Quadrangulating the Sphere

Lowell Abrams

The George Washington University Washingtion DC, USA

> Dan Slilaty Wright State University Dayton Ohio, USA

> > ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - つへで

1/25

Preamble

spherical quadrangulation:

a graph embedding in the sphere in which every face has boundary length 4.

2/25

What we accomplished

Construction of every spherical quadrangulation having order *n* rotational symmetry, $n \ge 3$, subject to a constraint on degrees of vertices.

Graph Embeddings

graph embeddings and dual embeddings

Embeddings must be *cellular*: the complement of the graph is a disjoint union of contractible regions ("faces")



A graph embedding is a triple of sets: (V, E, F)Write G = (V, E) and $G^* = (F, E)$

graph embeddings and dual embeddings

Embeddings must be *cellular*: the complement of the graph is a disjoint union of contractible regions ("faces")



A graph embedding is a triple of sets: (V, E, F)Write G = (V, E) and $G^* = (F, E)$

graph embeddings and dual embeddings

Embeddings must be *cellular*: the complement of the graph is a disjoint union of contractible regions ("faces")



A graph embedding is a triple of sets: (V, E, F)Write G = (V, E) and $G^* = (F, E)$

Curvature Without Angle Measure

Euler's formula

For any cellular graph embedding (V, E, F)in a topological surface of genus g,

$$|V| - |E| + |F| = 2 - 2g$$

Proof.

• Reduce to |V| = 1 by contracting edges

Euler's formula

For any cellular graph embedding (V, E, F)in a topological surface of genus g,

$$|V| - |E| + |F| = 2 - 2g$$

Proof.

- Reduce to |V| = 1 by contracting edges
- Reduce to |F| = 1 by deleting edges

Euler's formula

For any cellular graph embedding (V, E, F)in a topological surface of genus g,

$$|V| - |E| + |F| = 2 - 2g$$

Proof.

- Reduce to |V| = 1 by contracting edges
- Reduce to |F| = 1 by deleting edges
- Observe that theorem holds for embeddings with
 |V| = |F| = 1

flat configurations

Suppose G and G^* are simple. Given

$$V_i := \{ \text{vertices of degree } i \}$$

$$F_i := \{ \text{faces of boundary length ("codegree") } i \}$$

we have

$$2|E| = \sum_{i \ge 3} i|V_i|$$
 and $2|E| = \sum_{i \ge 3} i|F_i|$

flat configurations

Suppose G and G^* are simple. Given

$$V_i := \{ \text{vertices of degree } i \}$$

 $F_i := \{ \text{faces of boundary length ("codegree") } i \}$

we have

$$2|E| = \sum_{i\geq 3} i|V_i|$$
 and $2|E| = \sum_{i\geq 3} i|F_i|$

Thus

$$|V| - |E| + |F| = \sum_{i \ge 3} |V_i| - \left(\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i \ge 3} i|V_i| + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i \ge 3} i|F_i|\right) + \sum_{i \ge 3} |F_i|$$

Note: vertices of degree 4 and faces of codegree 4 make no contribution, *i.e.* have no impact on genus.

flat configurations



curvature vertex: a vertex with degree other than 4

$$|V| - |E| + |F| = \sum_{i \ge 3} |V_i| - \left(\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i \ge 3} i|V_i| + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i \ge 3} i|F_i|\right) + \sum_{i \ge 3} |F_i|$$

example:

contribution from degree 6 vertices and codegree 3 faces:

$$|V_6| - \frac{6}{4}|V_6| - \frac{3}{4}|F_3| + |F_3| = -\frac{1}{2}|V_6| + \frac{1}{4}|F_3|$$
So, if $|F_3| = 2|V_6|$, then have neutral contribution to genus

8 / 25



$$3|F_3| = 2|E| = 6|V_6|$$

example:

suppose genus is 0, all vertices have degree 3 or 4, and all faces have codegree 4, 5 or 6

$$2 = |V| - |E| + |F|$$

= $\frac{1}{4}|V_3| - \frac{1}{4}|F_5| - \frac{1}{2}|F_6|$
i.e. $|F_5| + 2|F_6| = |V_3| - 8$

Need 8 vertices of degree 3 ("positive curvature") to get cube, then additional vertices of degree 3 to balance the faces of codegree 5 or 6 ("negative curvature")

"overlay graph" combines primal and dual graphs



□ ▷ < @ ▷ < 볼 ▷ < 볼 ▷ 볼 · 의식은 8/25

"overlay graph" combines primal and dual graphs



now all faces are square and graph is bipartite. all curvature is concentrated at ○ and ● vertices, all ⊠ vertices are degree four

Nets



cutting polyhedra open

"a *net* of a polyhedron is an arrangement of non-overlapping edge-joined polygons in the plane which can be folded (along edges) to become the faces of the polyhedron." (from Wikipedia)



cutting polyhedra open

"a *net* of a polyhedron is an arrangement of non-overlapping edge-joined polygons in the plane which can be folded (along edges) to become the faces of the polyhedron." (from Wikipedia)



cutting polyhedra open

"a *net* of a polyhedron is an arrangement of non-overlapping edge-joined polygons in the plane which can be folded (along edges) to become the faces of the polyhedron." (from Wikipedia)

G. C. Shephard (1975): Does every convex polyhedron have a net?









- Nets prove existence.
- Well-chosen nets can display important structure, such as symmetries.



- Nets prove existence.
- Well-chosen nets can display important structure, such as symmetries.
- Nets provide a model for physical construction.



- Nets prove existence.
- Well-chosen nets can display important structure, such as symmetries.
- Nets provide a model for physical construction.
- Every example constructed in this work has a net.

The Main Result

some background

Servatius and Servatius, 1995:

use overlay graph to classify self-dual spherical embeddings, along with corresponding part-preserving cellular automorphisms of the overlay graphs

Graver and Hartung, 2014:

use overlay graph and nets to give more concrete classification of self-dual spherical embeddings; require graphs have four degree-3 vertices and remaining vertices all of degree 4

This Work:

use overlay graph and nets; concrete; not necessarily self-dual; more allowed degree sequences; require rotational symmetry

What we accomplished

Construction of every spherical quadrangulation having order *n* rotational symmetry, $n \ge 3$, and, subject to that condition, having as few curvature vertices as possible.

What we accomplished

Construction of every spherical quadrangulation having order *n* rotational symmetry, $n \ge 3$, and, subject to that condition, having as few curvature vertices as possible.

Without loss of generality (overlay graph!) assume the embedded graph is bipartite, and all curvature vertices are in the same part of the bipartition.

What we accomplished

Construction of every spherical quadrangulation having order *n* rotational symmetry, $n \ge 3$, and, subject to that condition, having as few curvature vertices as possible.

- Without loss of generality (overlay graph!) assume the embedded graph is bipartite, and all curvature vertices are in the same part of the bipartition.
- Must have two vertices of degree n that will serve as the poles of the rotational symmetry

What we accomplished

Construction of every spherical quadrangulation having order *n* rotational symmetry, $n \ge 3$, and, subject to that condition, having as few curvature vertices as possible.

- Without loss of generality (overlay graph!) assume the embedded graph is bipartite, and all curvature vertices are in the same part of the bipartition.
- Must have two vertices of degree n that will serve as the poles of the rotational symmetry
- Must have 2n degree-3 vertices to balance those:

$$2 = (|V_3| + |V_n|) - \frac{1}{4} (3|V_3| + n|V_n|) = \frac{1}{4} |V_3| + 2 - \frac{1}{2}n$$



<ロ><回><一><一><一><一><一><一><一</td>15/25





 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{a}, \textbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, & \textbf{a} \equiv_2 \textbf{b}, & h, w \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, & h \equiv_2 w, \ h + w \neq 0\\ & -\frac{h}{w} \leq \frac{b-a}{b+a}, & -\frac{a}{b}(\textbf{a} - w) \leq b + h \end{array}$



the main result

Theorem (A. and Slilaty, ~Covid)

Every spherical quadrangulation with order *n* rotational symmetry, $n \ge 3$, and with as few curvature vertices as possible can be constructed using one of the two constructions shown above

the main result

Theorem (A. and Slilaty, ~Covid)

Every spherical quadrangulation with order *n* rotational symmetry, $n \ge 3$, and with as few curvature vertices as possible can be constructed using one of the two constructions shown above

Corollary

Every example mentioned in the theorem has a hemisphere-flip or glide-reflection symmetry

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三) (三)

16/25

Examples!!

<ロト・(アト・ミト・ミト ミ のので 17/25

Growing Polar Caps

the standard 3-wedge and the standard 4-wedge (cut from the $\{4,4\}$ grid)



the standard 3-ball of order 5 and the standard 4-ball of order 5





- G: a spherical quadrangulation having order-*n* rotational symmetry around poles *p*, *q*
- $B_k(p)$: the face-connected subsurface of G(theorem: it really is one) whose set of faces consists of all faces having at least one of its vertices at distance at most k - 1 from p



- G: a spherical quadrangulation having order-*n* rotational symmetry around poles *p*, *q*
- $B_k(p)$: the face-connected subsurface of G(theorem: it really is one) whose set of faces consists of all faces having at least one of its vertices at distance at most k - 1 from p



Proposition. if all vertices other than p in the interior of $B_k(p)$ have degree 4 in G, then $B_k(p)$ is a standard k-ball of order degree(p)

Proposition. if all vertices other than p in the interior of $B_k(p)$ have degree 4 in G, then $B_k(p)$ is a standard k-ball of order degree(p)

> basic first step for understanding the structure of G: grow $B_k(p)$ outwards from p until $\partial B_k(p)$ hits an orbit of curvature vertices.

> > <ロト < 回 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 > 巨 の < ペ 20 / 25

Curvature Vertices at Even k





for k even, curvature vertices on $\partial B_k(p)$ lie on the "central ray" and can have only one orbit of curvature vertices on $\partial B_k(p)$



for k even, curvature vertices on $\partial B_k(p)$ lie on the "central ray" and can have only one orbit of curvature vertices on $\partial B_k(p)$



as t increases, $B_{k+t}(p)$ grows by adding flat layers until $\partial B_{k+t}(p)$ hits the second orbit of degree-3 vertices

> gaps within orbit are determined, but overall offset from first orbit is not



because $\partial B_k(p)$ is flat and all degree-3 vertices have been encountered, the only way to continue closes up the sphere with $B_k(q) \cong B_k(p)$



remember this panel?





Curvature Vertices at Odd k



for k odd

• curvature vertices on $\partial B_k(p)$ lie off the "central ray"



for k odd

- curvature vertices on $\partial B_k(p)$ lie off the "central ray"
- can have two orbits of curvature vertices on $\partial B_k(p)$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

25 / 25



for k odd

- curvature vertices on $\partial B_k(p)$ lie off the "central ray"
- can have two orbits of curvature vertices on $\partial B_k(p)$
- ► can have curvature vertices in the interior of $B_k(p)$ even when there are none in $\overline{B_{k-1}(p)}$

with k odd, have "curvature rays" in addition to "central rays"



25 / 25

as t increases, $B_{k+t}(p)$ grows by adding "zig-zag" layers until $\overline{B_{k+t}(p)}$ contains second orbit of degree-3 vertices (O_2)



Note:

There are three options for the appearance of O_2 in $\overline{B_{k+t}(p)}$:

If k + t ≡₂ 0 then the vertices of O₂ are the ends of the central rays from p.

Note:

There are three options for the appearance of O_2 in $\overline{B_{k+t}(p)}$:

- If k + t ≡₂ 0 then the vertices of O₂ are the ends of the central rays from p.
- ▶ If $k + t \equiv_2 1$ then either

Note:

There are three options for the appearance of O_2 in $\overline{B_{k+t}(p)}$:

If k + t ≡₂ 0 then the vertices of O₂ are the ends of the central rays from p.

▶ If $k + t \equiv_2 1$ then either

the vertices of O₂ are the ends of the curvature rays from the first orbit of degree-3 vertices (O₁) and are in the interior of B_{k+t}(p), or

25 / 25

Note:

There are three options for the appearance of O_2 in $B_{k+t}(p)$:

If k + t ≡₂ 0 then the vertices of O₂ are the ends of the central rays from p.

▶ If $k + t \equiv_2 1$ then either

- the vertices of O₂ are the ends of the curvature rays from the first orbit of degree-3 vertices (O₁) and are in the interior of B_{k+t}(p), or
- the vertices of O₂ are on ∂B_{k+t}(p) and are not the ends of the central rays from p nor the ends of the curvature rays from O₁.

If $k + t \equiv_2 0$ then the vertices of O_2 are the ends of the central rays from p.



If $k + t \equiv_2 1$ then it can be that the vertices of O_2 are the ends of the curvature rays from the first orbit of degree-3 vertices (O_1) and are in the interior of $B_{k+t}(p)$.



If $k + t \equiv_2 1$ then it can be that the vertices of O_2 are on $\partial B_{k+t}(p)$ and are not the ends of the central rays from pnor the ends of the curvature rays from O_1 .

the central rays and the curvature rays "wander around," potentially crossing each other and themselves.



remember this panel?



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

25 / 25

it is sufficiently generic to cover all cases when k is odd

If $k + t \equiv_2 0$ then the vertices of O_2 are the ends of the central rays from p.

(cut the curvature rays so can embed in the {4,4} grid)



^{25 / 25}

If $k + t \equiv_2 1$ and the vertices of O_2 are the ends of the curvature rays from O_1



・ロ 、 ・ (日)、 く 注 、 く 注 、 注 の へ () 25 / 25

If $k + t \equiv_2 1$ and the vertices of O_2 are on $\partial B_{k+t}(p)$ and are not the ends of the central rays from p nor the ends of the curvature rays from O_1 .

