic Logic II — Semantics

We can extend  $\sigma : A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(W \times W)$  to act on  $\Pi$ :

$$\sigma(\alpha + \beta) = \sigma(\alpha) \cup \sigma(\beta) \qquad \sigma(\alpha; \beta) = \sigma(\alpha) \circ \sigma(\beta) \qquad \sigma(\alpha^*) = \sigma(\alpha)^*$$



## Propositional Dynamic Logic II — Semantics

We can extend  $\sigma : A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(W \times W)$  to act on  $\Pi$ :

$$\sigma(\alpha + \beta) = \sigma(\alpha) \cup \sigma(\beta) \quad \sigma(\alpha; \beta) = \sigma(\alpha) \circ \sigma(\beta) \quad \sigma(\alpha^*) = \sigma(\alpha)^*$$

We can also extend  $\pi : P \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(W)$  to act on  $\Phi$ :

$$\pi(\bar{p}) = W \setminus \pi(p) \quad \pi(\phi \vee \psi) = \pi(\phi) \cup \pi(\psi) \quad \pi(\neg\phi) = W \setminus \pi(\phi)$$

$$\pi(\langle \alpha \rangle \phi) = \{w \in W : \exists w' \in W. w' \in \pi(\phi) \wedge w \sigma(\alpha) w'\}$$

## Propositional Dynamic Logic II — demonstration

We are going to

1. reuse our definition of a frame
2. reuse the syntax/semantics of programs
3. encode the syntax of formulas
4. assign a semantics to formulas
5. prove some elementary properties of PDL



Demo

## Propositional Dynamic Logic III — Syntax

Let  $P$  and  $A$  be sets of *propositions* and *actions* respectively.

The set of *programs*  $\Pi$  is generated by the following grammar:

$$\alpha, \beta ::= a \ (a \in A) \mid \phi? \ (\phi \in \Phi) \mid \alpha + \beta \mid \alpha; \beta \mid \alpha^*$$

The set of *formulas*  $\Phi$  is generated by the following grammar:

$$\phi, \psi ::= p \ (p \in P) \mid \phi \vee \psi \mid \neg\phi \mid \langle \alpha \rangle \phi$$

## Propositional Dynamic Logic III — Syntax

Let  $P$  and  $A$  be sets of *propositions* and *actions* respectively.

The set of *programs*  $\Pi$  is generated by the following grammar:

$$\alpha, \beta ::= a (a \in A) \mid \phi? (\phi \in \Phi) \mid \alpha + \beta \mid \alpha; \beta \mid \alpha^*$$

The set of *formulas*  $\Phi$  is generated by the following grammar:

$$\phi, \psi ::= p (p \in P) \mid \phi \vee \psi \mid \neg\phi \mid \langle \alpha \rangle \phi$$

NB: mutual recursion!

## Propositional Dynamic Logic III — Semantics

We can extend  $\sigma : A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(W \times W)$  to act on  $\Pi$ :

$$\sigma(\alpha + \beta) = \sigma(\alpha) \cup \sigma(\beta)$$

$$\sigma(\phi?) = \{(w, w) : w \in \pi(\phi)\}$$

$$\sigma(\alpha; \beta) = \sigma(\alpha) \circ \sigma(\beta)$$

$$\sigma(\alpha^*) = \sigma(\alpha)^*$$

## Propositional Dynamic Logic III — Semantics

We can extend  $\sigma : A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(W \times W)$  to act on  $\Pi$ :

$$\sigma(\alpha + \beta) = \sigma(\alpha) \cup \sigma(\beta) \qquad \sigma(\phi?) = \{(w, w) : w \in \pi(\phi)\}$$

$$\sigma(\alpha; \beta) = \sigma(\alpha) \circ \sigma(\beta) \qquad \sigma(\alpha^*) = \sigma(\alpha)^*$$

We can also extend  $\pi : P \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(W)$  to act on  $\Phi$ :

$$\pi(\bar{p}) = W \setminus \pi(p) \qquad \pi(\phi \vee \psi) = \pi(\phi) \cup \pi(\psi) \qquad \pi(\neg\phi) = W \setminus \pi(\phi)$$

$$\pi(\langle \alpha \rangle \phi) = \{w \in W : \exists w' \in W. w' \in \pi(\phi) \wedge w \sigma(\alpha) w'\}$$

# Propositional Dynamic Logic III — demonstration

We are going to

1. reuse our definition of a frame
2. encode the syntax of programs/formulas
3. encode the semantics of programs/formulas
4. prove some elementary properties of PDL



Demo

## Next lecture

- ▶ learn about the Curry-Howard isomorphism
- ▶ synthesize programs, run proofs



## Next lecture

- ▶ learn about the Curry-Howard isomorphism
- ▶ synthesize programs, run proofs

Homework:

- ▶ Complete the proofs in `lecture-4*.v`.