

Recent Complexity Results for Reachability Properties in Distributed Negotiation

Paul E. Dunne

Dept. of Computer Science

The University of Liverpool

Liverpool, U.K.

Background Review I

- Given a resource allocation setting
 $RAS = \langle A, R, U \rangle$
we are interested in negotiating an allocation of R among A when every deal - $\langle P, Q \rangle$ - is required to satisfy some condition $\Phi(P, Q)$.
- For example,
 $\Phi(P, Q) \iff$
($\langle P, Q \rangle$ reallocates exactly one resource *and* is 'rational')
describes rational O-contracts.

Background Review II

- 3 decision problems:
 - a. Φ -path(RAS, $P^{(s)}$, $P^{(t)}$)
Can the allocation $P^{(t)}$ be reached by a sequence of Φ -deals from $P^{(s)}$?
 - b. Φ -accessible (RAS, P)
Can an *optimal* allocation be reached by a sequence of Φ -deals from P ?
 - c. Φ -convergence(RAS)
Does *every maximal* sequence of Φ -deals end in an optimal allocation?

Rational O-contracts – earlier results

Problem	Utility Form	Complexity
Φ -path	SLP	NP-hard
Φ -path	k-additive	Open
Φ -accessible	SLP	NP-hard
Φ -accessible	k-additive	NP-hard
Φ -converge	SLP	Open
Φ -converge	k-additive	Open

Development

- At TFG-MARA in Ljubljana (Feb.-March, 2005), Jerome Lang conjectured Φ -path and Φ -accessible were PSPACE-complete (noting structural similarities with STRIPS planning and problems on CP-nets).
- Yann Chevaleyre introduced the problem Φ -convergence, conjecturing this to be coNP-hard (in both SLP and k-additive forms).

Rational O-contracts – new results

Problem	Utility Form	Complexity
(a) Φ -path	SLP	PSPACE-complete
Φ -path	k-additive	Open
(b) Φ -access	SLP	PSPACE-complete
Φ -access	k-additive	NP-hard
(c) Φ -conv	SLP	coNP-complete
(d) Φ -conv	k-additive	coNP-complete

Brief Summary of Proof Methods

- Proof of (a) is non-trivial, and has three main parts:
 1. Simulation of PSPACE Turing machines by SLP.
 2. Simulation of SLP from (1) by rational O-contracts in RAS *with allocative externalities*.
 3. Translation of RAS from (2) into “standard” (externality free) setting.
- (1) and (3) ‘relatively easy’; bulk of argument concerns simulation required in (2).

Detailed proofs can be found in the report,
Paul E. Dunne and Yann Chevaleyre.

Negotiation can be as hard as planning: Deciding reachability properties of distributed negotiation schemes.

Tech. Report, ULCS-05-009, Dept. of Comp. Sci.,
Univ. of Liverpool.

<http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/research/techreports/tr2005/ulcs-05-009.pdf>